Merrimack Valley Metropolitan Planning Organization Final FFYs 2016-2019 Transportation Improvement Program

Prepared by the Merrimack Valley Planning Commission

July 30, 2015

This document was prepared by the Merrimack Valley Planning Commission under Contracts #75074, # MA-80-008 and # MA-80-009 with MassDOT and with the assistance of the Merrimack Valley Regional Transit Authority, MassDOT, the Federal Highway Administration and the Federal Transit Administration.

Page intentionally left blank.

Table of Contents

Endorsem	nent Page for Federal TIP	3
Self Certif	fication Compliance Statement	4
Part A.	Introduction	5
Part A. 1.	TIP Development Process	5
Part A. 2.	Prioritization	7
Part A. 3.	Public Participation	10
	Public Participation Plan Stakeholder List	11
Part A. 4.	Amendment/Adjustment Procedures	14
Part A. 5.	High Priority Projects	15
Part A. 6.	Advance Construction	16
Part A. 7.	Transportation Funding Programs	17
	Highway Projects	17
	Transit Projects	
	Organization of Project Listings	
Part B. P	Project Listings	
	Highway Projects	
	Transit Projects	57
Part C. F	Federal Requirements	63
Part C. 1.	Financial Summary - Targets	64
	Highway Projects Financial Plan	64
	Transit Projects Financial Plan	103
Part C. 1.	Status on Implementation of FFY 2015 TIP Projects	114
	FFY 2015 Highway Project List	114
	FFY 2015 Transit Project List	116
Part C. 2.	Air Quality Conformity	119
Part C. 3.	Special Efforts - ADA	121
Part C. 4.	Title VI Notice of Public Protection	121
Part C. 5.	Environmental Justice	124

Appendices.	
Appendix A	Other Regional Priority Bridge Projects 130
Appendix B	Other Regional Priority Roadway Projects
Appendix C	Transportation Evaluation Criteria Summary137
Appendix D	Green House Gas (GHG) Monitoring and Evaluation147
	310 CMR 60.05: Global Warming Solutions Act 165
Appendix E	Projects from MVRTA Capital Program FFY 2016- FFY 2020 167
Appendix F	Key to Maps Showing Locations of Transportation Projects
Appendix G	Comments Received on Draft 2016-2019 TIP 191

Endorsement Page for Federal TIP



Merrimack Valley Metropolitan Planning Organization Endorsement of the FFY 2016-2019 Transportation Improvement Program

Whereas, the Merrimack Valley MPO has completed its review in accordance with Section 176(c) (4) of the Clean Air Act as amended in 1990 [42 U.S.C. 7251 (a)], and hereby certifies that the FFY 2016-2019 TIP is financially constrained and that the implementation of the Merrimack Valley Metropolitan Planning Organization 2012 Regional Transportation Plan satisfies the conformity criteria specified in both 40 CFR Part 51 and 93 (8/15/1997) and 310 CMR 60.03 (12/30/1994).

Therefore, in accordance with 23 CFR Part 450 Section 322 (Development and content of the Metropolitan Transportation Plan) of the March 16, 2007 Final Rules for Statewide and Metropolitan Planning, the MPO hereby endorses the FFY 2016-2019 Transportation Improvement Program.

Signatory Certification:

Stephanie Pollack Secretary/CEO Mass DOT	Joseph Costanzo, Administrator MVRTA Advisory Board	James Fiorentini Mayor of Haverhill
Thomas Tinlin	William Buckley	Daniel Rivera
MassDOT Acting Highway Division Administrator	City of Methuen	Mayor of Lawrence
Philip Trapani	Neil Harrington	Robert Snow
Town of Georgetown	Town of Salisbury	Town of Rowley
Dennis DiZoglio		
MVPC Director		

Date: July 29, 2015



Self Certification Compliance Statement

Merrimack Valley Metropolitan Planning Organization

Concurrent with the submittal of the proposed TIP to the FHWA and the FTA, the MPO Policy Board shall certify that the metropolitan transportation planning process is being carried out in accordance with all applicable requirements including:

- 1. 23 U.S.C. 134, 49 U.S.C. 5303, and this subpart;
- 2. In nonattainment and maintenance areas, sections 174 and 176 (c) and (d) of the Clean Air Act, as amended (42 U.S.C. 7504, 7506 (c) and (d)) and 40 CFR part93;
- 3. Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended (42 U.S.C. 2000d-1) and 49 CFR part21;
- 4. 49 U.S.C. 5332, prohibiting discrimination on the basis of race, color, creed, national origin, sex, or age in employment or business opportunity;
- 5. Section 1101 (b) of the SAFETEA-LU (Pub. L. 109-59) and 49 CFR part 26 regarding the involvement of disadvantaged business enterprises in USDOT funded projects;
- 6. 23 CFR 230, regarding the implementation of an Equal Employment Opportunity Program on Federal and Federal-aid Highway construction contracts;
- The provisions of the American with Disabilities Act of 1990 (42 U.S.C. 12101 et seq.)and 49 CFR parts 27, 37, and 38;
- 8. The Older Americans Act, as amended (42 U.S.C. 6101), prohibiting discrimination on the basis of age in programs or activities receiving Federal financial assistance;
- 9. Section 324 of title 23 U.S.C. regarding the prohibition of discrimination based on gender;
- 10. Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 (29 U.S.C. 794) and 49 CFR part 27 regarding discrimination against individuals with disabilities;
- 11. Anti-lobbying restrictions found in 49 USC Part 20. No appropriated funds may be expended by a recipient to influence or attempt to influence an officer or employee of any agency, a Member of Congress, in connection with the awarding of any Federal contract. Signatory Certification:

Stephanie Pollack Secretary/CEO MassDOT	Joseph Costanzo, Administrator MVRTA Advisory Board	James Fiorentini Mayor of Haverhill
Thomas Tinlin	William Buckley	Daniel Rivera
MassDOT Acting Highway Division Administrator	City of Methuen	Mayor of Lawrence
Philip Trapani	Neil Harrington	Robert Snow
Town of Georgetown	Town of Salisbury	Town of Rowley

Dennis DiZoglio MVPC Director Date: July 29, 2015

Merrimack Valley Metropolitan Planning Organization FY 2016-2019 Transportation Improvement Program Final Report prepared July 30, 2015

Part A. Introduction

Part A. 1. TIP Development Process

Federal transportation authorization legislation establishes funding categories for transportation projects that may be eligible for Federal funding and sets maximum funding levels per category for each year of the legislation. Projects in this TIP are planned to be primarily funded through the federal transportation act titled "Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21st Century (MAP-21)" that was signed into law July 6, 2012.

Known as the "MAP-21 eight planning factors", MAP-21 stipulates that

"...the metropolitan planning process provide for consideration of projects and strategies that will-

- 1) Support the economic vitality of the metropolitan area, especially by enabling global competitiveness, productivity and efficiency;
- 2) Increase the safety of the transportation system for motorized and nonmotorized users;
- 3) Increase the security of the transportation system for motorized and nonmotorized users;
- Increase the accessibility and mobility options available to people and for freight;
- Protect and enhance the environment, promote energy conservation, and improve quality of life, and promote consistency between transportation improvements and State and local planned growth and economic development patterns;
- 6) Enhance the integration and connectivity of the transportation system, across and between modes, for people and freight;
- 7) Promote efficient system management and operation; and
- 8) Emphasize the preservation of the existing transportation system."

It is the responsibility of the Federally mandated, State designated, regional Metropolitan Planning Organizations (MPOs) to carry out the Federal transportation planning process in their respective urbanized areas and prepare many federal transportation documents, including the Transportation Improvement Program (TIP). This process, and the MPOs themselves, were established with the intention to include local and regional input into the Federal transportation planning process.

Based on regulations promulgated by the U.S. Department of Transportation (DOT), any transportation project funded through the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), or the Federal Transit Administration (FTA) must be listed in the appropriate region's Transportation Improvement Program (TIP). MassDOT combines the 13 regional MPO TIPs with statewide projects to produce the Statewide TIP from which Federal-aid highway and transit projects are chosen. Without such a listing, Federal Highway funds cannot be expended by the Massachusetts Department of Transportation (MassDOT) on local or State projects. Similarly, the Merrimack Valley Regional Transit Authority (MVRTA) can only receive federal funds for projects listed in the TIP and STIP.

Merrimack Valley Metropolitan Planning Organization (MVMPO)

The MVMPO was first created by the Governor of Massachusetts in 1972. The MVMPO covers the same 15-community geographic area that defines the MVPC region and the MVRTA service area. The current MVMPO membership is as follows:

- Secretary of Massachusetts Department of Transportation (MassDOT) Stephanie Pollack
- MassDOT Acting Highway Division Administrator
- Director of the Merrimack Valley Planning Commission (MVPC)
- Administrator of the Merrimack Valley Regional Transit Authority Advisory Board
- Mayor of Haverhill
- Mayor of Lawrence
- Representing Region 1 (Amesbury, Newburyport, Salisbury)
- Representing Region 2 (Newbury, Rowley, West Newbury)
- Representing Region 3 (Boxford, Georgetown, Groveland, Merrimac)
- Representing Region 4 (Andover, Methuen, North Andover)
- •

•

- Ex officio, non-voting members of the MVMPO include:
- Federal Highway Administration Region I
 Federal Transit Administration Region I
 Pamela S. Stephenson
 Mary Beth Mello

Final FY 2016-2019 MVMPO TIP prepared July 30, 2015

– Thomas Tinlin

- Dennis DiZoglio

- Joseph Costanzo

- James Fiorentini

– Daniel Rivera

- Robert Snow

- Philip Trapani

– William Buckley

– Neil Harrington

- Rockingham Planning Commission MPO (NH)
- Boston MPO
- Northern Middlesex MPO
- Nashua Planning Commission

The TIP has been prepared in accordance with 23 CFR 450.324.

The development of the TIP starts with the Regional Transportation Plan (RTP). The MVMPO's RTP is a twenty-five year plan for transportation projects that can be programmed for implementation with federal funds. The RTP is fiscally constrained and lists potential future projects in five year blocks. Projects are chosen for the RTP based on MAP-21 transportation planning factors, existing roadway conditions, problems identified through ongoing pavement, congestion, and safety analyses conducted by the MVMPO, local and state project priorities and fiscal factors. Each year, the MVMPO programs projects from the RTP that are 'ready-to-go' into its four-year Transportation Improvement Program (TIP). Only those projects that are specifically identified in the RTP or are consistent with its recommendations can be programmed in the TIP.

Only projects from the first block of years (2016 to 2020) in the RTP are programmed in the TIP. Projects that appear in the TIP were initiated and selected from a number of sources. Bridge projects have been selected and developed by the MassDOT's Bridge section largely based upon the results of their ongoing bridge maintenance program. The Department has made it a priority to develop projects that would correct problems in "Structurally Deficient" (SD) bridges. The region's Congestion Management Process is used to identify intersections and roadways where significant congestion exists, and measures the levels of congestion at these locations. This information has been used by local communities to develop roadway projects that are programmed in the TIP. Similarly, locations identified as having safety problems in the region's Safety Monitoring System or identified as a "crash cluster" by MassDOT are used by the Department and local communities to develop TIP projects.

Part A. 2. Prioritization

The FFY 2016-2019 Merrimack Valley Metropolitan Planning Organization's Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) contains federal-aid project programming information for four years. For each year, gross estimates of project costs are listed in the federal fiscal year of the proposed advertise date. Federal fiscal years begin on October 1 and run through September 30. For example, FFY 2016 begins on October 1, 2015 and

- Glen Coppleman
 Lynn Duncan
- Mott Honoon
- Matt Hanson
- Karin Elmer

ends on September 30, 2016. The advertising dates shown for roadway projects were determined based on information provided by the Capital Expenditure and Program Office within MassDOT, the MassDOT District 4 Office, and MVMPO member communities. The MVRTA and MassDOT's Rail and Transit Division determined programming dates for transit projects.

Projects are programmed in the region's TIP based on a number of factors. These include the project's score based upon the MPO's Transportation Evaluation Criteria, project cost and the availability of STP, CMAQ, and HSIP and TAP funding in the years covered in the document. Road and bridge project selection is also largely dependent upon the current and expected design status for each project, which can be affected by such factors as environmental permitting and Right-of-Way (ROW) status. For bridge projects, information from MassDOT's Bridge section is also given primary consideration when scheduling projects.

Transportation Evaluation Criteria

In 2003, the MPOs worked with the then Massachusetts Executive Office of Transportation and Public Works (EOTPW) to develop objective evaluation criteria that could be applied to transportation projects in the Commonwealth. Early in 2004, EOTPW asked planning staff from the then MassHighway Planning, the MassHighway district offices and the regional planning agencies to apply these criteria to projects within their respective Metropolitan Planning Organizations (MPOs). Application of these criteria include not only an evaluation of the magnitude of improvement in the condition, mobility, and safety of transportation projects, but also an evaluation of their community effects and support, the land use and economic development impact, and the environmental effects. A score valued from -3 to 3 is assigned to each of the criteria. In fact, there is at least one score associated with each of the MAP-21 eight planning factors.

The evaluation criteria are listed in Appendix C. The resulting Transportation Evaluation Criteria (TEC) scores for selected projects in the Merrimack Valley region that were derived by applying these criteria are shown in the table in Appendix C of this document and also in the 'Additional Information' column in the project listings. It is the goal of the MVMPO that these criteria ratings, along with information related to the readiness of projects, will make the planning process, and more specifically, the selection and prioritization of projects, more transparent to the general public.

The use of these TEC scores also allows the Merrimack Valley MPO to meet MAP-21's requirements for programming TAP funding through a competitive process and, in general helps to manage performance by focusing available funding on the highest regional priorities. It also helps to draw attention to the reader that MAP-21 is a very Performance Measure-oriented piece of legislation.

In June 2000, the Task Force of State and Regional Officials to Define, Develop and Monitor a Balanced Statewide Road and Bridge Program was created to develop a Memorandum of Understanding that defined the content of and the Commonwealth's commitment to maintaining a significant statewide bridge and road program. Included in this MOU was a provision calling for the development of a cooperative process for state and regional officials to identify a priority listing of non-federal aid construction projects that will be considered by the state in selecting state-aid projects. The non-federal aid road and bridge project lists included in the TIP are to be developed by the regions based on the amount of state roadway and bridge money that can reasonably be expected to be available in the coming fiscal year. It should be noted that these reasonably expected non-federal aid funding figures are not "targets", but guides that assist the state and the regions in identifying priority projects and establishing a realistic project programming and implementation schedule.

To implement this provision of the MOU, this year's TIP includes sections that identify the MPO's priority road and bridge projects using a format prescribed by MassDOT's Office of Transportation Planning. For federally funded projects, the Merrimack Valley MPO has established the following programming categories:

Section 1A

- Federal-Aid STP Projects Using MVMPO Target Authority (STP, STP-TE)
- Federal-Aid CMAQ Projects Using MVMPO Target
- Federal-Aid HSIP Projects Using MVMPO Target
- Federal-Aid TAP Projects Using MVMPO Target

Section 1B

• Federal-Aid State Category Bridge Projects (provided by MassDOT)

Section 1C

• Federal-Aid Non-target Projects (federally-funded non-target regional projects such as HPP, federal discretionary, Omnibus 330, Section 115, etc.)

Section 1D

• Federal-Aid major infrastructure and other state category projects, i.e., Major Infrastructure, Interstate Maintenance, statewide CMAQ, National Highway System, etc.)

Non-federally funded projects have been assigned to one of the following categories:

Section 2A

• Non-Federal-Aid Other Projects (only projects for which NFA funds have been specifically made available)

Section 2B

- Non-Federal-Aid Bridge Projects (provided by Mass Highway)
- Appendices A and B, Other Regional Priorities (projects for which funding has not been identified)

Part A. 3. Public Participation

The principal objective of this document is the provision of an additional point for public access to and review of the transportation planning process. This 2016-2019 Transportation Improvement Program was developed in accordance with the Public Participation Process established for the Merrimack Valley Metropolitan Planning Organization (MVMPO). The MVMPO adopted its current Public Involvement Process in December 2009 and amended in 2010. The Process applies to the development of the Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) and the Regional Transportation Plan (RTP). The Public Involvement Process endorsed by the MVMPO is also used by the MVRTA as its public involvement process. The notice of public involvement and time established for review and comment for the development of this TIP satisfies the Program of Project requirements established by the Federal Transit Administration (FTA).

The Merrimack Valley MPO's 2009 Public Participation Plan, as amended, reflects the consultation requirements of SAFETEA-LU (23 CFR 450.316(3)(b) for the development of Regional Transportation Plans and Transportation Improvement Programs. This document identifies a number of new stakeholders to be consulted in developing these documents. In developing the draft FY 2016-2019 Transportation Improvement Program, all MVMPO stakeholders were given notice that the process of developing the FY 2016-2019 TIP was beginning and were provided with a preliminary listing of TIP projects before the release of the draft. Stakeholders were also notified of the availability of the document for public review and comment.

Public Participation Plan Stakeholder List

Listed below are categories of interested individuals, organizations and other stakeholders (Interested Parties) identified by the MVMPO for inclusion in the PPP. They are defined based on the individual groups identified in MAP-21 and the existing transportation planning regulations developed by the U.S. Department of Transportation. The MVMPO continues to add individuals, organizations or other stakeholders to this list and their addition is not considered an act requiring the formal amendment of the PPP. Similarly, any of the individuals or organizations identified below may request to be removed from the mailing list and such action does not necessitate a formal PPP amendment.

The MVMPO conducts outreach to agencies and individuals including, but not limited to, those identified below:

Citizens, including:

- Interested Citizens
- Merrimack Valley Transportation Committee (MVTC) members
- Libraries
- City/Town Clerks
- MVMPO Region Congressional Delegation
- MVMPO Region Legislative Delegation

Affected public agencies, including:

- Boards of Selectmen / City Councils
- Chief Elected Officials
- City and Town Engineers
- Federal Emergency Management Agency
- Federal Highway Administration
- Federal Transit Administration
- Greater Derry-Salem Cooperative Alliance for Regional Transportation (CART)
- Local Departments of Public Works
- Local Police Departments
- Local Traffic and Safety Committees
- MassRIDES

- Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection
- MBTA Commuter Rail Officials
- Massachusetts Executive Office of Housing and Economic Development
- Massachusetts Executive Office of Public Safety and Security
- MassDOT
- Merrimack Valley Regional Transit Authority
- Metropolitan Area Planning Council
- Nashua Regional Planning Commission
- Rockingham Planning Commission
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Representatives of public transportation employees, including:

• Truck Driver's Union Local #170

Freight shippers, including:

- P.J. Murphy Transportation
- JB Hunt
- Estes Express
- Shaheen Brothers
- ABF Freight
- PanAm Railways
- Bonney's Express

Providers of freight transportation services, including:

- United Parcel Service
- Federal Express

Private profit and non-profit providers of transportation in the region, including:

- Assist Incorporated
- C&J Transportation
- Cape Ann Transit Authority (CATA)
- Central Wheelchair and Van Transportation
- EMT Corporation

- Local Taxi Companies
- Northern Essex Elder Transportation (NEET)
- Other Transportation Providers Identified in the Regional Transportation Plan
- The Coach Company
- TransCare

The notices were sent directly to more than 1,000 addressees representing these groups, 750 via e-mail and 325 via traditional mail.

In addition to these direct mailings, and in accordance with this process, public notice of the Draft 2016-2019 Transportation Improvement Program was published in the *Lawrence Eagle Tribune*, the *Newburyport Daily News*, *Haverhill Gazette* (Published Weekly) and *Rumbo News* informing the public of its right to comment on the document which would be available at the MVPC office, the MVPC website and local libraries from June 29, 2015 through July 28, 2015. It said that comments would be received through July 28, 2015 and that two separate public hearings on the document would take place on July 15, 2015 at 1:00 PM and at 6:00 PM at the MVPC office at 160 Main Street in Haverhill, MA. The MVMPO will summarize comments that are received during the 30-day review and comment period and will include this summary in the Final 2016-2019 TIP.

Public input in developing the TIP was sought at the following meetings in 2015:

- April 9, May 20 and June 24 MVMPO Meetings;
- April 2, May 7 and June 4 MVRTA Advisory Board Meetings;
- April 16 and May 21 Merrimack Valley Planning Commission Meetings;
- January 14 Merrimack Valley Transportation Committee Meeting;
- July 3 DPW Directors Meeting;
- And July 15 Public Hearings (2).

The above meetings were held at the Merrimack Valley Planning Commission with the exception of the MVRTA Advisory Board meetings, which were held at the MVRTA Offices.

Part A. 4. Amendment/Adjustment Procedures

The following amendment/adjustment procedures are hereby adopted to consist of the following:

Minor adjustments to the TIP do not require formal MPO action and can be made via the administrative action of the Merrimack Valley MPO. These minor adjustments are limited to:

- Moving a project from Fiscal Year 2 to Fiscal Year 1 (Annual Element);
- Moving a project from Fiscal Year 2 or later to a later Fiscal Year;
- Changing the scope and description of a project as long as they are minor changes;
- Changing funding amounts that are less than a ten percent increase in project cost;
- Changing funding sources.

Major changes continue to require MPO action through the formal amendment process. Major changes would require a thirty day public review and comment period that includes a public hearing. These changes include, but are not limited to:

- Advancement of other than a Fiscal Year 2 project;
- Ten percent or more increases in the construction cost estimate for a Fiscal Year 1 project;
- Adding a new project.

Part A. 5. High Priority Projects

SAFETEA-LU, contained a number of earmarked transportation projects that were to receive federal funding. Specific funding amounts were obligated to each of these projects, but no additional funding was included in SAFETEA-LU to complete them. Consequently, states with these projects must implement them within the annual federal authorization limits established in the legislation. The Merrimack Valley region contains eleven such projects which are shown below along with their status:

Highway High Priority Projects	<u>Status</u>
Amesbury/Newburyport – Rehabilitation of I-95 Whittier Bridge	Under Construction
Andover – Design, Engineering and Construction at I-93 The Junction Interchange, (Andover, Tewksbury, and Wilmington)	Draft EIR/EIS Being Developed
Haverhill – Construct Haverhill intermodal center access and vehicle capacity improvements.	Project Complete
Lawrence – Design and construct Canal and Union Street Corridor improvements.	Project Complete
Lawrence – Construct access improvements to the Lawrence Gateway Project.	Project Complete
Methuen – Design, engineering and construction of Methuen Rotary alternative at I-93 and Routes 110 and 113.	Under Construction
Newbury – Rehabilitation and paving of Parker River Road	Project Complete
North Andover – Improvements to Mass. Ave., Andover St., Osgood St., Salem St and Johnson St. in the Old Town Center of North Andover	Project Complete
Parker River National Wildlife Refuge – Preliminary engineering for Rehabilitation and paving of Sunset Drive in National Wildlife Refuge	Project Complete
Salisbury to Boxford – Design, Engineer, Permit and Construct "Border to Boston Bikeway" rail trail project	Project Under Design
Transit Projects for Bus and Bus-Related Facilities and Clean Fuels	<u>Status</u>
Grant Program	
Haverhill – Design and Construct Intermodal Transit Parking Improvements.	Project Complete (see above)
Lawrence – Gateway Intermodal and Quadrant Area Reuse Project.	Project Complete (see above)
Newburyport – Design and Construct Intermodal Facility	Project Under Design

Part A. 6. Advance Construction

Advance Construction is a Federal-aid fund management tool, which as described by the Federal Highway Administration website:

"...allows states to begin a project even in the absence of sufficient Federal-aid obligation authority to cover the Federal share of project costs. It is codified in Title 23, Section 115. Advance construction eliminates the need to set aside full obligational authority before starting projects...At some future date when the state does have sufficient obligational authority, it may convert an advance-constructed project to a Federal-aid project by obligating the permissible share of its Federal-aid funds and receiving subsequent reimbursements."

In other words, the state pays for the project with non-Federal-aid funds to begin with and can later seek reimbursement of the Federal share of the funding category's project cost by obligating Federal-aid funding in future years.

Projects must meet the following criteria before they can be designated to use the Advanced Construction (AC) funding mechanism:

- 1. The project's estimated Federal participating cost exceeds the **total** regional annual target (i.e. sum of HSIP, CMAQ, TAP and Non HSIP/CMAQ/TAP), and
- 2. Construction, based on an engineering review of the project, will take place during all the years for which federal funding is programmed.

The following projects are programmed in the FFY 2016-2019 TIP using this Advance Construction (AC) method:

Amesbury – Reconstruction of Elm Street Groveland – Rehabilitation of Route 97 (School Street & Salem Street) Haverhill – Substructure Replacement, H-12-039, I-495 (NB & SB) over Merrimack River

Part A. 7. Transportation Funding Programs

Highway Projects

Projects from the following Federal-aid (from programs identified in the MAP-21 federal transportation funding authorization and non-Federal-aid funding categories are shown in the FFY 2016-2019 TIP. In some cases Federal-aid funding is from older funding programs established in earlier legislation such as SAFETEA-LU.

<u>Bridge Replacement and Rehabilitation ((BR) (SAFETEA-LU / continued in MAP-21))</u> - funds replacement and repair of Structurally Deficient or unsafe bridges in urban and rural areas on any public road. Bridges can be on the federal aid system (BR ON) or off system (BR OFF). Funding: Federal - 80%, State - 20%

<u>Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality Improvement Program ((CMAQ) (SAFETEA-LU</u> /<u>continued in MAP-21))</u> – funds projects that reduce congestion and improve air quality. Funding: Federal - 80%, State - 20%

<u>High Priority Projects (HPP) (Carryover from SAFETEA-LU)</u> – funds up to 80% of the costs of specific transportation projects identified in SAFETEA-LU. These projects have a separate allocation, but do not receive additional funds and are therefore subject to the state's federal authorization limit.

Funding: Federal- 80%, State - 20%

Highway Safety Improvement Program ((HSIP) (SAFETEA-LU / continued in MAP-21)) - funds safety improvement projects at high crash locations.

Funding: Federal - 90%, State - 10%

Interstate Maintenance (IM) (SAFETEA-LU) - funds projects to restore, resurface, and rehabilitate the Interstate System.

Funding: Federal - 90%, State - 10%

Interstate Maintenance Discretionary ((IMD) (Carryover from SAFETEA-LU)) – earmarked funds for projects to restore, resurface, and rehabilitate the Interstate System. Funding: Federal - 90%, State - 10% <u>National Highway Program ((NHS)</u> (from SAFTEA-LU, MAP-21) enhances NHS to include additional roadways and is renamed <u>National Highway Performance Program</u> (NHPP)) - funds projects on all National Highway System Roadways.

Funding: Varies, generally Federal - 80%, State – 20%, but for the Interstate System, Federal - 90%, State – 10%

<u>Non-Federal Aid (NFA)</u> - funds construction, reconstruction, and improvement projects on roads and bridges in urban and rural areas.

Funding: State - 100% (Transportation Bond Bill), or Private - 100%

<u>Surface Transportation Program ((STP) (TEA-21 continued in MAP-21))</u> - funds projects chosen by states and localities for any roads that are not functionally classified as local or rural minor collectors.

Funding: Federal - 80%, State - 20%

<u>STP Enhancements ((STP E)</u> ((SAFETEA-LU ; not continued in MAP-21)) - a portion of Surface Transportation Program funding for enhancement projects chosen by states and localities.

Funding: Federal -80%, State - 20%

<u>Transportation Alternatives Program (TAP)</u> – (New program established in MAP-21) authorizes a new category which provides funding for projects which can be defined as transportation alternatives including bicycle and pedestrian facilities, enhanced mobility, community improvements, environmental mitigations, and various other types of transportation alternatives as defined in MAP 21.

Funding: Federal - 80%, State - 20%

<u>Transportation, Community, and System Preservation (TCSP) Program (SAFETEA-LU) /</u> continued in MAP-21) – according to the Federal Highway Administration website

"...provides funding for a comprehensive initiative including planning grants,

implementation grants, and research to investigate and address the relationships between transportation, community, and system preservation and to identify private sector-based initiatives."

Funding: Federal - 80%, Other - 20%

Transit Projects

Projects from the following Federal-aid (SAFETEA-LU and/or MAP-21 as noted) and non-Federal-aid funding categories are shown in the FFY 2016-2019 TIP.

<u>Section 5307 (SECT-07) (Capital and Planning) (SAFETEA-LU continued in MAP-21)</u> - funds routine capital projects and planning assistance in urban areas. This is an urban formula grant program for MVRTA Preventative Maintenance and ADA costs.

Funding: Federal - 80%, State - 20% (Bond Issue Funds) (capital and planning expenses)

State funding for the MVRTA's operating budget is provided through an agreement with the Transit Division of MassDOT. Local funds are derived from community assessments based on the number of route miles and special services operated within each community.

The Merrimack Valley Planning Commission will provide the 20% match for the planning activities it will conduct for the Merrimack Valley Regional Transit Authority under its Section 5307 transit planning contract with the Authority.

<u>Section 5309 ((SECT-9) (SAFETEA-LU replaced in MAP-21 with Section 5339))</u> - funds capital projects in urban areas which can be characterized as major capital investments in public transportation equipment and facilities. This is a discretionary grant program. Funding: Federal - 80%, State - 20% (Transportation Bond Issue)</u>

<u>Section 5310 ((SECT-10)</u> (SAFETEA-LU continued in MAP-21))- provides capital funds, through the State, to private non-profit corporations and organizations to assist them in providing transportation services to meet the special needs of elderly and disabled persons. Funding: Federal - 80%, Funding Applicant - 20%

Organization of Project Listings

Each highway project in the TIP contains the following information:

<u>Amendment/Adjustment Type</u> – used to identify the type of amendment when changes are made to the document.

<u>MassDOT Project ID</u> - project identification numbers given by MassDOT for each highway and bridge project.

<u>MPO</u> – identifies the Metropolitan Planning Organization within which the project is located.

<u>Municipality Name</u> – identifies the community where the project is located.

<u>MassDOT Project Description</u> – includes the community, or communities, in which the project is located and a brief description of work to be funded under the project. This description is exactly the same as MassDOT has input to its project information pages.

<u>MassDOT District</u> - MassDOT highway district number (Merrimack Valley MPO is part of District 4);

<u>Funding Source</u> - abbreviation for the funding category from which funding is expected. (Funding categories and abbreviations are explained starting on page 15.);

<u>Total Programmed Funds</u>- estimated cost of project in Fiscal Year in which advertising is expected; *

<u>Federal Funds</u> – portion of Total Programmed Funds provided by Federal Funding;

<u>Non-Federal Funds</u>– portion of Total Programmed Funds not provided by Federal Funding, but required as matching funds in order to receive Federal Funds;

* Inflation increases project costs and therefore **the project costs** have been increased by **4% each** future year of the TIP.

Organization of Project Listings (Cont.)

Additional Information- such as:

- Total Project Cost if project is being Advance Constructed (AC), and the number of years the AC funding is to be reimbursed and which year of AC the listing refers to;
- For years beyond the first year of the TIP, the total project cost in the Year of Expenditure which includes an inflation rate of 4% per year from 2016 dollars;
- The TEC Score Transportation Evaluation Criteria (TEC) score as described in Part A.2. above;
- Whether the funding is for Construction or Design of the project;
- Whether Federal funding is from more than one funding category;
- Category of project for determining "Operating and Maintenance" versus "Capital and Other" cost.

The notation is O, M, C, or N representing: (O) operating costs, (M) maintenance costs, (C) capital costs, or (N) other costs, such as planning.

Transit Projects Organization of Project Listings

Each transit project in the TIP contains the following information:

<u>FTA Program</u> – abbreviation for the Federal Funding program from which funding is expected. (Transit Funding categories and abbreviations are explained on page 17.);

<u>Regional Transit Authority</u> – MVRTA (Merrimack Valley Regional Transit Authority) is the regional transit authority;

Project Description – a brief description of work to be funded under the project;

<u>Carryover or Earmark Details</u> – indicates whether Carryover or a specific year's Earmark funding is being used;

Federal Funds – Portion of Total Programmed Funds provided by Federal Funding;

Organization of project listings Transit Projects (Cont.)

<u>State Match Sources</u> – portion of Total Programmed Funds not provided by Federal Funding, but required as matching funds in order to receive Federal Funds, coming from State Sources subdivided into the following categories of State Funding:

RTACAP – Regional Transit Authority State Capital Assistance; MAP – Mobility Assistance Program; ITCCAP – Intermodal Transportation Center Capital; TDC – Transportation Development Credits, and SCA – State Contract Assistance.

<u>RTA Funds</u> – portion of Total Programmed Funds not provided by Federal Funding, but required as matching funds in order to receive Federal Funds, coming from MVRTA funding sources other than State funding sources.

Total Cost - estimated total cost of project.

Part B. Project Listings

Highway Projects

2016 Merrimack Va								06/29/2015 Draft Rele		
	lley Transp	ortation In	provement	Program	1			07/29/2015 Endorsed		
mendment/Adjustment Type ▼	MassDOT Project ID ▼	мро ▼	Municipality Name ▼		MassDOT District ▼	Funding Source ▼	Total Programmed Funds ▼	Federal Funds ▼	Non-Federal Funds ▼	Additional Information ▼
Section 1A / Federal Aid Tar	get Projects	-1								
HSIP - Highway Safety Impro		ram								
ion rightay outery impre	Venient 110g			No Projects Programmed			\$-	\$-	\$-	
				No Projects Programmed			\$-	\$-	\$-	
						HSIP Subtotal ►	\$-	\$-	\$-	◄ 90% Federal + 10% Non-Federal
CMAQ - Congestion Mitigatio	on and Air Qu	uality Improve	ement Program	1						
	606161	Merrimack Valley	Haverhill	HAVERHILL - IMPROVEMENTS ON MAIN STREET (ROUTE 125)	4	CMAQ	\$ 1,384,237	\$ 1,107,390	\$ 276,847	Total Project Cost = \$3,026,676 FY 2016 STP FY 2016 CMAQ (Construction) TEC = 9.32 out 18 (C)
							\$-	\$-	\$-	
						CMAQ Subtotal ►	\$ 1,384,237	\$ 1,107,390	¢ 070.047	
							ψ 1,304,237	φ 1,107,390	\$ 276,847	◀ 80% Federal + 20% Non-Federal
TAP - Transportation Alterna	atives Progra	m Merrimack Valley	Amesbury	AMESBURY - POWWOW RIVERWALK CONSTRUCTION	4		\$ 610,846	\$ 488,677	\$ 122,169	Total Project Cost = \$786,875 FY 2016 STP FY 2016 TAP (Construction) TEC = 3.85 out
TAP - Transportation Alterna		Merrimack	Amesbury				\$ 610,846			Total Project Cost = \$786,875 FY 2016 STP FY 2016 TAP (Construction) TEC = 3.85 out (
TAP - Transportation Alterna		Merrimack	Amesbury				\$ 610,846	\$ 488,677	\$ 122,169	 ■ 80% Federal + 20% Non-Federal Total Project Cost = \$786,875 FY 2016 STP FY 2016 TAP (Construction) TEC = 3.85 out t 18 (C) Project Proponent = City of Amesbury ■ 80% Federal + 20% Non-Federal
	606669	Merrimack	Amesbury			ТАР	\$ 610,846 \$ -	\$ 488,677	\$ 122,169 \$ -	Total Project Cost = \$786,875 FY 2016 STP FY 2016 TAP (Construction) TEC = 3.85 out 18 (C) Project Proponent = City of Amesbury
	606669	Merrimack	Amesbury			ТАР	\$ 610,846 \$ -	\$ 488,677 \$ - \$ 488,677	\$ 122,169 \$ -	Total Project Cost = \$786,875 FY 2016 STP FY 2016 TAP (Construction) TEC = 3.85 out 18 (C) Project Proponent = City of Amesbury
	606669	Merrimack Valley Merrimack		RIVERWALK CONSTRUCTION	4	TAP TAP Subtotal ►	\$ 610,846 \$ - \$ 610,846	\$ 488,677 \$ - \$ 488,677 \$ 140,823	\$ 122,169 \$ - \$ 122,169	Total Project Cost = \$786,875 FY 2016 STP FY 2016 TAP (Construction) TEC = 3.85 out 18 (C) Project Proponent = City of Amesbur ■ 80% Federal + 20% Non-Federal - Total Project Cost = \$786,875 FY 2016 STP FY 2016 TAP (Construction) TEC = 3.85 out
TAP - Transportation Alterna	606669 606669 606669	Merrimack Valley Merrimack Valley Merrimack	Amesbury	RIVERWALK CONSTRUCTION AMESBURY - POWWOW RIVERWALK CONSTRUCTION GROVELAND - REHABILITATION OF ROUTE 97 (SCHOOL STREET &	4	TAP TAP Subtotal ► STP	\$ 610,846 \$ - \$ 610,846 \$ 176,029	\$ 488,677 \$ - \$ 488,677 \$ 140,823 \$ 1,632,402	\$ 122,169 \$ - \$ 122,169 \$ 35,206 \$ 408,100	Total Project Cost = \$786,875 FY 2016 STP FY 2016 TAP (Construction) TEC = 3.85 out 18 (C) Project Proponent = City of Amesbur ■ 80% Federal + 20% Non-Federal Total Project Cost = \$786,875 FY 2016 STP FY 2016 TAP (Construction) TEC = 3.85 out 18 (C) Project Proponent = City of Amesbur 18 (C) Project Proponent = City of Amesbur AC Year 2 of 2. Total Project Cost = \$6,341,7 to be converted to FA FY 2015 + FY 2016

► Section 1A / Fiscal Constraint Analysis

Total Federal Aid Target Funds Programmed >	\$ 5,854,053	\$ 7,732,899	■Total Target	\$ 1,878,846	Target Funds Available
Total Non-CMAQ/HSIP/TAP (Other) Programmed ►	\$ 3,858,970	\$ 5,294,861	✓ Max. Non-	\$ 1,435,891	Non-CMAQ/HSIP/TAP (Other)
			CMAQ/HSIP/TAP		Available
Total HSIP Programmed ►	\$-	\$ 442,956	◄ Min. HSIP	\$ 442,956	HSIP Minimum Not Met
Total CMAQ Programmed >	\$ 1,384,237	\$ 1,384,237	 Min. CMAQ 	\$ -	CMAQ Minimum Met
Total TAP Programmed ►	\$ 610,846	\$ 610,846	✓ Min. TAP	\$ -	TAP Minimum Met

Remaining HSIP, CMAQ, and TAP Funds \$ 442,956

0040								06/29/2015 Draft Rele	ased	
2016 Merrimack Vall	ey Transp	ortation Im	provement	Program			-	07/29/2015 Endorsed		
Amendment/Adjustment Type ▼	MassDOT Project ID ▼	мро ▼	Municipality Name ▼	MassDOT	MassDOT District ▼		Total Programmed Funds ▼	Federal Funds ▼	Non-Federal Funds ▼	Additional Information ▼
► Section 1B / Federal Aid Bridg	ge Projects									
► Statewide Bridge Maintenance	e Program	_			_					
				No Projects Programmed			\$-	\$-	\$-	
				No Projects Programmed			\$-	\$-	\$-	
				Statewide Bridge Mair	ntenance Pro	gram Subtotal 🕨	\$-	\$-	\$-	◀ 80% Federal + 20% Non-Federal
► On System	-	-			1	1				
				No Projects Programmed			\$-	\$-	\$-	
				No Projects Programmed			\$-	\$-	\$-	
					On S	ystem Subtotal ►	\$-	\$-	\$-	80% Federal + 20% Non-Federal
► Off-System		_								
				No Projects Programmed			\$-	\$-	\$-	
				No Projects Programmed			\$-	\$-	\$-	
					Off-S	ystem Subtotal ►	\$-	\$-	\$ -	80% Federal + 20% Non-Federal
► Statewide Bridge Inspection Pro	ogram	7	1		1	I	[T		
				No Projects Programmed			\$-	\$-	\$-	
				No Projects Programmed			\$ -	\$-	\$ -	
				Statewide Bridge Ir	nspection Pro	gram Subtotal 🕨	\$-	\$-	\$-	80% Federal + 20% Non-Federal
Section 1C / Federal Aid Non-	Target Proje	cts								
► Other Federal Aid		1	i.	No Projects Programmed	1	[\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	
									÷ -	
				No Projects Programmed			\$-	\$-	\$ -	
► Section 1D / Federal Aid Majo	r & State Cat	tegory Projec	te		Other Feder	al Aid Subtotal ►	\$-	\$-	\$-	 Funding Split Varies by Funding Source
Section 107 rederal Aid Majo		legoly Flojec	15							
Statewide Infrastructure Prog	gram	1	ī		1	[-	
				No Projects Programmed			\$ - \$ -	\$ - \$ -	\$ - \$ -	
				No Projects Programmed Statewide Infra	astructure Pr	ogram Subtotal 🕨		\$- \$-	s -	80% Federal + 20% Non-Federal
► Statewide HSIP Program						-g.am cabicial P	L T	I Ŧ	1 7	
		1	1	No Projects Programmed	1		\$-	\$-	\$-	
				No Projects Programmed			\$ -	\$-	\$ -	
		•			ide HSIP Pro	oram Subtotal ►	\$ -	\$ -	s -	90% Federal + 10% Non-Federal

2016								06/29/2015 Draft Re		
2016 Merrimack Va	lley Transp	oortation In	nprovement	Program		1	r	07/29/2015 Endorse	d	
Amendment/Adjustment Type ▼	MassDOT Project ID ▼	мро ▼	Municipality Name ▼			Funding Source ▼	Total Programmed Funds ▼	Federal Funds ▼	Non-Federal Funds ▼	Additional Information ▼
	·		i tailio i		District	oouloo ,	i unuo i			
Section 1D / Federal Aid Maj	or & State Ca	ategory Proje	ects (Cont.)							
Statewide Safe Routes to So	hools Progra	am								
	608002	Merrimack Valley	Lawrence	LAWRENCE - SAFE ROUTES TO SCHOOL (BRUCE ELEMENTARY)	4	TAP	\$ 812,500	\$ 650,000	\$ 162,500	Total Project Cost = \$ 812,500 TAP is 80% Federal + 20% Non-Federal (Construction) (C)
				No Projects Programmed			\$	- \$ -	\$-	Funding Split Varies by Funding Source
	_			Statewide Safe Routes	o Schools P	rogram Subtotal ►	\$ 812,500	\$ 650,000	\$ 162,500	✓ Funding Split Varies by Funding Source
						0				
Statewide CMAQ		-	1		-		[1	
				No Projects Programmed			\$	•\$-	\$-	
				No Projects Programmed			\$	• \$ •	Ψ	
					5	Statewide CMAQ >	\$-	\$ -	\$ -	◀ 80% Federal + 20% Non-Federal
Statewide Transportation En	hancemente									
otatomide transportation En	nancements	1		No Projects Programmed			\$-		-	
				No Projects Programmed			\$ -			•
				Statewide Transporta	ion Enhance	ements Subtotal 🕨	\$ -	\$-	\$-	80% Federal + 20% Non-Federal
Statewide ITS										
Statewide 115				No Projects Programmed			\$-		-	
				No Projects Programmed			\$ -		-	-
					Statew	vide ITS Subtotal 🕨	\$-	\$-	\$ -	 80% Federal + 20% Non-Federal
	-									
Statewide Interstate Mainten	ance Program 606574	m Merrimack	Multiple	ANDOVER-LAWRENCE -	4	NHPP	\$ 14,396,000	\$ 12,956,400	\$ 1,439,600	Total Project Cost = \$14,396,000 (Construction) (N
	000374	Valley	Multiple	INTERSTATE MAINTENANCE AND RELATED WORK ON I-495	4	INDEE	\$ 14,390,000	φ 12,930,400	\$ 1,439,000	
				No Projects Programmed			\$-		-	
				Statewide Interstate Ma	intenance P	rogram Subtotal 🕨	\$ 14,396,000	\$ 12,956,400	\$ 1,439,600	 90% Federal + 10% Non-Federal
Statewide NHS Preservation	Program+				_					
	607476	Merrimack	Methuen	METHUEN- RESURFACING &	4	NHPP	\$ 6,937,161	\$ 5,549,729	\$ 1,387,432	
		Valley		RELATED WORK ON ROUTE 213						\$1,164,000 Stormwater (Construction) TEC = 3.93
										of 18. (M)
				No Projects Programmed			\$-			
				Statewide NHS Pre	eservation P	rogram Subtotal 🕨	\$ 6,937,161	\$ 5,549,729	\$ 1,387,432	◀ 80% Federal + 20% Non-Federal
►Statewide RR Grade Crossin	as									
	90		1	No Projects Programmed			\$-		-	
				No Projects Programmed			\$ -			
				Statewide R	R Grade Cro	ossings Subtotal 🕨	\$-	\$-	\$ -	80% Federal + 20% Non-Federal
Statewide Stormwater Retro	lite									
- Statewide Stormwater Retro	607476	Merrimack	Methuen	METHUEN - RESURFACING AND	4	STP-TE	\$ 1.164.000	\$ 931,200	\$ 232,800	\$8,101,161 Total Cost = \$6,937,161 NHS +
		Valley		RELATED WORK ON ROUTE 213		5	• 1,101,000	¢ 001,200	¢	\$1,164,000 Stormwater (Construction) TEC = 3.93 of 18. (M)
				No Projects Programmed			\$-			
					Stormwater R	Retrofits Subtotal ►	\$ 1,164,000	\$ 931,200	\$ 232,800	◀ 80% Federal + 20% Non-Federal
Official ADA 1										
Statewide ADA Implementation	on Plan	٦	1	No Projects Programmed	٦		\$-		_	
	_			No Projects Programmed			\$ -			
	1	1	1		1	on Plan Subtotal ►		\$-	\$ -	

2016 Merrimack Valle	2016 Merrimack Valley Transportation Improvement Program 06/29/2015 Endorsed 07/29/2015 Endorsed									
	Project ID		Municipality	MassDOT	MassDOT	Funding	Total Programmed		Non-Federal Funds	Additional
Amendment/Adjustment Type V	V	МРО ▼	Name V	Project Description▼	District ▼	Source V	Funds ▼	Federal Funds ▼	▼	Information V

Section 1D / Federal Aid Major & State Category Projects (Cont.)

► Other Statewide Items

ABP GANS Repayment	\$	-	-	-	
Award Adjustments, Change Orders,	\$	-	-	-	
Project Value Changes, Etc.					
DBEs, FAPO, Pavement Lab Retrofits,	\$	-	-	-	
and Misc. Programs					
Planning	\$	-	-	-	
Statewide Design and Right of Way	\$	-	-	-	
Statewide Recreational Trails	\$	-	-	-	
Other State	wide Items Subtotal ► \$	- 3	\$-	\$-	 Funding Split Varies by Funding Source

Section 2A / Non-Federal Projects

► Non Federal Aid					
	No Projects Programmed	NFA	\$-	\$-	
	No Projects Programmed	NFA	\$-	\$-	
		Non-Federal Aid Subtotal►	\$-	\$-	■100% Non-Federal

Section 2B / Non-Federal Bridge Projects

Section 2B / Non-Federal Bridge Projects						
	No Projects Programmed	NFA	\$		\$ -	
	No Projects Programmed	NFA	\$		\$ -	
	Section 2B / Non-Fede	eral Bridge Projects Subtotal►	\$		\$ -	<100% Non-Federal
0040					Total of All Project	ts
2016 Merrimack Valley TIP Summary			TIP Section 1: ▼	TIP Section 2: ▼	Total of All Proiec ▼	is .
2016 Merrimack Valley TIP Summary					•	
2016 Merrimack Valley TIP Summary		Total ►			•	 ▲ Total Spending in Region ▲ Total Federal Spending in Region

Non-Federal Funds ► \$

701 CMR 7.00 Use of Road Flaggers and Police Details on Public Works Projects / 701 CMR 7.00 (the Regulation) was promulgated and became law on October 3, 2008. Under this Regulation, the CMR is applicable to any Public works Project that is performed within the limits of, or that impact traffic on, any Public Road. The Municipal limitation referenced in this Regulation is applicable only to projects where the Municipality is the Awarding Authority. For all projects contained in accordance with 701 CMR 7.00, and the Road Flagger and Police Detail Guidelines. By placing a project on the TIP, the Konvoledges that 701 CMR 7.00 applicable to its project and design and construction will be fully compliant with this Regulation. This information, and additional information relative to guidance and implementation of the Regulation can be found at the following link on the MassDOT Highway Division website: http://www.massdot.state.ma.as/Highway/Highway.

4,393,143 \$

- \$

4,393,143

 Total Non-Federal Spending in Region

roject ID ▼ I	MassDOT Project Description▼	Funding Source ▼	Total Programmed Funds ▼	GHG Analysis Type ▼	GHG Impact by the Numbers (kg/year)▼	GHG Impact Description ▼	Additional Description ▼
Section 1A / Fed	deral Aid Target Projects						
1	Safety Improvement Program	1	•				
	No Projects Programmed		\$ -	N/A	0	N/A	
1 0	No Projects Programmed		\$ -	N/A antified Impact ►	0	N/A	
606161	tion Mitigation and Air Quality Improvement	CMAQ	\$ 1,384,237	Quantified	6,421	Quantified Decrease in Emissions (See	6,421 = Emission Reduction in CO ₂ kg per
	STREET (ROUTE 125)		· · · ·			Emissions Analysis Appendix)	
0		D	\$-	N/A antified Impact ►	0 • 6,421	N/A	
	tation Alternatives Program					Assumed Nominal Decrease in Emissions	
	CONSTRUCTION	TAP	\$ 610,846	Qualitative	0	from Bicycle and Sidewalk Improvements	
0		D	\$-	N/A	0	N/A	
Non-CMAQ/HSI	P/TAP (Other)		Qua	antified Impact >	•0		
	AMESBURY - POWWOW RIVERWALK CONSTRUCTION	STP	\$ 176,029	Qualitative	0	Assumed Nominal Decrease in Emissions from Bicycle and Sidewalk Improvements	
	GROVELAND - REHABILITATION OF ROUTE 97 (SCHOOL STREET & SALEM STREET)	STP	\$ 2,040,502	Qualitative	0	No Assumed Impact/Negligible Impact on Emissions	
	HAVERHILL - IMPROVEMENTS ON MAIN STREET (ROUTE 125)	STP	\$ 1,642,439	Quantified		Quantified Decrease in Emissions (See Emissions Analysis Appendix)	Quantity of Emissions Reduction shown a in CMAQ funding section.
			Qua	antified Impact >	• 0		

MassDOT	MassDOT	Funding	Total Programmed	GHG Analysis	GHG Impact by the Numbers	GHG	Additional
roject ID ▼	Project Description▼	Source ▼	Funds ▼	Туре ▼	(kg/year) ▼	Impact Description V	Description V
Section 1B /	Federal Aid Bridge Projects						
►Statewide B	ridge Maintenance Program						
0	No Projects Programmed		\$ -	N/A	0	N/A	
0	No Projects Programmed		\$ -	N/A	0	N/A	
				antified Impact >			I
On System							
0	No Projects Programmed		\$-	N/A	0	N/A	
0	No Projects Programmed		\$ -	N/A	0	N/A	
			Qua	antified Impact >	• 0		
► Off-System					1		
0	No Projects Programmed		\$ -		0	N/A	
0	No Projects Programmed		\$-	N/A	0	N/A	
			Qua	antified Impact >	• 0		
Statewide B	Aridge Inspection Program						
Statewide B 0	Bridge Inspection Program		۱ _{\$} -	N/A	1 0	N/A	1
	No Projects Programmed	I	۱ <mark>۶ -</mark> ۶ -	N/A N/A	1 0 0	N/A N/A	1
0		 	\$ -		0		1
0	No Projects Programmed	 	\$ -	N/A	0		
0 0	No Projects Programmed No Projects Programmed	1	\$ -	N/A	0		I
0 0	No Projects Programmed		\$ -	N/A	0		
0 0 • Section 1C /	No Projects Programmed No Projects Programmed Federal Aid Non-Target Projects al Aid		\$ - Qua	N/A	0		
0 0	No Projects Programmed No Projects Programmed Federal Aid Non-Target Projects		\$ -	N/A	0		
0 0 ▶ Section 1C / ▶ Other Federa	No Projects Programmed No Projects Programmed Federal Aid Non-Target Projects al Aid		\$ - Qua	N/A antified Impact > N/A	0		
0 0 ► Section 1C / ► Other Federa 0	No Projects Programmed No Projects Programmed Federal Aid Non-Target Projects al Aid No Projects Programmed		\$ Qua \$ - \$ -	N/A antified Impact > N/A N/A	0 0	N/A	
0 0 ► Section 1C / ► Other Federa 0 0	No Projects Programmed No Projects Programmed Federal Aid Non-Target Projects al Aid No Projects Programmed	I	\$ Qua \$ - \$ -	N/A antified Impact > N/A	0	N/A	
0 0 ► Section 1C / ► Other Federa 0 0	No Projects Programmed No Projects Programmed Federal Aid Non-Target Projects al Aid No Projects Programmed No Projects Programmed	I I I I Sects	\$ Qua \$ - \$ -	N/A antified Impact > N/A N/A	0 0	N/A	
0 0 > Section 1C / > Other Federa 0 0 > Section 1D /	No Projects Programmed No Projects Programmed Federal Aid Non-Target Projects al Aid No Projects Programmed No Projects Programmed	I	\$ Qua \$ - \$ -	N/A antified Impact > N/A N/A	0 0	N/A	
0 0 • Section 1C / • Other Federa 0 0 • Section 1D /	No Projects Programmed No Projects Programmed Federal Aid Non-Target Projects al Aid No Projects Programmed No Projects Programmed Federal Aid Major & State Category Projects	pects	\$ Qua \$ - \$ -	N/A antified Impact > N/A N/A untified Impact >	0 0	N/A	
0 0 0 ► Section 1C / ► Other Federa 0 0 ► Section 1D / ► Statewide Ir	No Projects Programmed No Projects Programmed Federal Aid Non-Target Projects al Aid No Projects Programmed No Projects Programmed Federal Aid Major & State Category Projects Infrastructure Program		\$ Que \$ \$ Que Que \$ \$ \$	N/A antified Impact ► N/A N/A intified Impact ► N/A N/A	0 0 0 0 0 0	N/A 	
0 0 0 > Section 1C / > Other Federa 0 0 0 > Section 1D / > Statewide Ir 0	No Projects Programmed No Projects Programmed Federal Aid Non-Target Projects al Aid No Projects Programmed No Projects Programmed Federal Aid Major & State Category Projects Afrastructure Program No Projects Programmed	0	\$ Que \$ \$ Que Que \$ \$ \$	N/A antified Impact > N/A N/A untified Impact >	0 0 0 0 0 0	N/A	
0 0 0 • Section 1C / • Other Federa 0 0 • Section 1D / • Statewide Ir 0 0	No Projects Programmed No Projects Programmed Federal Aid Non-Target Projects al Aid No Projects Programmed No Projects Programmed Federal Aid Major & State Category Projects frastructure Program No Projects Programmed No Projects Programmed	0	\$ Que \$ \$ Que Que \$ \$ \$	N/A antified Impact ► N/A N/A intified Impact ► N/A N/A	0 0 0 0 0 0	N/A	
0 0 0 ► Section 1C / ► Other Federa 0 0 ► Section 1D / ► Statewide Ir 0 0	No Projects Programmed No Projects Programmed Federal Aid Non-Target Projects al Aid No Projects Programmed No Projects Programmed Federal Aid Major & State Category Projects Afrastructure Program No Projects Programmed	0	\$ Que \$ \$ Que Que \$ \$ \$	N/A antified Impact ► N/A N/A Intified Impact ► N/A N/A antified Impact ►	0 0 0 0 0 0	N/A	

	MERRIMACK VALLEY TIP GHG Traci	ung					
/lassDOT Project ID ▼	MassDOT Project Description▼	Funding Source ▼	Total Programmed Funds ▼	GHG Analysis Type ▼	GHG Impact by the Numbers (kg/year)▼	GHG Impact Description ▼	Additional Description ▼
►Section 1D / I	Federal Aid Major & State Category Projects (Co	ont.)					
Statewide Sa	afe Routes to Schools Program						
608002	LAWRENCE - SAFE ROUTES TO SCHOOL (BRUCE ELEMENTARY)	TAP	\$ 812,500	Qualitative	0	Assumed Nominal Decrease in Emissions from Sidewalk Infrastructure	
0	No Projects Programmed	0	\$-	N/A	0	N/A	
			Qua	ntified Impact	•0		
Statewide CI						N/A	
0	No Projects Programmed	0	\$ - \$ -	N/A	0	N/A N/A	
0	No Projects Programmed	U		N/A ntified Impact >	0	N/A	
			300		L	_	
Statewide Tra	Ansportation Enhancements No Projects Programmed		\$ -	N/A	0	N/A	I
0	No Projects Programmed		\$ -	N/A N/A	0	N/A N/A	
0	No Flojects Flogranmed			ntified Impact		N/A	
Statewide ITS	S						
0	No Projects Programmed		\$ -	N/A	0	N/A	
0	No Projects Programmed		\$ -	N/A ntified Impact >	0	N/A	
Statewide Int 606574	terstate Maintenance Program ANDOVER-LAWRENCE - INTERSTATE MAINTENANCE AND RELATED WORK ON I-	NHPP	\$ 14,396,000		0	No Assumed Impact/Negligible Impact on Emissions	
	495	NHPP		Qualitative			
0	No Projects Programmed		\$ -	N/A	0	N/A	
	HS Preservation Program+			ntified Impact ▶			
607476	METHUEN- RESURFACING & RELATED WORK ON ROUTE 213	NHPP	\$ 6,937,161	Qualitative	0	No Assumed Impact/Negligible Impact on Emissions	
0			\$-	N/A	0	N/A	
Statewide RR	R Grade Crossings		Qua	ntified Impact	0		
0	No Projects Programmed		\$ -	N/A	0	N/A	
0	No Projects Programmed		\$ -	N/A	0	N/A	
				ntified Impact			
	ormwater Retrofits	-		-	1		1
607476	METHUEN - RESURFACING AND RELATED WORK ON ROUTE 213	STP-TE	\$ 1,164,000	Qualitative	0	No Assumed Impact/Negligible Impact on Emissions	
0			\$ -	N/A	0	N/A	
Statowide AD	DA Implementation Plan		Qua	ntified Impact	0		
0	No Projects Programmed		\$ -	N/A	0	N/A	
0	No Projects Programmed		\$ -	N/A N/A	0	N/A	
				ntified Impact >			

		Funding Source ▼	Total Programmed Funds ▼	GHG Analysis Type ▼	GHG Impact by the Numbers (kg/year)▼	GHG Impact Description ▼	Additional Description ▼
roject ID ▼	Project Description •	Source V	runus v	Type V	(kg/year) v	Impact Description +	Description +
Castien 4D / I	Federal Aid Major & State Category Projects (Con						
Section 1D/1	rederal Aid Major & State Category Projects (Con	nt.)					
0	ABP GANS Repayment		\$-	N/A	0	N/A	
0	Award Adjustments, Change Orders, Project Value Changes, Etc.		\$-	N/A	0	N/A	
0	DBEs, FAPO, Pavement Lab Retrofits, and Misc. Programs		\$-	N/A	0	N/A	
0	Planning		\$-	N/A	0	N/A	
0	Statewide Design and Right of Way		\$-	N/A	0	N/A	
0	Statewide Recreational Trails		\$ - Qua	N/A antified Impact ▶	0	N/A	
			•			N/A	
	Statewide Recreational Trails		•			N/A	
Section 2A / I	Statewide Recreational Trails		•			N/A	
Section 2A / I	Statewide Recreational Trails		Qua	ntified Impact ▶	0		
Section 2A / I Non Federal /	Statewide Recreational Trails Non-Federal Projects Aid No Projects Programmed		Qua \$ - \$ -	ntified Impact ▶	• 0 0 0	N/A	
Section 2A / I Non Federal A 0 0	Statewide Recreational Trails Non-Federal Projects Aid No Projects Programmed No Projects Programmed		Qua \$ - \$ -	ntified Impact ► N/A N/A	• 0 0 0	N/A	
Section 2A / I Non Federal A 0 0 Section 2B / I	Statewide Recreational Trails Non-Federal Projects Aid No Projects Programmed No Projects Programmed Non-Federal Bridge Projects		Qua \$ - \$ -	ntified Impact ► N/A N/A	• 0 0 0	N/A	
Section 2A / I Non Federal A 0 0 Section 2B / I	Statewide Recreational Trails Non-Federal Projects Aid No Projects Programmed Non-Federal Bridge Projects Non-Federal Bridge Projects		Qua \$ - \$ -	N/A N/A N/A	• 0 0 0	N/A	
Section 2A / I Non Federal A 0 0 Section 2B / I Section 2B / I	Statewide Recreational Trails Non-Federal Projects Aid No Projects Programmed No Projects Programmed Non-Federal Bridge Projects		Que Que \$ - \$ - Que	ntified Impact ► N/A N/A	0 0 0 0	N/A N/A	
Section 2A / I Non Federal / 0 Section 2B / I Section 2B / I 0	Statewide Recreational Trails Non-Federal Projects Aid No Projects Programmed Non-Federal Bridge Projects Non-Federal Bridge Projects No Projects Programmed		Que \$ - \$ - Que \$ - Que \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -	N/A N/A N/A N/A	• 0 0 0 0 0	N/A N/A	
Section 2A / I Non Federal 4 0 0 Section 2B / I Section 2B / I 0 0	Statewide Recreational Trails Non-Federal Projects Aid No Projects Programmed Non-Federal Bridge Projects Non-Federal Bridge Projects No Projects Programmed		Que Que \$ \$ Que \$ Que	N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A	• 0 0 0 0 0	N/A N/A	

2017 Merrimack Vall	ey Transp	ortation Im	provement	06/29/2015 Draft Rele 07/29/2015 Endorsed						
	MassDOT									
	Project ID		Municipality	MassDOT	MassDOT	Funding	Total Programmed		Non-Federal Funds	Additional
Amendment/Adjustment Type 🔻	V	MPO 🔻	Name V	Federal Funds ▼	▼	Information V				

► Section 1A / Federal Aid Target Projects

►HSIP - Highway Safety Improvement Program

,	606159	Marrimook	North Andover	NORTH ANDOVER - INTERSECTION & SIGNAL IMPROVEMENTS AT ROUTE 125 & MASSACHUSETTS AVENUE	4	HSIP	\$ 442,956	\$ 398,66	0	\$ 44,296	Total Project Cost = \$1,677,312 cost inflated 4% from 2016 cost. FY 2017 STP + FY 2017 HSIP (Construction) TEC = 7.7 out of 18. (C)
				No Projects Programmed			\$ -	\$	-	\$-	
						HSIP Subtotal ►	\$ 442,956	\$ 398,66	0	\$ 44,296	 90% Federal + 10% Non-Federal

► CMAQ - Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality Improvement Program

	607573	Merrimack Valley	Haverhill	HAVERHILL - RECONSTRUCTION ON ROUTE 97 (BROADWAY), FROM SILVER BIRCH LANE TO RESEARCH DRIVE	4	CMAQ	\$ 588,746	\$ 470,997	\$ 117,749	Total Project Cost = \$6,156,000 cost inflated 4% from 2016 cost. FY 2017 STP & CMAQ (Construction) TEC = 6.75 out of 18. (C)
				No Projects Programmed			\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	(CMAQ Subtotal ►	\$ 588,746	\$ 470,997	\$ 117,749	80% Federal + 20% Non-Federal

►TAP - Transportation Alternatives Program

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		No Projects Programmed		\$ - \$	-	\$-	
		No Projects Programmed		\$ - \$	-	\$-	
			TAP Subtotal ►	\$ - \$	-	\$-	◀ 80% Federal + 20% Non-Federal

Non-CMAQ/HSIP/TAP (Other)		-	1							_
	607573	Merrimack Valley	Haverhill	HAVERHILL - RECONSTRUCTION ON ROUTE 97 (BROADWAY), FROM SILVER BIRCH LANE TO RESEARCH DRIVE	4	STP	\$ 5,567,254	\$ 4,453,803	\$ 1,113,451	Total Project Cost = \$6,156,000 cost inflated 4% from 2016 cost. FY 2017 STP & CMAQ (Construction) TEC = 6.75 out of 18. (C)
	606159	Merrimack Valley	North Andover	NORTH ANDOVER - INTERSECTION & SIGNAL IMPROVEMENTS AT ROUTE 125 & MASSACHUSETTS AVENUE	4	STP	\$ 1,234,356	\$ 987,485	\$ 246,871	Total Project Cost = \$1,677,312 cost inflated 4% from 2016 cost. FY 2017 STP + FY 2017 HSIP (Construction) TEC = 7.7 out of 18. (C)
				Non-CMAQ/	'HSIP/TAP (Other) Subtotal ►	\$ 6,801,610	\$ 5,441,288	\$ 1,360,322	 80% Federal + 20% Non-Federal

Section 1A / Fiscal Constraint Analysis

Total Federal Aid Target Funds Programmed >	\$ 7,833,312	\$ 9,150,359	▲Total Target	\$ 1,317,047	Target Funds Available
Total Non-CMAQ/HSIP/TAP (Other) Programmed >	\$ 6,801,610	\$ 6,926,265	 Max. Non- 	\$ 124,655	Non-CMAQ/HSIP/TAP (Other)
			CMAQ/HSIP/TAP		Available
Total HSIP Programmed >	\$ 442,956	\$ 442,956	 Min. HSIP 	\$ -	HSIP Minimum Met
Total CMAQ Programmed >	\$ 588,746	\$ 1,384,237	 Min. CMAQ 	\$ 795,491	CMAQ Minimum Not Met
Total TAP Programmed ►	\$ -	\$ 396,901	◄ Min. TAP	\$ 396,901	TAP Minimum Not Met

Remaining HSIP, CMAQ, and TAP Funds \$ 1,192,392

0047								06/29/2015 Draft Rel	eased	
2017 Merrimack Valle	ey Transp	ortation Im	provement	Program	T	1		07/29/2015 Endorsed	1	
	MassDOT									
	Project ID		Municipality	MassDOT	MassDOT	Funding	Total Programmed		Non-Federal Funds	Additional
Amendment/Adjustment Type V	V	MPO ▼	Name ▼	Project Description▼	District ▼	Source ▼	Funds ▼	Federal Funds V	V	Information V
► Section 1B / Federal Aid Bridg	e Projects									
► Statewide Bridge Maintenance	Program									
]		No Projects Programmed	1	1	\$-	\$-	\$ -	
				No Projects Programmed			\$ -	\$ -	\$-	
				Statewide Bridge Mai	ntenance Pro	ogram Subtotal ►		÷ \$ -	\$-	80% Federal + 20% Non-Federal
				5		0				
► On System										
		1		No Projects Programmed]		\$-	\$-	\$-	
				No Projects Programmed			\$-	\$-	\$-	
					On S	system Subtotal ►	\$-	\$-	\$-	80% Federal + 20% Non-Federal
]
► Off-System	-	_								
				No Projects Programmed			\$-	\$-	\$-	
				No Projects Programmed			\$-	\$-	\$-	
					Off-S	ystem Subtotal 🕨	\$-	\$-	\$-	◀ 80% Federal + 20% Non-Federal
									1	<u>_</u>
Statewide Bridge Inspection Pro	gram	1	1	No. Desirado. Des seconos e d	1	1	•	•	•	
				No Projects Programmed			<u>\$</u> -	\$ - \$ -	\$ -	
				No Projects Programmed Statewide Bridge In	on action Dr	gram Subtatal N		\$ - \$ -	\$ - \$ -	✓ 80% Federal + 20% Non-Federal
				Statewide Bridge in	ispection Fit	Sublotar P	¢ .	Ŷ	Ŷ	
Section 1C / Federal Aid Non-	Farget Proje	ects								
► Other Federal Aid										
				No Projects Programmed	1		\$-	\$-	\$-	
				No Drojecto Drogrammod	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		\$-	\$-	¢	
				No Projects Programmed			\$-	\$ -	\$-	
	,				Other Feder	ral Aid Subtotal ►	\$-	\$-	\$-	 Funding Split Varies by Funding Source
► Section 1D / Federal Aid Major	& State Ca	tegory Proje	cts							
► Statewide Infrastructure Prog	ram	_			_					
				No Projects Programmed			\$	\$-	\$-	
				No Projects Programmed			\$-	\$-	\$-	
				Statewide Infra	structure Pro	ogram Subtotal 🕨	\$-	\$-	\$-	◀ 80% Federal + 20% Non-Federal
► Statewide HSIP Program										
]		No Projects Programmed	1		\$-	\$-	\$-	
				No Projects Programmed	-		\$	\$-	\$-	
					ide HSIP Pro	ogram Subtotal 🕨	\$-	\$-	\$-	◄ 90% Federal + 10% Non-Federal
Statewide Safe Routes to Sch	ools Progra	am								
					1			\$-	\$-	
				No Projects Programmed			\$-	\$ -		Funding Split Varies by Funding Source
				Statewide Safe Routes to	Schools Pro	ogram Subtotal ►		\$ -		◄ Funding Split Varies by Funding Source

2017 Merrimack Valley Transportation Improvement Program									06/29/2015 Draft Released 07/29/2015 Endorsed			
			nprovement				1		07/29/2013 E	SIZO IS ENGUISED		
Amendment/Adjustment Type ▼	MassDOT Project ID ▼	МРО ▼	Municipality Name ▼	MassDOT Project Description▼	MassDOT District ▼	•	Total Prog Funds ▼	grammed	Federal Fund	is ▼	Non-Federal Funds ▼	Additional Information ▼
Section 1D / Federal Aid Majo	or & State Ca	tegory Proje	ects (Cont.)									
Statewide CMAQ												
		1	1	Ne Desirate Descurrented	1		•				•	
	_			No Projects Programmed	_		\$	-	Ŷ		\$-	
				No Projects Programmed			\$	-	Ŷ	-	\$ -	
					S	atewide CMAQ ►	\$	-	\$	-	\$-	■ 80% Federal + 20% Non-Federal
Statewide Transportation En	hancements	1	i.	No. Desirate. Des sus sus el			¢		1			
				No Projects Programmed			\$	-			-	
		1	1	No Projects Programmed Statewide Transportati	ion Enhance	mente Subtotol ►	\$	-	\$		\$ -	✓ 80% Federal + 20% Non-Federal
				Statewide Transportati		menta Gubiotal 🕨	LΨ	-	φ	-	ψ -	
Statewide ITS		_										
	_			No Projects Programmed			\$	-		-	-	
				No Projects Programmed			\$	-		-	-	
					Statewi	de ITS Subtotal 🕨	\$	-	\$	-	\$-	80% Federal + 20% Non-Federal
Statewide Interstate Mainten	neo Broara	m										
- Statewide interstate mainten	607561	Merrimack Valley	Multiple	ANDOVER- METHUEN- INTERSTATE MAINTENANCE & RELATED WORK ON I-93	4	NHPP	\$1	0,387,021	\$ 9,3	48,319	\$ 1,038,702	\$11,011,021 Total Cost = \$11,011,021 (inflate 4% from 2016) = \$10,387,019 IM + \$624k Stormwater. Cost inflated 4% from 2016 cost. (Construction) (M)
				No Projects Programmed			\$			-	-	
		1		Statewide Interstate Mai	intenance Pr	ogram Subtotal ►		0,387,021	\$ 9,3	48,319	\$ 1,038,702	90% Federal + 10% Non-Federal
► Statewide NHS Preservation	Program+					-						_
Statewide Milo Treservation	Tiogrami	1	1	No Projects Programmed	1		\$			-		
				No Projects Programmed			\$	-		-		
	_		1	Statewide NHS Pre	servation Pr	ogram Subtotal ►	\$	-	\$		\$-	80% Federal + 20% Non-Federal
Statewide RR Grade Crossin	gs	г	1		7				1			
	-		+	No Projects Programmed No Projects Programmed	+		\$ \$			-	-	
		1	1		R Grade Cro	ssings Subtotal ►		-	\$		- -	
				Statewide R		songa Gubiotai 🕨	ĻΨ		ĮΨ		Ψ -	
Statewide Stormwater Retrot	its				_							
	607561	Merrimack Valley	Multiple	ANDOVER - METUEN - INTERSTATE MAINTENANCE AND RELATED WORK ON I-93	4	STP-TE	Ş	624,000	\$ 4	99,200	\$ 124,800	\$11,011,021 Total Cost = \$11,011,021 (inflat 4% from 2016) = \$10,387,019 IM + \$624k Stormwater. Cost inflated 4% from 2016 cost. (Construction) (M)
	_		1			1	\$		1	-	-	
		1		Statewide S	tormwater R	etrofits Subtotal ►		624,000	\$ 4	99,200	\$ 124,800	80% Federal + 20% Non-Federal
Statewide ADA Implementation	n Plan	1	1		٦	h						
	1	1		No Projects Programmed	1		\$	-		-	-	
				No Projects Programmed			\$	-		-		

2017 Marsimaak Vallau Transportation Improvement Program													
2017 Merrimack Valley Transportation Improvement Program 07/29/2015 Endorsed													
Municipality	MassDOT	MassDOT	Funding	Total Programmed		Non-Federal Funds	Additional						
▼ Name ▼	Federal Funds V	▼	Information V										
	Municipality	Municipality MassDOT	Municipality MassDOT MassDOT	Municipality MassDOT MassDOT Funding	Municipality MassDOT MassDOT Funding Total Programmed	Improvement Program 07/29/2015 Endorsed Municipality MassDOT MassDOT Funding Total Programmed	Improvement Program 07/29/2015 Endorsed Municipality MassDOT MassDOT Funding Total Programmed Non-Federal Funds						

Section 1D / Federal Aid Major & State Category Projects (Cont.)

Other Statewide Items						
	ABP GANS Repayment	\$	-	-	-	
	Award Adjustments, Change Orders, Project Value Changes, Etc.	\$	-	-	-	
	DBEs, FAPO, Pavement Lab Retrofits, and Misc. Programs	\$	-	-	-	
	Planning	\$	-	-	-	
	Statewide Design and Right of Way	\$	-	-	-	
	Statewide Recreational Trails	\$	-	-	-	
	Other Statewide	e Items Subtotal ► \$	- \$	- \$	- ◀ Fun	ding Split Varies by Funding Source

Section 2A / Non-Federal Projects

► Non Federal Aid						
	No Projects Programmed	NFA	\$-	9	; -	
	No Projects Programmed	NFA	\$-	\$; -	
		Non-Federal Aid Subtotal►	\$-	9	-	◀100% Non-Federal

Section 2B / Non-Federal Bridge Projects

Section 2B / Non-Federal Bridge Projects							
	No Projects Programmed	NFA	\$-		\$	-	
	No Projects Programmed	NFA	\$-		\$	-	
	Section 2B / Non-Federal Brid	dge Projects Subtotal►	\$-		\$	-	<100% Non-Federal
0047					Tota	I of All Projects	
2017 Merrimack Valley TIP Summary			TIP Section 1: ▼	TIP Section 2: ▼	Tota ▼	I of All Projects	
2017 Merrimack Valley TIP Summary		Total ►			Tota ▼		 Total Spending in Region
2017 Merrimack Valley TIP Summary			\$ 18,844,333	\$	Tota ▼ - \$ \$	18,844,333	

701 CMR 7.00 Use of Road Flaggers and Police Details on Public Works Projects / 701 CMR 7.00 (the Regulation) was promulgated and became law on October 3, 2008. Under this Regulation, the CMR is applicable to any Public works Project that is performed within the limits of, or that impact traffic on, any Public Road. The Municipal Limitation referenced in this Regulation is applicable only to projects where the Municipality is the Awarding Authority. For all projects contained in the TIP, the Commonwealth is the Awarding Authority. Therefore, all projects must be considered and implemented in accordance with 701 CMR 7.00, and the Road Flagger and Police Detail Guidelines. By placing a project on the TIP, the Municipality acknowledges that 701 CMR 7.00 is applicable to its project and design and construction will be fully compliant with this Regulation. This information, and additional information relative to guidance and implementation of the Regulation can be found at the following link on the MassDOT Highway Division website: http://www.massdot.state.ma.us/Highway/flaggers/main.asp

	IERRIMACK VALLEY TIP GHG Tracki	1	1	-	1	1	
lassDOT Project ID ▼	MassDOT Project Description▼	Funding Source ▼	Total Programmed Funds ▼	GHG Analysis Type ▼	GHG Impact by the Numbers (kg/year)▼	GHG Impact Description ▼	Additional Description ▼
Section 1A / F	ederal Aid Target Projects						
HSIP - Highwa	ay Safety Improvement Program						
606159	NORTH ANDOVER - INTERSECTION & SIGNAL IMPROVEMENTS AT ROUTE 125 & MASSACHUSETTS AVENUE	HSIP	\$ 442,956	Qualitative	0	Assumed Nominal Decrease in Emissions from Other Improvements	
0	No Projects Programmed		\$-	N/A	0	N/A	
		I	Qui	antified Impact <	0		
►CMAQ - Cong	estion Mitigation and Air Quality Improvement						
607573	HAVERHILL - RECONSTRUCTION ON ROUTE 97 (BROADWAY), FROM SILVER BIRCH LANE TO RESEARCH DRIVE	CMAQ	\$ 588,746	Qualitative	0	Assumed Nominal Decrease in Emissions from Sidewalk Infrastructure	
0	No Projects Programmed		\$-	N/A	0	N/A	
TAP - Transpo	ortation Alternatives Program		¢	N/A	0	N/A	
0	No Projects Programmed		\$ - \$ -				
0	No Projects Programmed			N/A antified Impact ►	0	N/A	
► Non-CMAQ/H	SIP/TAP (Other)	1					
607573	HAVERHILL - RECONSTRUCTION ON ROUTE 97 (BROADWAY), FROM SILVER BIRCH LANE TO RESEARCH DRIVE	STP	\$ 5,567,254	Qualitative	0	Assumed Nominal Decrease in Emissions from Sidewalk Infrastructure	
606159	NORTH ANDOVER - INTERSECTION & SIGNAL IMPROVEMENTS AT ROUTE 125 & MASSACHUSETTS AVENUE	STP	\$ 1,234,356	Qualitative	0	Assumed Nominal Decrease in Emissions from Other Improvements	
			Qua	antified Impact >	0		

lassDOT Project ID ▼	MassDOT Project Description▼	Funding Source ▼	Total Programmed Funds ▼	GHG Analysis Type ▼	GHG Impact by the Numbers (kg/year)▼	GHG Impact Description ▼	Additional Description ▼
Section 1B	/ Federal Aid Bridge Projects						
Statewide B	ridge Maintenance Program						
0	No Projects Programmed		\$ -	N/A	0	N/A	
0	No Projects Programmed		\$ -	N/A	0	N/A	
On System			Qua	antified Impact ►	. 0		
0	No Projects Programmed		\$-	N/A	0	N/A	
0	No Projects Programmed		\$ -	N/A	0	N/A	
			Qua	antified Impact >	• 0		I
Off-System							
0	No Projects Programmed		\$-	N/A	0	N/A	
0	No Projects Programmed		\$-	N/A antified Impact ►	0	N/A	
	Bridge Inspection Program		\$ -	N/A	0	N/A	
0							
0	No Projects Programmed		\$ -	N/A Antified Impact ►	0	N/A	
0 Section 1C /	No Projects Programmed		\$ -	N/A	0		
0 Section 1C /	No Projects Programmed		\$ -	N/A	0		
0 Section 1C / Other Federa	No Projects Programmed / Federal Aid Non-Target Projects al Aid		\$ Qua	N/A antified Impact ► N/A	0	N/A	
0 Section 1C / Other Feder 0	No Projects Programmed / Federal Aid Non-Target Projects al Aid No Projects Programmed		\$ Que \$ - \$ -	N/A antified Impact ►	0	N/A	
0 • Section 1C / • Other Feder 0 0 • Section 1D /	No Projects Programmed / Federal Aid Non-Target Projects al Aid No Projects Programmed	ects	\$ Que \$ - \$ -	N/A antified Impact > N/A N/A	0	N/A	
0 Section 1C / Other Feder 0 0 Section 1D / Statewide In 0	No Projects Programmed / Federal Aid Non-Target Projects al Aid No Projects Programmed No Projects Programmed / Federal Aid Major & State Category Projects nfrastructure Program No Projects Programmed	0	\$ Que \$ \$ Que \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ -	N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A	0 0 0 0 0 0	N/A	
0 Section 1C / Other Feder 0 0 Section 1D / Statewide In	No Projects Programmed / Federal Aid Non-Target Projects al Aid No Projects Programmed No Projects Programmed / Federal Aid Major & State Category Proje nfrastructure Program		\$ Que \$ \$ Que \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A		N/A	
0 Section 1C / Other Feder 0 0 Section 1D / Statewide II 0 0 Statewide F	No Projects Programmed / Federal Aid Non-Target Projects al Aid No Projects Programmed No Projects Programmed / Federal Aid Major & State Category Projects nfrastructure Program No Projects Programmed	0	\$ - Que \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - Que	N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A	0 0 0 0 0 0	N/A	
0 Section 1C / Other Feder 0 0 Section 1D / Statewide II 0 0 Statewide F 0	No Projects Programmed / Federal Aid Non-Target Projects al Aid No Projects Programmed No Projects Programmed / Federal Aid Major & State Category Projects nfrastructure Program No Projects Programmed No Projects Programmed State Category Projects No Projects Programmed	0	\$ - Que \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - Que Que \$ - Que \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -	N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	N/A	
0 Section 1C / Other Feder 0 0 Section 1D / Statewide II 0 0 Statewide F	No Projects Programmed / Federal Aid Non-Target Projects al Aid No Projects Programmed No Projects Programmed / Federal Aid Major & State Category Projects nfrastructure Program No Projects Programmed	0	\$ \$	N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A		N/A	
0 Section 1C / Other Feder 0 0 Section 1D / Statewide II 0 0 Statewide I 0 0	No Projects Programmed / Federal Aid Non-Target Projects al Aid No Projects Programmed No Projects Programmed / Federal Aid Major & State Category Projects nfrastructure Program No Projects Programmed No Projects Programmed State Category Projects No Projects Programmed	0	\$ \$	N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	N/A	
0 Section 1C / Other Feder 0 0 Section 1D / Statewide II 0 0 Statewide I 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	No Projects Programmed / Federal Aid Non-Target Projects al Aid No Projects Programmed No Projects Programmed / Federal Aid Major & State Category Projects nfrastructure Program No Projects Programmed No Projects Programmed	0	\$ \$	N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A		N/A	

Final FY 2016-2019 MVMPO TIP prepared July 30, 2015

				I			
MassDOT Project ID ▼	MassDOT Project Description▼	Funding Source ▼	Total Programmed Funds ▼	GHG Analysis Type ▼	GHG Impact by the Numbers (kg/year)▼	GHG Impact Description ▼	Additional Description ▼
Section 1D /	Federal Aid Major & State Category Projects (Cor	nt.)					
Statewide C	MAQ						
0	No Projects Programmed	0	\$ -	N/A	0	N/A	
0	No Projects Programmed	0	\$ -	N/A	0	N/A	
Statemida Ta			Qua	ntified Impact >	0		·
O Statewide In	Ansportation Enhancements		\$ -	N/A	0	N/A	
0	No Projects Programmed		\$ -	N/A	0	N/A	
► Statewide IT:			Qua	ntified Impact >	0		
0	No Projects Programmed		\$ -	N/A	0	N/A	
0	No Projects Programmed		\$ -	N/A	0	N/A	
	terstate Maintenance Program			ntified Impact ►	0		
607561	ANDOVER- METHUEN- INTERSTATE MAINTENANCE & RELATED WORK ON I-93	NHPP	\$ 10,387,021	Qualitative	0	No Assumed Impact/Negligible Impact on Emissions	
0	No Projects Programmed		\$ -	N/A	0	N/A	
				N/A ntified Impact ►		N/A	
	No Projects Programmed S Preservation Program+ No Projects Programmed		Qua				
Statewide NH	IS Preservation Program+		Qua \$ - \$ -	ntified Impact ►	0 0 0	_	
Statewide NH 0 0	1S Preservation Program+ No Projects Programmed		Qua \$ - \$ -	ntified Impact N/A	0		
Statewide NH 0 0 Statewide RF 0	S Preservation Program+ No Projects Programmed No Projects Programmed Grade Crossings No Projects Programmed		Qua \$ Qua Qua \$ Qua	N/A N/A N/A ntified Impact ►	0 0 0 0	N/A N/A N/A	
Statewide NH 0 0 Statewide RF	S Preservation Program+ No Projects Programmed No Projects Programmed Grade Crossings		Qua \$ \$ Qua \$ Qua \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	N/A N/A N/A ntified Impact N/A N/A	0 0 0 0	N/A N/A	
Statewide NH 0 0 Statewide RF 0 0 0	IS Preservation Program+ No Projects Programmed No Projects Programmed R Grade Crossings No Projects Programmed No Projects Programmed ornwater Retrofits		Qua \$ \$- Qua \$ } \$ Qua \$ Qua \$ Qua \$ } \$ Qua \$ Qua \$ } \$ Qua \$ } \$ Qua \$ Qua \$ Qua \$ Qua \$ } \$ Qua \$ Qua \$ Qua \$ } \$ } \$ } \$ } \$ } \$ } \$ } \$ } \$ } \$	N/A N/A N/A ntified Impact ►	0 0 0 0 0	N/A N/A N/A N/A	
Statewide NH O O Statewide RF O O O	S Preservation Program+ No Projects Programmed No Projects Programmed Grade Crossings No Projects Programmed No Projects Programmed	STP-TE	Qua \$ \$ Qua \$ Qua \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	N/A N/A N/A ntified Impact N/A N/A	0 0 0 0	N/A N/A N/A	
- Statewide NH 0 0 - Statewide RF 0 0 - Statewide State	IS Preservation Program+ No Projects Programmed No Projects Programmed Grade Crossings No Projects Programmed No Projects Programmed ornwater Retrofits ANDOVER - METUEN - INTERSTATE	STP-TE	Qua \$ \$- Qua \$ } \$ Qua \$ Qua \$ Qua \$ } \$ Qua \$ Qua \$ } \$ Qua \$ } \$ Qua \$ Qua \$ Qua \$ Qua \$ } \$ Qua \$ Qua \$ Qua \$ } \$ } \$ } \$ } \$ } \$ } \$ } \$ } \$ } \$	N/A N/A N/A ntified Impact ► N/A N/A ntified Impact ►	0 0 0 0 0	N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A	
	IS Preservation Program+ No Projects Programmed No Projects Programmed Grade Crossings No Projects Programmed No Projects Programmed ornwater Retrofits ANDOVER - METUEN - INTERSTATE MAINTENANCE AND RELATED WORK ON I-93	STP-TE	Qua \$ - \$ Qua \$ - Qua \$	ntified Impact ► N/A N/A ntified Impact ► N/A N/A N/A N/A Qualitative	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A	
 > Statewide NH 0 > Statewide RF 0 0 > Statewide Str 607561 0 	IS Preservation Program+ No Projects Programmed No Projects Programmed R Grade Crossings No Projects Programmed No Projects Programmed Ornwater Retrofits ANDOVER - METUEN - INTERSTATE MAINTENANCE AND RELATED WORK ON I-93 0	STP-TE	Qua \$ - \$ Qua \$ - Qua \$	ntified Impact ► N/A N/A ntified Impact ► N/A N/A ntified Impact ► Qualitative N/A	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A	

lassDOT roject ID ▼		Funding Source ▼	Total Programmed Funds ▼	GHG Analysis Type ▼	GHG Impact by the Numbers (kg/year)▼	GHG Impact Description ▼	Additional Description ▼
	Project Description V	Source V	Funds V	Type V	(kg/year) v	impact Description V	Description +
Section 1D /	Federal Aid Major & State Category Projects (Con	nt.)					
Other Statew		,					
0	ABP GANS Repayment		\$ -	N/A	0	N/A	
0	Award Adjustments, Change Orders, Project Value Changes, Etc.		\$ -	N/A	0	N/A	
0	DBEs, FAPO, Pavement Lab Retrofits, and Misc. Programs		\$-	N/A	0	N/A	
			\$ -		0	N/A	
0	Planning		φ -	N/A	0		
0	Statewide Design and Right of Way		\$-	N/A N/A	0	N/A	
			\$ - \$ -		0		
0	Statewide Design and Right of Way		\$ - \$ -	N/A N/A	0	N/A	
0	Statewide Design and Right of Way Statewide Recreational Traits Non-Federal Projects		\$ - \$ -	N/A N/A	0	N/A	
0 0 Section 2A/	Statewide Design and Right of Way Statewide Recreational Traits Non-Federal Projects		\$ - \$ -	N/A N/A	0	N/A	
0 0 Section 2A / /	Statewide Design and Right of Way Statewide Recreational Trails Non-Federal Projects Aid		\$- \$- Que	N/A N/A antified Impact ♪	0 0	N/A N/A	
0 0 Section 2A / I Non Federal 0	Statewide Design and Right of Way Statewide Recreational Trails Non-Federal Projects Aid No Projects Programmed		\$ - \$ - Qua	N/A N/A antified Impact I N/A	0 0 0	N/A N/A	
0 0 Section 2A / J Non Federal 0 0	Statewide Design and Right of Way Statewide Recreational Trails Non-Federal Projects Aid No Projects Programmed		\$ - \$ - Qua	N/A N/A Intified Impact I N/A	0 0 0	N/A N/A	
0 0 Section 2A / / Non Federal 0 0 Section 2B /	Statewide Design and Right of Way Statewide Recreational Trails Non-Federal Projects Aid No Projects Programmed No Projects Programmed		\$ - \$ - Qua	N/A N/A Intified Impact I N/A	0 0 0	N/A N/A	
0 0 Section 2A / / Non Federal 0 0 Section 2B /	Statewide Design and Right of Way Statewide Recreational Traits Non-Federal Projects Aid No Projects Programmed No Projects Programmed No Projects Programmed		\$ - \$ - Qua	N/A N/A Intified Impact I N/A	0 0 0	N/A N/A	
0 0 Section 2A / I Non Federal 0 0 Section 2B / Section 2B /	Statewide Design and Right of Way Statewide Recreational Trails Non-Federal Projects Aid No Projects Programmed No Projects Programmed Non-Federal Bridge Projects Non-Federal Bridge Projects		\$ - \$ - Qua	N/A N/A Intified Impact > N/A N/A Intified Impact >		N/A N/A N/A N/A	
0 0 Section 2A / / Non Federal 0 0 Section 2B / / Section 2B / / 0	Statewide Design and Right of Way Statewide Recreational Trails Non-Federal Projects Aid No Projects Programmed No Projects Programmed Non-Federal Bridge Projects Non-Federal Bridge Projects Non-Federal Bridge Projects Non-Federal Bridge Projects		\$ \$ Qua \$ \$ Qua \$	N/A N/A Intified Impact > N/A N/A N/A		N/A N/A	
0 0 Section 2A / I Non Federal 0 0 Section 2B / Section 2B / 0 0	Statewide Design and Right of Way Statewide Recreational Trails Non-Federal Projects Aid No Projects Programmed No Projects Programmed Non-Federal Bridge Projects Non-Federal Bridge Projects Non-Federal Bridge Projects Non-Federal Bridge Projects		\$ \$ Qua \$ \$ Qua \$ Qua \$	N/A N/A N/A Intified Impact > N/A N/A N/A N/A		N/A N/A	

2018 Merrimack Valle	ey Transpo	ortation Im	provement F	06/29/2015 Draft Rele 07/29/2015 Endorsed						
	MassDOT									
	Project ID		Municipality	MassDOT	MassDOT	Funding	Total Programmed		Non-Federal Funds	Additional
Amendment/Adjustment Type ▼	V	МРО ▼	Federal Funds V	V	Information V					

► Section 1A / Federal Aid Target Projects

► HSIP - Highway Safety Improvement Program

	No Projects Programmed		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	
	No Projects Programmed		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	
·		HSIP Subtotal ►	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	 90% Federal + 10% Non-Federal

► CMAQ - Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality Improvement Program

	605020	Merrimack Valley	Salisbury	SALISBURY - MULTI-USE TRAIL EXTENSION (BORDERS TO BOSTON TRAIL) No Projects Programmed	4	CMAQ	\$	6,260,607	\$	5,008,486	\$	1,252,121	Total Project Cost = \$6,657,508 cost inflated 8% from 2016 cost. FY 2018 CMAQ + TAP (Construction) TEC = 6.08 out of 18. (C) Project Proponent is the Town of Salisbury
				No Flojecis Flogrannieu			φ	-	φ		φ	-	
					(CMAQ Subtotal >	\$	6,260,607	\$	5,008,486	\$	1,252,121	80% Federal + 20% Non-Federal

► TAP - Transportation Alternatives Program

	605020	Merrimack Valley	Salisbury	SALISBURY - MULTI-USE TRAIL EXTENSION (BORDERS TO BOSTON TRAIL)	4	ТАР	\$ 396,901	\$ 317,521	\$ 79,380	Total Project Cost = \$6,657,508 cost inflated 8% from 2016 cost. FY 2018 CMAQ + TAP (Construction) TEC = 6.08 out of 18. (C) Project Proponent is the Town of Salisbury
				No Projects Programmed			\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	
						TAP Subtotal ►	\$ 396,901	\$ 317,521	\$ 79,380	80% Federal + 20% Non-Federal

► Non-CMAQ/HSIP/TAP (Other)

	602418	Merrimack Valley	Amesbury	AMESBURY - RECONSTRUCTION OF ELM STREET	4	STP	\$	2,390,107	\$	1,912,086	\$		AC Year 1 of 2. Total Project Cost = \$9,077,146 cost inflated 8% from 2016 cost. FY 2018 STP + 2019 STP (Construction) TEC = 5.98 out of 18. (C)
				No Projects Programmed			\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	
				Non Ch		(Other) Subtetal	¢	2 200 407	6	1 012 096	¢	479.001	4 90% Federal + 20% Nep Federal

.

_

Non-CMAQ/HSIP/TAP (Other) Subtotal ► \$ 2,390,107 \$ 1,912,086 \$ 478,021 < 80% Federal + 20% Non-Federal

Section 1A / Fiscal Constraint Analysis

Total Federal Aid Target Funds Programmed 🕨	\$ 9,047,615	\$ 9,549,019		\$ 501,404	Target Funds Available
Total Non-CMAQ/HSIP/TAP (Other) Programmed >	\$ 2,390,107	\$ 7,324,925	 Max. Non- 	\$ 58,448	Non-CMAQ/HSIP/TAP (Other)
			CMAQ/HSIP/TAP		Available
Total HSIP Programmed >	\$ -	\$ 442,956	 Min. HSIP 	\$ 442,956	HSIP Minimum Not Met
Total CMAQ Programmed	\$ 6,260,607	\$ 1,384,237	 Min. CMAQ 	\$ (4,876,370)	CMAQ Minimum Met
Total TAP Programmed ►	\$ 396,901	\$ 396,901	✓ Min. TAP	\$ -	TAP Minimum Met

.

2018 Merrimack Va	lley Transp	ortation Im	provement	Program					06/29/2015 Draft Rel 07/29/2015 Endorsed		
Amendment/Adjustment Type ▼	MassDOT Project ID ▼	мро ▼	Municipality Name ▼		MassDOT District ▼	Funding Source ▼	Total Funds	Programmed s ▼	Federal Funds ▼	Non-Federal Funds ▼	Additional Information ▼
Section 1B / Federal Aid Brid	lge Projects										
►Statewide Bridge Maintenan	ce Program										
		7	1	No Projects Programmed	7	1	\$		s -	\$ -	
				No Projects Programmed			\$		\$-	\$ -	
					e Maintenance Pr	ogram Subtotal ►		-	\$-	\$ -	■ 80% Federal + 20% Non-Federal
						0					
On System											
	605306	Merrimack Valley	Haverhill	HAVERHILL- SUBSTRUCTURE REPLACEMENT, H-12-039, I-495 (NB & SB) OVER MERRIMACK RIVER	4	NHPP	\$	27,250,000	\$ 21,800,000	\$ 5,450,000	AC Yr 1 of 3; Total Cost = \$56,428,400 inflated 8 from 2016. (Construction) (M)
							\$	-	\$-	\$-	
		1	1	1	On S	System Subtotal ►	\$	27,250,000	\$ 21,800,000	\$ 5,450,000	◀ 80% Federal + 20% Non-Federal
										1	
► Off-System		-	i.		_	1				I	F
				No Projects Programmed			\$	-	\$-	\$-	
				No Projects Programmed			\$	-	\$-	\$-	
					Off-S	System Subtotal ►	\$	-	\$-	\$-	■ 80% Federal + 20% Non-Federal
Statewide Bridge Inspection P											
Statewide Bridge inspection r	logram	7		No Projects Programmed	7	1	\$		s -	\$-	
				No Projects Programmed			\$	-		\$ -	
					dge Inspection Pr	ogram Subtotal ►	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	◀ 80% Federal + 20% Non-Federal
Section 1C / Federal Aid Nor Other Federal Aid	n-Target Proje	cts									
]		No Projects Programmed			\$	-	\$-	\$-	
				No Projects Programmed			\$	-	\$-	\$-	
		1	1		Other Fede	ral Aid Subtotal ►	\$	-	\$-	\$ -	◄ Funding Split Varies by Funding Source
► Section 1D / Federal Aid Maj	or 8 State Ca	agony Projos									
Occupit TD / Federal Ald Maj		legoly Flojet									
Statewide Infrastructure Pro	ogram										
		7		No Projects Programmed	7		\$		\$-	\$-	
	-			No Projects Programmed	-	1	\$	-			
	-	1	1		Infrastructure Pr	ogram Subtotal ►		-	\$ -	\$ -	◀ 80% Federal + 20% Non-Federal
Statewide HSIP Program											
Statewide HSIP Program		1	1	No Projects Programmed			¢		¢	\$	
► Statewide HSIP Program		-		No Projects Programmed No Projects Programmed			\$ \$	-	\$ - \$ -	\$- \$-	

2018 Merrimack Val	lley Transpo	ortation Im	provement I	Program			1			015 Draft Rele 015 Endorsed	ased	
mendment/Adjustment Type ▼	MassDOT Project ID	MPO ▼	Municipality Name ▼		MassDOT District ▼	Funding Source ▼	Total Funds	Programmed s ▼	Federa	Funds ▼	Non-Federal Funds ▼	Additional Information ▼
Section 1D / Federal Aid Majo	or & State Cate	egory Projec	ts (Cont.)									
Statewide Safe Routes to So	hools Program	n										
]				\$		\$-	
				No Projects Programmed			\$	-	\$	-	\$-	Funding Split Varies by Funding Source
			1	Statewide Safe Rout	tes to Schools Pro	ogram Subtotal 🕨	\$	-	\$	-	\$-	◄ Funding Split Varies by Funding Source
Statewide CMAQ		I	he was	l				0.505.040	•	0.070.070		T + I D + + 0 + + 00 505 040 + // + + 00/
	607737		Multiple	AMESBURY- SALISBURY- TRAIL CONNECTOR @ I-95	4	CMAQ	\$	2,595,840	\$	2,076,672	\$ 519,168	Total Project Cost = \$2,595,840 inflated 8% from 2016 cost. (Construction) TEC = 6.25
		Merrimack		1-95								of 18. $(Construction)$ TEC = 0.25 C
		Valley										(C)
	607541		Coorgotown	GEORGETOWN- BORDER TO BOSTON TRAIL	4	CMAQ	\$	2,000,960	\$	1,600,768	\$ 400,192	Total Project Cost = \$2,000,960 inflated 8%
	007541	Marrimook	Georgetown	(SOUTHERN GEORGETOWN SECTION)	4	CIMAQ	¢	2,000,960	æ	1,600,766	φ 400,192	from 2016 cost. (Construction) TEC = 4.47
		Merrimack Valley										of 18.
		valicy										(C)
					St	atewide CMAQ ►	\$	4,596,800	\$	3,677,440	\$ 919.360	◀ 80% Federal + 20% Non-Federal
								,,				
Statewide Transportation En	hancements	1	1		-				T			
				No Projects Programmed			\$	-		-	-	
				No Projects Programmed		Cubbertal N	\$	-	\$		- \$	80% Federal + 20% Non-Federal
				Statewide Transpo	prtation Enhance	ments Subtotal 🕨	\$	-	Ф	-	Ъ -	■ 80% Federal + 20% Non-Federal
Statewide ITS												
				No Projects Programmed	1		\$	-		-	-	
				No Projects Programmed			\$	-		-	-	
					Statewic	de ITS Subtotal 🕨	\$	-	\$	-	\$-	◀ 80% Federal + 20% Non-Federal
Statewide Interstate Mainten	ance Program											
Statewide interstate mainten	ance Frogram	1	1	No Projects Programmed	٦		\$			-	-	
	-			No Projects Programmed			\$	-		-		
		1		Statewide Interstate	Maintenance Pro	ogram Subtotal ►	•	-	\$	-	\$-	90% Federal + 10% Non-Federal
						3						
	_											
Statewide NHS Preservation	Program+	1	1	No. Designets. Des sus sus a d	1		¢		1			
				No Projects Programmed No Projects Programmed			\$ \$				-	
							T				- \$-	✓ 80% Federal + 20% Non-Federal
					Preservation Pr	ogram Subtotal ►	\$	-	S			
					Preservation Pre	ogram Subtotal ►	\$	-	\$	-	Ψ	
	gs			Statewide NHS	Preservation Pre	ogram Subtotal 🕨	\$	-	\$	-	[ψ	-
	gs]		Statewide NHS No Projects Programmed	Preservation Pro	ogram Subtotal ►	\$	-	\$	-	-	-
	gs			Statewide NHS No Projects Programmed No Projects Programmed			\$	-	\$	-	-	
	gs			Statewide NHS No Projects Programmed No Projects Programmed		ogram Subtotal ►	\$	-	\$	-	-	■ 80% Federal + 20% Non-Federal
Statewide RR Grade Crossin				Statewide NHS No Projects Programmed No Projects Programmed			\$	-	\$	-	-	■ 80% Federal + 20% Non-Federal
• Statewide RR Grade Crossin • Statewide Stormwater Retro				Statewide NHS No Projects Programmed No Projects Programmed			\$	-	\$	-	- - \$ -	■ 80% Federal + 20% Non-Federal
Statewide RR Grade Crossin				Statewide NHS No Projects Programmed No Projects Programmed Statewid			\$	-	\$	-	- - \$ -	✓ 80% Federal + 20% Non-Federal
Statewide RR Grade Crossin				Statewide NHS No Projects Programmed No Projects Programmed Statewid No Projects Programmed	RR Grade Cros		\$ \$ \$	-	\$	-	 \$ -	
Statewide RR Grade Crossin				Statewide NHS No Projects Programmed No Projects Programmed Statewid No Projects Programmed	RR Grade Cros	ssings Subtotal ►	\$ \$ \$	-	\$ \$ \$ \$	-		
Statewide RR Grade Crossin	fits			Statewide NHS No Projects Programmed No Projects Programmed Statewid No Projects Programmed Statewic	RR Grade Cros	ssings Subtotal ►	\$ \$ \$ \$	- - - - -	\$	- - - - - -		
Statewide RR Grade Crossin	fits	 		Statewide NHS No Projects Programmed No Projects Programmed Statewid No Projects Programmed	RR Grade Cros	ssings Subtotal ►	\$ \$ \$	-	\$	-		

20)18 Merrimack Valle	ey Transpo	ortation Imp	provement P	Program				06/29/2015 Draft Rele 07/29/2015 Endorsed		
		MassDOT									
		Project ID		Municipality	MassDOT	MassDOT	Funding	Total Programmed		Non-Federal Funds	Additional
Amen	dment/Adjustment Type 🔻	V	МРО ▼	Name V	Project Description ▼	District ▼	Source V	Funds ▼	Federal Funds ▼	V	Information V

Section 1D / Federal Aid Major & State Category Projects (Cont.)

► Other Statewide Items

ABP GANS Repayment		\$	-	-	-	
Award Adjustments, Change Orders,		\$	-	-	-	
Project Value Changes, Etc.						
DBEs, FAPO, Pavement Lab Retrofits,		\$	-	-	-	
and Misc. Programs						
Planning		\$	-	-	-	
Statewide Design and Right of Way		\$	-	-	-	
Statewide Recreational Trails		\$	-	-	-	
	Other Statewide Ite	ems Subtotal ► \$	-	\$-	\$-	 Funding Split Varies by Funding Source

Section 2A / Non-Federal Projects

►Non Federal Aid					
	No Projects Programmed	NFA	\$ -	\$-	
	No Projects Programmed	NFA	\$ -	\$-	
		Non-Federal Aid Subtotal►	\$ -	\$ -	◀100% Non-Federal

Section 2B / Non-Federal Bridge Projects

2018 Merrimack Valley TIP Summary			TIP Section 1: ▼	TIP Section 2: ▼	Total of A ▼	II Projects	
	Section 2B / Nor	-Federal Bridge Projects Subtotal►	\$		\$		1100% Non-Federal
	No Projects Programmed	NFA	\$		\$	-	
	No Projects Programmed	NFA	\$		\$	-	
Section 2B / Non-Federal Bridge Projects							

Total ►	\$	40.894.415	\$	\$	40 894 415	 Total Spending in Region
rotar P	Ψ	40,004,410	Ψ	Ψ	40,004,410	a rotal oponaling in region
Federal Funds ►	¢	32.715.532		¢	32 715 532	 Total Federal Spending in Region
	Ψ	52,715,552		÷	52,715,552	
Non-Federal Funds >	\$	8.178.883	\$	\$	8 178 883	 Total Non-Federal Spending in Region
	Ψ	0,170,000	Ψ	Ψ	0,170,000	· Total Holl Federal Opending in Region

701 CMR 7.00 Use of Road Flaggers and Police Details on Public Works Projects / 701 CMR 7.00 (the Regulation) was promulgated and became law on October 3, 2008. Under this Regulation, the CMR is applicable to any Public works Project that is performed within the limits of, or that impact traffic on, any Public Road. The Municipal Limitation referenced in this Regulation is applicable only to projects where the Municipality is the Awarding Authority. For all projects contained in the TIP, the Commonwealth is the Awarding Authority. Therefore, all projects must be considered and implemented in accordance with 701 CMR 7.00, and the Road Flagger and Police Details Guidelines. By placing a project on the TIP, the Municipality acknowledges that 701 CMR 7.00 is applicable to its project and design and construction will be fully compliant with this Regulation. This Information, and additional information relative to guidance and implementation of the Regulation can be found at the following link on the MassDOT Highway Division website: http://www.massdot.state.ma.us/Highway/flaggers/main.aspx

Image: Index of BOSTON TRALE) Image: Im	lassDOT Project ID ▼	MassDOT Project Description ▼	Funding Source ▼	Tota Prog Fund	grammed	GHG Analysis Type ▼	GHG Impact by the Numbers (kg/year)▼	GHG Impact Description ▼	Additional Description ▼
0 No Projects Programmed \$ - N/A 0 N/A 0 0 No Projects Programmed \$ - N/A 0 N/A 0 • CMAQ - Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality Improvement • 0 0 N/A 0 N/A 0 • CMAQ - Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality Improvement • 0 • 0 • 0 • CMAQ - Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality Improvement • 0 • 0 • 0 • • CMAQ - Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality Improvement CMAQ \$ 6,280,607 Quantified 6,837 Quantified Decrease in Emissions (See Emissions Analysis Appendix) 6,837 = Emission Reduction in CO Emissions Analysis Appendix) • TAP - Transportation Alternatives Program •	Section 1A / F	Federal Aid Target Projects							
0 No Projects Programmed \$ - N/A 0 N/A 0 0 No Projects Programmed \$ - N/A 0 N/A 0 • CMAQ No Projects Programmed \$ - N/A 0 N/A 0 • CMAQ • Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality Improvement • O • O • O • CMAQ • Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality Improvement • O • O • O • CMAQ • Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality Improvement • O • O • O • O • CMAQ • Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality Improvement • O Quantified Decrease in Emissions (See Emissions Analysis Appendix) 6.837 • Cuantified Decrease in Emissions (See Emissions Analysis Appendix) 6.837 • O • O • TAP • Transportation Alternatives Program • O • O • O • O • O • TAP • S · O · O · O · O · O · O • Outantified Impact · O · O · O	HSIP - Highwa	ay Safety Improvement Program							
CMAQ - Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality Improvement 0 605020 SALISBURY - MULT-USE TRAIL EXTENSION (BORDERS TO BOSTON TRAIL) CMAQ \$ 6,260,607 Quantified 6,837 Quantified Decrease in Emissions (See Emissions Analysis Appendix) 6,837 = Emission Reduction in CO 0 No Projects Programmed \$ - N/A 0 N/A Outantified Impact ▶ 6,837 Outantified Impact ▶ 6,837 Outantified Impact ▶ Outantified Impact №<				\$	-	N/A	0	N/A	
► CMAQ - Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality Improvement 605020 SALISBURY - MULTI-USE TRAIL EXTENSION (BORDERS TO BOSTON TRAIL) CMAQ \$ 6,260,607 Quantified 6.837 Quantified Decrease in Emissions (See Emission Sanalysis Appendix) 8,337 = Emission Reduction in CO Emission Reduction in CO Emissions Analysis Appendix) 0 No Projects Programmed \$ • NA 0 N/A • ► TAP - Transportation Alternatives Program Emission Reduction in CO Emissions Analysis Appendix) § 837 = Emission Reduction in CO Quantified Impact ► • TAP - Transportation Alternatives Program SALISBURY - MULTI-USE TRAIL EXTENSION (BORDERS TO BOSTON TRAIL) TAP \$ 396,901 Quantified Quantified Decrease in Emissions (See Emissions Analysis Appendix) § 837 = Emission Reduction in CO Emissions Analysis Appendix) 0 \$ SALUSBURY - MULTI-USE TRAIL EXTENSION (BORDERS TO BOSTON TRAIL) TAP \$ 396,901 Quantified Quantified Decrease in Emissions (See Emissions Analysis Appendix) § 837 = Emission Reduction in CO Emissions Analysis Appendix) 0 \$ ALUSBURY - MULTI-USE TRAIL EXTENSION (BORDERS TO BOSTON TRAIL) TAP \$ 396,901 Quantified O N/A O N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A 0 0	0	No Projects Programmed		\$	-	N/A	0	N/A	
605020 SALISBURY - MULTI-USE TRAIL EXTENSION (BORDERS TO BOSTON TRAIL) CMAQ \$ 6,260,607 Quantified 6,837 Quantified Decrease in Emissions (See Emissions Analysis Appendix) 6,837 = Emission Reduction in CO 0 No Projects Programmed \$ - N/A 0 N/A 0 • TAP - Transportation Alternatives Program •<					Qua	ntified Impact >	0		
605020 SAUSBURY - MULTI-USE TRAIL EXTENSION (BORDERS TO BOSTON TRAIL) CMAQ \$ 6.260.607 Quantified 6.837 Quantified Decrease in Emissions (See Emissions Analysis Appendix) 6.837 = Emission Reduction in CO 0 No Projects Programmed \$ - N/A 0 N/A - - Quantified Impact ► 6.837 Outantified Decrease in Emissions (See Emissions Analysis Appendix) 6.837 = Emission Reduction in CO - Quantified Impact ► 6.837 Outantified Decrease in Emissions (See Emissions Analysis Appendix) 6.837 = Emission Reduction in CO - Quantified Impact ► 6.837 Outantified Decrease in Emissions (See Emissions Analysis Appendix) 6.837 = Emission Reduction in CO - SALISBURY - MULTI-USE TRAIL EXTENSION (BORDERS TO BOSTON TRAIL) TAP \$ 396,901 Quantified Outantified Decrease in Emissions (See Emissions Analysis Appendix) 6.837 = Emission Reduction in CO 0 \$ - N/A 0 N/A Outantified Decrease in Emissions (See Emissions Analysis Appendix) 6.837 = Emission Reduction in CO 0 \$ - N/A 0 N/A Outantified Impact ► 0 • • • • • O N/A <									
605020 (BORDERS TO BOSTON TRAIL) CMAQ \$ 6,280,607 Quantified 6,837 Emissions Analysis Appendix) 6,837 Emission Reduction in CO 0 No Projects Programmed \$ - N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A - N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A - Quantified Impact Impact 6,837 - 6,837 - - + - N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A - - + - - N/A 0 N/A 0 - <td< td=""><td>CMAQ - Cong</td><td>estion Mitigation and Air Quality Improvement</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>	CMAQ - Cong	estion Mitigation and Air Quality Improvement							
Quantified Impact ▶ 6,837 Construction Alternatives Program 605020 SALISBURY - MULTI-USE TRAIL EXTENSION (BORDERS TO BOSTON TRAIL) TAP \$ 396,901 Quantified Quantified Decrease in Emissions (See Emissions Analysis Appendix) 6,837 = Emission Reduction in CO Quantified Impact Negligible Impact 0 \$ - N/A 0 N/A Quantified Impact ▶ 0 N/A 0 \$ - N/A 0 N/A Quantified Impact ▶ 0 0 N/A 602418 AMESBURY - RECONSTRUCTION OF ELM STP \$ 2,390,107 Qualitative 0 No Assumed Impact/Negligible Impact on Emissions	605020		CMAQ	\$	6,260,607	Quantified	6,837		6,837 = Emission Reduction in CO_2 kg per ye
TAP - Transportation Alternatives Program 605020 SALISBURY - MULTI-USE TRAIL EXTENSION (BORDERS TO BOSTON TRAIL) TAP \$ 396,901 Quantified Quantified Decrease in Emissions (See Emission Reduction in CO Quantity shown above in CMAQ so Quantified Impact ► Quantified Impact ► 0 N/A 0 N/A 0 \$ - N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A 0 \$ - N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A 0 \$ - N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A 0 \$ - N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A 0 \$ - 0 0 N/A 0 N/A 0 N/A 602418 AMESBURY - RECONSTRUCTION OF ELM STP \$ 2,390,107 Qualitative 0 No Assumed Impact/Negligible Impact on Emissions Impact/Negligible Impact on Emissions	0	No Projects Programmed		\$	-	N/A	0	N/A	
Image: Non-CMAQ/HSIP/TAP (Other) STP \$ 2,390,107 Qualitative 0 No Assumed Impact/Negligible Impact on Emissions			TAD		200.004	Quantified		Quantified Decrease in Emissions (See	6,837 = Emission Reduction in CO ₂ kg per y
Quantified Impact ▶ 0 ▶ Non-CMAQ/HSIP/TAP (Other) • </td <td></td> <td>^I(BORDERS TO BOSTON TRAIL)</td> <td></td> <td>·</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>Quantity shown above in CMAQ section.</td>		^I (BORDERS TO BOSTON TRAIL)		·					Quantity shown above in CMAQ section.
► Non-CMAQ/HSIP/TAP (Other) 602418 AMESBURY - RECONSTRUCTION OF ELM STREET STP \$ 2,390,107 Qualitative 0 No Assumed Impact/Negligible Impact on Emissions	0			\$				N/A	
602418 AMESBURY - RECONSTRUCTION OF ELM STP \$ 2,390,107 Qualitative 0 No Assumed Impact/Negligible Impact on Emissions								—	
602418 STREET STP \$ 2,390,107 Qualitative 0 Emissions	Non-CMAQ/H	ISIP/TAP (Other)				1		1	1
	602418		STP	\$	2,390,107	Qualitative	0		
0 No Projects Programmed \$ - N/A 0 N/A				¢	-	N/A	0	N/A	
Quantified Impact 0	0	No Projects Programmed		ļφ					

MassDOT Project ID ▼	MassDOT Project Description▼	Funding Source ▼	Total Programmed Funds ▼	GHG Analysis Type ▼	GHG Impact by the Numbers (kg/year)▼	GHG Impact Description ▼	Additional Description ▼
Section 1B /	Federal Aid Bridge Projects						
Statewide Br	ridge Maintenance Program						
0	No Projects Programmed		\$ -	N/A	0	N/A	
0	No Projects Programmed		\$ -	N/A	0	N/A	
			Qua	ntified Impact >	• 0		
					L		
On System							
605306	HAVERHILL- SUBSTRUCTURE REPLACEMENT, H-12-039, I-495 (NB & SB) OVER MERRIMACK RIVER	NHPP	\$ 27,250,000	Qualitative	0	No Assumed Impact/Negligible Impact on Emissions	Construction (M)
0	0		\$ -	N/A	0	N/A	
			Qua	ntified Impact >	• 0		
► Off-System							
0	No Projects Programmed		\$ -	N/A	0	N/A	
0	No Projects Programmed		\$ -	N/A	0	N/A	
			Qua	ntified Impact >	• 0		
						-	
Statewide B 0	ridge Inspection Program No Projects Programmed		\$ -	N/A	0	N/A	
0			\$ - \$ -	N/A N/A	0	N/A N/A	
U	No Projects Programmed		- ⁻	IN/A	0	IN/A	
			Qua	ntified Impact	. 0		
			Qua	ntified Impact >	0		
			Qua	ntified Impact ►	• 0		
Section 1C /	Federal Aid Non-Target Projects		Qua	ntified Impact ►	0		
► Section 1C / ► Other Federa			Qua	ntified Impact ▶	0		
			Qua		0		
Other Federa	al Aid			N/A		N/A N/A	
► Other Federa 0	al Aid No Projects Programmed		\$ - \$ -	N/A N/A	0		
► Other Federa 0	al Aid No Projects Programmed		\$ - \$ -	N/A	0		
► Other Federa 0 0	No Projects Programmed		\$ - \$ -	N/A N/A	0		
► Other Federa 0 0	al Aid No Projects Programmed		\$ - \$ -	N/A N/A	0		
Other Federa 0 0 Section 1D /	No Projects Programmed		\$ - \$ -	N/A N/A	0		
Other Federa 0 Section 1D / Statewide In 0	Al Aid	0	\$ - \$ - Qua	N/A N/A ntified Impact ►	0	N/A	
Other Federa 0 0 Section 1D / Statewide In	No Projects Programmed No Projects Programmed Federal Aid Major & State Category Projects Afrastructure Program	0	\$ - \$ - Qua \$ - \$ - \$ -	N/A N/A ntified Impact ►	0	N/A	
Other Federa 0 0 Section 1D / Statewide Ir 0 0 0	A Aid No Projects Programmed No Projects Programmed Federal Aid Major & State Category Projects Afrastructure Program No Projects Programmed No Projects Programmed No Projects Programmed		\$ - \$ - Qua \$ - \$ - \$ -	N/A N/A ntified Impact ►	0	N/A	
Other Federa O O Section 1D / Statewide Ir O O Statewide H	A Aid No Projects Programmed No Projects Programmed Federal Aid Major & State Category Projects Afrastructure Program No Projects Programmed No Projects Programmed SIP Program	0	\$ \$ Qua \$ \$ Qua	N/A N/A ntified Impact ► N/A N/A ntified Impact ►	0 0 0 0	N/A	
Other Federa 0 0 Section 1D / Statewide Ir 0 0 0	A Aid No Projects Programmed No Projects Programmed Federal Aid Major & State Category Projects Afrastructure Program No Projects Programmed No Projects Programmed No Projects Programmed		\$ - \$ - Qua \$ - \$ - \$ -	N/A N/A ntified Impact ►	0	N/A	

MassDOT Project ID ▼	MassDOT Project Description▼	Funding Source ▼		al grammed ds ▼	GHG Analysis Type ▼	GHG Impact by the Numbers (kg/year)▼	GHG Impact Description ▼	Additional Description ▼
► Section 1D /	Federal Aid Major & State Category Projects (Cor	nt)						
		,						
	afe Routes to Schools Program							
0	0		\$	-	N/A	0	N/A	
0	No Projects Programmed	0	\$	-	N/A	0	N/A	
► Statewide C	MAQ			Qua	ntified Impact ►	. 0		
607737	AMESBURY- SALISBURY- TRAIL CONNECTOR @ 1-95	CMAQ	\$	2,595,840	Qualitative	0	Assumed Nominal Decrease in Emissions from Bicycle and Sidewalk Improvements	
607541	GEORGETOWN- BORDER TO BOSTON TRAIL (SOUTHERN GEORGETOWN SECTION)	CMAQ	\$	2,000,960	Quantified	1,520	Quantified Decrease in Emissions (See Emissions Analysis Appendix)	1,520 = Emission Reduction in CO ₂ kg per yea
	1	I	1	Qua	I ntified Impact ►	1,520		I
Statowido Tr	ansportation Enhancements							
	No Projects Programmed		\$		N/A	0	N/A	
0	No Projects Programmed		\$	-	N/A	0	N/A	
Statewide IT					ntified Impact ►			
0	No Projects Programmed No Projects Programmed		\$	-	N/A N/A	0	N/A N/A	
► Statewide Int	erstate Maintenance Program		<u> </u>	Qua	ntified Impact ►	0		
0	No Projects Programmed		\$	-	N/A	0	N/A	
0	No Projects Programmed		\$	-	N/A	0	N/A	
► Statewide NH	1S Preservation Program+			Quai	ntified Impact ►	. 0		
0	No Projects Programmed		\$	-	N/A	0	N/A	
0	No Projects Programmed		\$		N/A	0	N/A	
► Statewide RF	R Grade Crossings			Quai	ntified Impact ►	0		
0	No Projects Programmed		\$	-	N/A	0	N/A	
0	No Projects Programmed		\$	-	N/A	0	N/A	
► Statewide St	ormwater Retrofits			Qua	ntified Impact ►	. 0		
0	0		\$	-	N/A	0	N/A	
0	No Projects Programmed		\$	-	N/A	0	N/A	
Statowido	DA Implementation Plan			Qua	ntified Impact ►	0		
Statewide AL 0	No Projects Programmed		\$	-	N/A	0	N/A	
0	No Projects Programmed		\$	-	N/A	0	N/A	

lassDOT Project ID ▼	MassDOT Project Description▼	Funding Source ▼	Total Programmed Funds ▼	GHG Analysis Type ▼	GHG Impact by the Numbers (kg/year)▼	GHG Impact Description ▼	Additional Description ▼
Section 1D /	Federal Aid Major & State Category Projects (Con	nt.)					
• Other Statew	vide Items						
0	ABP GANS Repayment		\$-	N/A	0	N/A	
0	Award Adjustments, Change Orders, Project Value Changes, Etc.		\$ -	N/A	0	N/A	
0	DBEs, FAPO, Pavement Lab Retrofits, and Misc. Programs		\$ -	N/A	0	N/A	
0	Planning		\$-	N/A	0	N/A	
0	Statewide Design and Right of Way		\$-		0	N/A	
0	Statewide Recreational Trails		\$-	N/A	0	N/A	
			Qu	antified Impact ▶	0		
Section 2A /	Non-Federal Projects		Qu	antified Impact ▶	•0		
 Section 2A / Non Federal 			Qu	antified Impact ▶	• 0		
			Qu \$-	-	0	N/A	
Non Federal	Aid						
Non Federal	Aid No Projects Programmed		<u>\$</u> - \$-	N/A	0		
Non Federal	Aid No Projects Programmed		<u>\$</u> - \$-	N/A N/A	0		
Non Federal 0 0	Aid No Projects Programmed No Projects Programmed Non-Federal Bridge Projects		<u>\$</u> - \$-	N/A N/A	0		
Non Federal 0 0	Aid No Projects Programmed No Projects Programmed		<u>\$</u> - \$-	N/A N/A antified Impact ▶	0		
Non Federal 0 0 Section 2B /	Aid No Projects Programmed No Projects Programmed Non-Federal Bridge Projects Non-Federal Bridge Projects		\$	N/A N/A antified Impact I	0 0 • 0	N/A	
Non Federal 0 0 · Section 2B / Section 2B / 0	Aid No Projects Programmed Non-Federal Bridge Projects Non-Federal Bridge Projects No Projects Programmed		\$	N/A N/A antified Impact I N/A		N/A N/A	
Non Federal 0 0 · Section 2B / O 0 0	Aid No Projects Programmed Non-Federal Bridge Projects Non-Federal Bridge Projects No Projects Programmed	Summarv	\$ \$ Qu \$ \$ Qu	N/A N/A antified Impact ► N/A N/A		N/A N/A	
Non Federal 0 0 · Section 2B / O 0 0	Aid No Projects Programmed No Projects Programmed Non-Federal Bridge Projects No Projects Programmed No Projects Programmed	Summarv	\$ \$ Qu \$ \$ Qu	N/A N/A antified Impact ► N/A N/A	0 0 0 0 0 Total Quantified Impact ▼	N/A N/A	

2019 Merrimack Val	ley Transpo	rtation Imp	rovement Progr	am					6/29/2015 Draft Rele 7/29/2015 Endorsed				
mendment/Adjustment Type ▼	MassDOT Project ID ▼		Municipality Name ▼	MassDOT Project Description▼	MassDOT District ▼		Total Programme Funds ▼		ederal Funds ▼	Non-I ▼	Federal Funds	Additional	,
Section 1A / Federal Aid Targ	jet Projects												
HSIP - Highway Safety Impro	vement Progra	m											
				No Projects Programmed			\$	- \$	-	\$	-		
				No Projects Programmed			\$	- \$	-	\$	-		
				L		HSIP Subtotal ►	\$	- \$	•	\$	-	◀ 90% Federa	al + 10% Non-Federal
			_										
CMAQ - Congestion Mitigatio	n and Air Qual	ty Improveme	ent Program	No Projects Programmed	1		\$	- \$		\$			
				No Projects Programmed			-	- \$	-	\$			
				No Frojecio Frogrammed		CMAQ Subtotal ►	•	- \$		•	-	◀ 80% Federa	al + 20% Non-Federal
				No Projects Programmed No Projects Programmed			\$	- \$ - \$	-	\$ \$	-		
				No Projects Programmed		TAP Subtotal ►	•	- \$ - \$		•	-	4.000/ 5.1	al + 20% Non-Federal
							÷	Ŷ		Ŷ		-	
Non-CMAQ/HSIP/TAP (Other	602418	Merrimack Valley	Amesbury	AMESBURY - RECONSTRUCTION OF ELM STREET	4	STP	\$ 6,687,03	39 \$	5,349,631	\$	1,337,408	\$9,077,146 cost. FY	of 2. Total Project Cost cost inflated 8% from 20 2018 STP + 2019 STP on) TEC = 5.98 out of 18
				No Projects Programmed			\$	- \$		\$		(Construction	
				, 0	Q/HSIP/TAP (Other) Subtotal ►					1,337,408	◀ 80% Federa	al + 20% Non-Federal
Section 1A / Fiscal Constrain	t Analysis			Total Federal Aid Total Non-CMAQ/HS						▲ Ma			Target Funds Available Non-CMAQ/HSIP/TAP (Other) Available
						SIP Programmed		- \$					HSIP Minimum Not Met
					Total CMA	Q Programmed	\$	- \$	1,384,237	◄ Min	n. CMAQ	\$ 1,384,237	CMAQ Minimum Not Me
						AP Programmed		- \$	305,423			\$ 305.423	TAP Minimum Not Met

Remaining HSIP, CMAQ, and TAP Funds \$ 2,132,616

2019 Merrimack Val	ley Transpo	rtation Imp	rovement Progr	am				06/29/2015 Draft Rel 07/29/2015 Endorsed		
Amendment/Adjustment Type ▼	MassDOT		Municipality Name ▼	MassDOT Project Description▼	MassDOT District ▼		Total Programmed Funds ▼	Federal Funds ▼	Non-Federal Funds ▼	Additional Information ▼
Section 1B / Federal Aid Brid	ge Projects									
Statewide Bridge Maintenand	e Program									
		1		No Projects Programmed			\$-	\$-	\$-	
				No Projects Programmed			\$-	\$-	\$-	
	-	4		Statewide Bridge Ma	intenance Pr	ogram Subtotal 🕨	\$-	\$-	\$-	◀ 80% Federal + 20% Non-Federal
►On System										
	605306	Merrimack Valley	Haverhill	HAVERHILL- SUBSTRUCTURE REPLACEMENT, H-12-039, I-495 (NB & SB) OVER MERRIMACK RIVER	4	NHPP	\$ 15,470,000	\$ 12,376,000	\$ 3,094,000	AC Yr 2 of 3; Total Cost = \$56,428,400 infla 8% from 2016. (Construction) (M)
							\$-	\$-	\$-	
					On S	System Subtotal ►	\$ 15,470,000	\$ 12,376,000	\$ 3,094,000	80% Federal + 20% Non-Federal
Off-System										
				No Projects Programmed			\$-	\$-	\$-	
				No Projects Programmed			\$-	\$-	\$-	
					Off-S	System Subtotal ►	\$-	\$-	\$-	80% Federal + 20% Non-Federal
Statewide Bridge Inspection Pr	ogram	٦	1		7	T	l	1	1	1
				No Projects Programmed	-		\$-	*	\$-	
				No Projects Programmed Statewide Bridge			\$ - \$ -	\$ - \$ -	\$ - \$ -	80% Federal + 20% Non-Federal
Section 1C / Federal Aid Non Other Federal Aid	-Target Projec	ts								
		1		No Projects Programmed			\$-	\$-	\$-	
				No Projects Programmed			\$-	\$-	\$-	
	-	4			Other Fede	ral Aid Subtotal ►	\$ -	\$-	\$-	◄ Funding Split Varies by Funding Sou
Section 1D / Federal Aid Majo	or & State Cate	gory Projects	i							
Statewide Infrastructure Pro	aram									
Statewide innastructure Pro	yrain	1		No Projects Programmed	1		\$-	\$ -	\$ -	
	_			No Projects Programmed	-		\$ -			
	_		I		astructure Pr	ogram Subtotal ►	\$ -	\$-	\$ -	■ 80% Federal + 20% Non-Federal
Statewide HSIP Program			0	HAVERHILL- MERRIMAC- AMESBURY-	4	HSIP	\$ 2,100,000	\$ 1,890,000	\$ 210,000	Total Project Cost = \$2,100,000
► Statewide HSIP Program	608187	Merrimack Valley	Statewide	TRAFFIC & GUIDE SIGN REPLACEMENT ON A SECTION OF I-495						inflated 12% from 2016 cost. (Construction) (M)
► Statewide HSIP Program	608187		Statewide	TRAFFIC & GUIDE SIGN REPLACEMENT			\$-	\$-	\$-	

2019 Merrimack Val	ley Transpo	rtation Impr	ovement Progr	am		1	1		06/29/2015 Draft Rele 07/29/2015 Endorsed		
mendment/Adjustment Type ▼	MassDOT Project ID ▼		Municipality Name ▼	MassDOT Project Description▼	MassDOT District ▼	Funding Source ▼	Total Fund	Programmed s ▼	Federal Funds ▼	Non-Federal Funds ▼	Additional Information ▼
Section 1D / Federal Aid Majo	or & State Cate	nory Projects	(Cont.)				·			·	•
			(00111.)								
Statewide Safe Routes to Sc	hools Program	1	I	[1		-		\$ -	\$ -	
				No Projects Programmed			\$		\$- \$-	•	Funding Split Varies by Funding Source
				Statewide Safe Routes t	o Schools Pr	ogram Subtotal ►			ъ - \$-		 Funding Split Varies by Funding Source Funding Split Varies by Funding Source
				Statewide Sale Routes t	0 0010013 1 1		Ψ		Ψ	Ψ -	
Statewide CMAQ	-	1	Т	i .	i.	Т			I		
	607542	Merrimack Valley	Multiple	GEORGETOWN - NEWBURY - BORDER TO BOSTON TRAIL (NORTHERN GEORGETOWN TO BYFIELD SECTION)	4	CMAQ	\$	4,359,973	\$ 3,487,978	\$ 871,995	Total Project Cost = \$4,359,973 co inflated 12% from 2016 cost. (Construction) TEC = 5.22 out of 18.
				No Projects Programmed			\$	-	\$-	\$-	
		4			S	tatewide CMAQ ►		4,359,973		\$ 871,995	◀ 80% Federal + 20% Non-Federal
Otatawida Tasaasatatian Fa											
Statewide Transportation En	nancements	1	1	No Projects Programmed	1		\$	-	-	-	
				No Projects Programmed			\$	-	-	-	
		÷		Statewide Transportat	ion Enhance	ments Subtotal >	\$	-	\$ -	\$-	◀ 80% Federal + 20% Non-Federal
Statewide ITS											
Statewide 115		7		No Projects Programmed	7		\$	-	-	-	
				No Projects Programmed			\$	-	-	-	
				¥¥	Statew	ide ITS Subtotal 🖡	▶\$	-	\$-	\$-	◀ 80% Federal + 20% Non-Federal
Statewide Interateda Maintan	nee Bregrem										
Statewide Interstate Maintena	ance Program	٦	1	No Projects Programmed	7		\$	-	-	-	
				No Projects Programmed			\$	-	-	-	
			-	Statewide Interstate Ma	intenance Pr	ogramSubtotal 🕨	\$	-	\$-	\$-	◄ 90% Federal + 10% Non-Federal
Statewide NHS Preservation	Program+	_			_						
				No Projects Programmed			\$	-	-	-	
	_			No Projects Programmed		0.1.1.1.5	\$	· ·		-	
				Statewide NHS Pre	eservation Pr	ogram Subtotal 🕨	\$	-	ə -	\$-	◀ 80% Federal + 20% Non-Federal
Statewide RR Grade Crossin	gs	7							1		
				No Projects Programmed			\$	-	-	-	
	_			No Projects Programmed			\$	-		- \$-	
				Statewide R	R Grade Cro	ssings Subtotal >	Э		\$ -	\$-	◀ 80% Federal + 20% Non-Federal
Statewide Stormwater Retrof	its										
	608216	Merrimack	Boxford	BOXFORD - STORMWATER		STP-TE	\$	448,000	358,400	89,600	Total Project Cost = \$448,000 inflat
		Valley		IMPROVEMENTS ALONG I-95	4						12% from 2016 cost. (Construction)
				No Projects Programmed			\$	-	-	-	
				Statewide S	tormwater R	etrofits Subtotal ►	\$	448,000	\$ 358,400	\$ 89,600	80% Federal + 20% Non-Federal
Statewide ADA Implementation	on Plan										
		1		No Projects Programmed	1		\$	-	-	-	
				No Projects Programmed			\$	-	-	-	
				Statewide ADA Ir	nplementatio	Plan Subtotal	. \$	-	\$-	\$ -	 80% Federal + 20% Non-Federal

2019 Merrimack Vall	2019 Merrimack Valley Transportation Improvement Program 06/29/2015 Endorsed 07/29/2015 Endorsed												
Amendment/Adjustment Type ▼	MassDOT Project ID ▼ MPO ▼		MassDOT Project Description ▼	MassDOT District ▼	Funding Source ▼	Total Programmed Funds ▼	Federal Funds ▼	Non-Federal Funds ▼	Additional Information ▼				

Section 1D / Federal Aid Major & State Category Projects (Cont.)

ABP GANS Repayment	\$	-	-	-	
Award Adjustments, Change Orders,	\$	-	-	-	
Project Value Changes, Etc.					
DBEs, FAPO, Pavement Lab Retrofits, and	\$	-	-	-	
Misc. Programs					
Planning	\$	-	-	-	
Statewide Design and Right of Way	\$	-	-	-	
Statewide Recreational Trails	\$	-	-	-	
Other Statewide Items S	Subtotal ► \$	- 3	\$-	\$-	 Funding Split Varies by Funding Source

Section 2A / Non-Federal Projects

►Non Federal Aid						
	No Projects Programmed	NFA	\$ -	\$	-	
	No Projects Programmed	NFA	\$ -	\$	-	
		Non-Federal Aid Subtotal►	\$ 	\$	-	◀100% Non-Federal

Section 2B / Non-Federal Bridge Projects

Section 2B / Non-Federal Bridge Projects				
	No Projects Programmed	NFA	\$ •	\$ -
	No Projects Programmed	NFA	\$ •	\$ -
	Section 2B / Non-Federal Br	idge Projects Subtotal►	\$ -	\$ - <100% Non-Federal

2019 Merrimack Valley TIP Summary	TIP S	ection 1: ▼	TIP Section 2: ▼	Total ▼	of All Projects	
Total ►	• \$	29,065,012	\$-	\$	29,065,012	 Total Spending in Region
Federal Funds ► Non-Federal Funds ►		23,462,010 5,603,002	\$-	\$ \$		 Total Federal Spending in Region Total Non-Federal Spending in Region

701 CMR 7.00 Use of Road Flaggers and Police Details on Public Works Projects / 701 CMR 7.00 (the Regulation) was promulgated and became law on October 3, 2008. Under this Regulation, the CMR is applicable to any Public works Project that is performed within the limits of, or that impact traffic on, any Public Road. The Municipal Limitation referenced in this Regulation is applicable only to projects where the Municipality is the Awarding Authority. For all projects contained in the TP, the Commowaith is the Awarding Authority. Therefore, all projects must be considered and implemented in accordance with 701 CMR 7.00 and design and construction will be fully complicant with this Regulation. This information, and additional information relative to guidance and implementation of the Regulation can be found at the following link on the MassDOT Highway Division website: http://www.massdot.state.ma.us/Highway/Haggers/main.aspx

lassDOT roject ID ▼	MassDOT Project Description▼	Funding Source ▼	Total Progr Funds	rammed	Analysis	GHG Impact by the Numbers (kg/year)▼	GHG Impact Description ▼	Additional Description ▼
	Federal Aid Target Projects ay Safety Improvement Program							
0	No Projects Programmed		\$	-	N/A	0	N/A	
0	No Projects Programmed		\$	-	N/A	0	N/A	
		_		Qua	ntified Impact ►	0		1
CMAQ - Cong	No Projects Programmed		\$		N/A	0	N/A	
0	No Projects Programmed		\$		N/A	0	N/A	
0	No Projects Programmed		\$		ntified Impact >		N/A	
0	ortation Alternatives Program No Projects Programmed		\$	-	N/A	0	N/A	
0	No Projects Programmed		\$		N/A N/A	0	N/A N/A	
			Ψ		ntified Impact ►			l
Non-CMAQ/H	ISIP/TAP (Other)							
602418	AMESBURY - RECONSTRUCTION OF ELM STREET	STP	\$	6,687,039	Qualitative	0	No Assumed Impact/Negligible Impact on Emissions	
0	No Projects Programmed		\$	-	N/A	0	N/A	
				Qua	ntified Impact <	0		

MassDOT Project ID ▼	MassDOT Project Description▼	Funding Source ▼	Total Programmed Funds ▼	GHG Analysis Type ▼	GHG Impact by the Numbers (kg/year)▼	GHG Impact Description ▼	Additional Description ▼
Section 1B /	Federal Aid Bridge Projects		-				
Statewide B	idge Maintenance Program						
0	No Projects Programmed		\$ -	N/A	0	N/A	
0	No Projects Programmed		\$ -	N/A	0	N/A	
	, , ,	1		antified Impact			
►On System							
605306	HAVERHILL- SUBSTRUCTURE REPLACEMENT, H-12-039, I-495 (NB & SB) OVER MERRIMACK RIVER	NHPP	\$ 15,470,000	Qualitative	0	No Assumed Impact/Negligible Impact on Emissions	
0	0		\$ -	N/A	0	N/A	
			Qua	antified Impact >	• 0		
Off-System							
0	No Projects Programmed		\$ -	N/A	0	N/A	
0	No Projects Programmed		\$ -	N/A	0	N/A	
			Qua	antified Impact	• 0		ł
	ridge Inspection Program		\$ -	N//A	0	NIA	
0	No Projects Programmed			N/A	0	N/A	
0	No Projects Programmed		\$ -	N/A antified Impact >	0	N/A	
			Que		l v		
Section 1C /	Federal Aid Non-Target Projects						
			\$-	N/A	0	N/A	
Other Federa	No Projects Programmed		·	N/A			
• Other Federa	ıl Aid		\$ -	N/A	0	N/A N/A	
Other Federa	No Projects Programmed		\$ -				
Other Federa	No Projects Programmed		\$ -	N/A	0		
► Other Federa 0 0	No Projects Programmed		\$ -	N/A	0		
Other Federa 0 0 Section 1D /	I Aid No Projects Programmed No Projects Programmed Federal Aid Major & State Category Projects		\$ -	N/A	0		
Other Federa 0 0 Section 1D /	I Aid No Projects Programmed No Projects Programmed Federal Aid Major & State Category Projects Ifrastructure Program		Que	N/A antified Impact ►	0	N/A	
Other Federa 0 0 · Section 1D / Statewide Ir 0	I Aid No Projects Programmed No Projects Programmed Federal Aid Major & State Category Projects Ifrastructure Program No Projects Programmed	0	\$	N/A antified Impact >	0	N/A	
Other Federa 0 0 Section 1D /	I Aid No Projects Programmed No Projects Programmed Federal Aid Major & State Category Projects Ifrastructure Program	0	\$ - Que \$ - \$ -	N/A antified Impact ►	0	N/A	
Other Federa 0 Section 1D / Statewide Ir 0	I Aid No Projects Programmed No Projects Programmed Federal Aid Major & State Category Projects Ifrastructure Program No Projects Programmed No Projects Programmed		\$ - Que \$ - \$ -	N/A Intified Impact >	0 • 0	N/A	
	I Aid No Projects Programmed No Projects Programmed Federal Aid Major & State Category Projects Ifrastructure Program No Projects Programmed No Projects Programmed		\$ - Que \$ - \$ -	N/A Intified Impact >	0 • 0	N/A	
	I Aid No Projects Programmed No Projects Programmed Federal Aid Major & State Category Projects Ifrastructure Program No Projects Programmed No Projects Programmed		\$ - Que \$ - \$ -	N/A Intified Impact >	0 • 0	N/A	
 > Other Federa 0 0 > Section 1D / > Statewide Ir 0 0 > Statewide H 	I Aid No Projects Programmed No Projects Programmed Federal Aid Major & State Category Projects Ifrastructure Program No Projects Programmed No Projects Programmed SIP Program HAVERHILL- MERRIMAC- AMESBURY- TRAFFIC & GUIDE SIGN REPLACEMENT ON A	0	\$ - Que \$ - \$ - \$ - Que Que \$ - \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	N/A Intified Impact > N/A N/A Intified Impact >	0 0 0 0 0 0	N/A N/A	

			Total		GHG	GHG Impact by		
	MassDOT	Funding Source ▼	Progra Funds	ammed	Analysis	the Numbers	GHG	Additional Description ▼
Project ID ▼	Project Description▼	Source V	Funds	5 V	Туре ▼	(kg/year)▼	Impact Description ▼	Description V
Section 1D /	Federal Aid Major & State Category Projects (Con	nt)						
- Section 1D /	rederal Ald Major & State Category Projects (Con							
Statewide Sa	afe Routes to Schools Program							
0	0	0 0	\$	-	N/A	0	N/A	
0	No Projects Programmed	0	\$	-	N/A	0	N/A	
				Qua	ntified Impact	• 0		
Statewide C	MAQ							
	GEORGETOWN - NEWBURY - BORDER TO							17,460 = Emission Reduction in CO_2 kg per
607542	BOSTON TRAIL (NORTHERN GEORGETOWN TO BYFIELD SECTION)	CMAQ	\$	4,359,973	Quantified	17,460	Quantified Decrease in Emissions (See Emissions Analysis Appendix)	year
0	No Projects Programmed	0	\$	-	N/A	0	N/A	
			1	Qua	ntified Impact >	17,460		
Statewide Tr	ansportation Enhancements							
	No Projects Programmed		\$		N/A	0	N/A	
0	No Projects Programmed		\$	-	N/A	0	N/A	
				Qua	ntified Impact >	• 0		
Statewide ITS	s							
	No Projects Programmed		\$		N/A	0	N/A	
0	No Projects Programmed		\$	-	N/A	0	N/A	
			_	Qua	ntified Impact >	0		1
Statowido Int	erstate Maintenance Program							
	No Projects Programmed		\$	-	N/A	0	N/A	
0	No Projects Programmed		\$	-	N/A	0	N/A	
			-	Qua	ntified Impact >	• 0		
► Statewide NH	IS Preservation Program+							
0	No Projects Programmed		\$	-	N/A	0	N/A	
0	No Projects Programmed		\$	-	N/A	0	N/A	
				Qua	ntified Impact >	•0		
► Statewide RR	C Grade Crossings							
0	No Projects Programmed		\$	-	N/A	0	N/A	
0	No Projects Programmed		\$	-	N/A	0	N/A	
				Qua	ntified Impact >	• 0		
Statewide St	ormwater Retrofits							
608216	BOXFORD - STORMWATER IMPROVEMENTS		\$	448,000		0	No Assumed Impact/Negligible Impact on	
	ALONG I-95	STP-TE			Qualitative		Emissions	
0	No Projects Programmed		\$	-	N/A	0	N/A	
			(Qua	ntified Impact >	• 0		
Statowido AD	A Implementation Plan							
O Statewide AL	No Projects Programmed		\$	-	N/A	0	N/A	
0	No Projects Programmed		\$		N/A	0	N/A	
				0	ntified Impact >		-	

lassDOT	MassDOT	Funding	Total Programmed	GHG Analysis	GHG Impact by the Numbers	GHG	Additional
roject ID 🔻	Project Description▼	Source ▼	Funds ▼	Туре ▼	(kg/year)▼	Impact Description V	Description V
Section 1D /	Federal Aid Major & State Category Projects (Cor	nt.)					
Other Statew			_		<u>.</u>		
0	ABP GANS Repayment		\$ -	N/A	0	N/A	
0	Award Adjustments, Change Orders, Project Value Changes, Etc.		\$-	N/A	0	N/A	
0	DBEs, FAPO, Pavement Lab Retrofits, and Misc. Programs		\$-	N/A	0	N/A	
0	Planning		\$-	N/A	0	N/A	
0	Statewide Design and Right of Way		\$-	N/A	0	N/A	
0	Statewide Recreational Trails		\$-	N/A	0	N/A	
Section 2A/	Non-Federal Projects		Qu	antified Impact ▶	• 0	_	
			Qu	antified Impact ▶	•0	_	
			Qu \$ -	ntified Impact ►	• 0	N/A	
Non Federal	Aid			N/A			
Non Federal	Aid No Projects Programmed		\$ - \$ -	N/A	0		
Non Federal 0 0	Aid No Projects Programmed No Projects Programmed		\$ - \$ -	N/A N/A	0		
Non Federal 0 0	Aid No Projects Programmed		\$ - \$ -	N/A N/A	0		
Non Federal 0 0 Section 2B /	Aid No Projects Programmed No Projects Programmed Non-Federal Bridge Projects Non-Federal Bridge Projects		\$ - \$ -	N/A N/A	0		
Non Federal 0 0 Section 2B / Section 2B / 0	Aid No Projects Programmed No Projects Programmed Non-Federal Bridge Projects No Projects Programmed		\$	N/A N/A	0	N/A N/A	
Non Federal 0 0 Section 2B /	Aid No Projects Programmed No Projects Programmed Non-Federal Bridge Projects Non-Federal Bridge Projects		\$ - \$ - Qu \$ - \$ - \$ -	N/A N/A antified Impact ► N/A N/A	0 0 0	N/A	
Non Federal 0 0 Section 2B / Section 2B / 0	Aid No Projects Programmed No Projects Programmed Non-Federal Bridge Projects No Projects Programmed		\$ - \$ - Qu \$ - \$ - \$ -	N/A N/A antified Impact ►	0 0 0	N/A N/A	
Non Federal 0 0 Section 2B / 0 0	Aid No Projects Programmed No Projects Programmed Non-Federal Bridge Projects Non-Federal Bridge Projects No Projects Programmed No Projects Programmed No Projects Programmed		\$ \$ Qu \$ \$ Qu	N/A N/A antified Impact ► N/A N/A	0 0 0 0	N/A N/A	
Non Federal 0 0 Section 2B / 0 0	Aid No Projects Programmed No Projects Programmed Non-Federal Bridge Projects No Projects Programmed	Summarv	\$ \$ Qu \$ \$ Qu	N/A N/A antified Impact ► N/A N/A	0 0 0	N/A N/A	
Non Federal 0 0 Section 2B / 0 0	Aid No Projects Programmed No Projects Programmed Non-Federal Bridge Projects Non-Federal Bridge Projects No Projects Programmed No Projects Programmed No Projects Programmed		\$ \$ Qu \$ Qu Qu	N/A N/A antified Impact ► N/A N/A	0 0 0 0 0 Total Quantified Impact ▼	N/A N/A	

Page intentionally left blank.

Part B. Project Listings (Cont.)

Transit Projects

	Transportati	on Improvement Pro	gram		State Match Sources										7/29/2015		orsed
TA Program ▼	Regional Transit Authority ▼	Project Description ▼	Carryover or Earmark Details ▼	Fed Fun		RT	ACAP V	MAP		трс		SCA	•	RTA Fund	is ▼	Tota Cos	
307 ►	MVRTA	Capital funding used for Preventive Maintenance and considered as an operating expense for FY 2017		\$	2,505,065	s		\$		s		\$	626,265			\$	3,131
	MVRTA	Capital funding used for operating ADA service and considered as an operating expense for FY 2017	Carryover Used					\$		\$	<u> </u>		262,240				1,311
	MVRTA	Continue Short RangeTransit Planning MVPC UPWP FY 2017 (20% match from MVPC)	Carryover Used	\$	1,048,955			\$		\$	<u> </u>	\$	202,240	\$	- 10,000	\$	50
	MVRTA	MVPC Technical Support to MVRTA FY 2017 (20% match from MVPC)	Carryover Used	э \$	40,000	э \$		s		\$	-	\$		\$	10,000	۰ ۰	50
	MVRTA	Replace 7 Model Year 2004 Transit Buses with new (Delivery 2017) 7 of 17	Carryover Used	\$	2,391,200		597,800	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	\$		\$	2,989
	MVRTA	Newburyport Intermodal Transit Parking Facility - Construction	Carryover Used	\$	2,000,000	Ŷ	001,000	\$	-	\$	_	\$	_	\$	500,000	•	2,500
	MVRTA	Replace 5 Model Year 2011 Paratransit Vehicles (Delivery 2016)	Carryover Used	\$	256,000	\$	64,000									\$	320
	MVRTA	Acquire - Support Vehicles	Carryover Used	\$	72,000		18,000									\$	90
	MVRTA	FY 2017 Operating Assistance	Carryover Used	\$	342,175	Ť						\$	342,175			\$	684
	MVRTA MVRTA	State of Good Repair - MVRTA Facility State of Good Repair -	Carryover Used	\$	58,800					\$	14,200					\$	58
	MVRTA	McGovern Center State of Good Repair -	Carryover Used	\$	32,000					\$	8,000					\$	32
	MVRTA	Buckley Center Newburyport Intermodal Parking Facility - Final Design/Construction Phase Services	Carryover Used	\$	12,000					\$	3,000			\$	30,000	\$	12
	MVRTA	Replace 10 Model 2004 Buses	Carryover Used 5307 Subtotal ►		3,360,000 12,278,195		840,000 1,519,800	\$	-	\$	25,200	\$	1,230,680		550,000		4,200
309 ►		No Projects Programmed	N/A 5309 Subtotal ►	\$ \$	-	\$ \$	-	\$ \$	-	\$ \$	-	\$ \$	-	\$ \$		\$\$	
310 ►		No Projects Programmed	N/A	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	
		No Projects	5310 Subtotal ►		-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	•	\$	-	\$	-	\$	
311 ►		Programmed	N/A 5311 Subtotal ►	\$ \$		\$ \$		\$ \$		\$ \$	-	\$ \$	-	\$ \$		\$ \$	
316 ►		No Projects Programmed	N/A	\$		\$		\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$		\$	
		No Projects Programmed	5316 Subtotal ►		-	\$	-	\$	•	\$	-	\$	-	\$	•	\$	
317 ►		No Projects	N/A 5317 Subtotal ►	\$ \$	-	\$ \$	-	\$ \$	-	\$ \$	-	\$ \$	-	\$ \$	-	\$ \$	
oGR ► ivability ►		Programmed No Projects Programmed	N/A	\$ \$	-	\$ \$	<u> </u>	\$ \$		\$ \$		\$ \$		\$ \$	-	\$ \$	
IGER ►		No Projects Programmed	N/A	\$	-	\$	_	\$		\$	-	\$		\$	-	\$	
ther ►		No Projects Programmed	Grants Subtotal N/A	\$ \$	-	\$ \$	-	\$ \$		\$ \$	-	\$ \$	•	\$ \$	•	\$	
	nt Anchucia	0	perating Subtotal ► Total►	\$ \$	- 12,278,195	\$ \$	- 1,519,800	\$ \$	-	\$ \$	- 25,200	\$ \$	- 1,230,680	\$ \$	- 550,000	\$	15,578
iscal Constrai ederal unding Source FY 16 /5307	e Programmed ▼ \$ 3,113,345	Available ▼ \$ 5,590,860		Avai				State Fund Sour	ling	•	grammed 1,519,800	Avai \$	lable ▼ 1,519,800	(+/-) ▼		
arryover/5307 otal 5307 FY 15 / 5309 FY 15 / 5310	\$ 9,164,850 \$ 12,278,195 \$ -	\$ 9,164,850 \$ 14,755,710	\$- \$2,477,515	Avai Ava i					MAI		1,230,680	\$	1,230,680				

2017

Merrimack Valley MPO

	Transportatio	on Improvement Prog	gram					S+-	ato Mot	ch Sources			7/29/2015		Endorsed	
FTA	Regional Transit		Carryover or	Federa		DTACAD							RTA	_	Tota	
Program ▼	Authority ▼	Description ▼	Earmark Details▼	Funds	•	RTACAP	• •	MAP V		TDC V	SC	4 ▼	Funds	•	Cost	: •
5307 ►	MVRTA	Capital funding used for Preventive Maintenance and considered as an operating expense for FY 2018			500 745	•	_		_	s -		040405		_		
	MVRTA	Capital funding used for operating ADA service and considered as an operating expense for FY 2018	Carryover Used		,080,420		<u> </u>	\$	-	\$ - \$ -		648,435 270,105			\$	<u>3,242,180</u> 1,350,525
	MVRTA	Continue Short RangeTransit Planning MVPC UPWP FY 2018 (20% match from MVPC)	Carryover Used	\$	40,000	6	-	\$	_	\$ -	\$		\$	10,000	\$	50,000
	MVRTA	MVPC Technical Support to MVRTA FY 2018 (20% match from MVPC)	Carryover Used	\$	40,000			\$		<u> </u>			\$	10,000		50,000
	MVRTA	Refurbish Engines on 8 Model Year 2011 Transit Buses					46 400									·
	MVRTA	Purchase Three New Model Year 2017 35' Transit Buses (Delivery 2018)	Carryover Used	\$	185,600		46,400	Э	-	<u>\$</u> -	\$	-	\$		\$	232,000
	MVRTA	Acquire - Support vehicles (M)	Carryover Used	\$ 1 \$,056,000 37,080		<u>264,000</u> 9,270								\$	1,320,000 46,350
	MVRTA	Operating Assistance FY 2018	Carryover Used	\$	398,920	Ŷ	0,210				\$	398,920			\$	797,840
			5307 Subtotal ►	\$ 5	,431,765	\$	319,670	\$	-	\$-	\$	1,317,460	\$	20,000	\$	7,088,895
5309 ►		No Projects Programmed	N/A	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
		No Projects	5309 Subtotal ►		•	\$	•	\$	-	\$ -		-	\$	-	\$	-
5310 ►		Programmed No Projects	N/A 5310 Subtotal ►	\$ \$	-	\$ \$	-	\$ \$	-	\$- \$-	Ŷ	-	\$ \$	-	\$ \$	-
5311 ►		Programmed	N/A 5311 Subtotal ►	\$ \$		\$ \$		\$ \$	-	\$ - \$ -	-	-	\$ \$	-	\$ \$	-
5316 ►		No Projects Programmed	N/A	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -		-	\$	-	\$	-
		No Projects	5316 Subtotal ►	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
5317 ►		Programmed	N/A	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
SoGR ►		No Projects Programmed	5317 Subtotal ►	\$ \$	-	\$	-	\$ \$	•	\$ -	\$ \$	-	\$ \$	-	\$ \$	-
Livability		No Projects Programmed	N/A	\$		\$		\$		\$ -		-	\$		\$	
TIGER ►		No Projects Programmed	N/A	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -	φ	-	\$	-	\$	-
Other ►		No Projects Programmed	Grants Subtotal ►	\$ \$	-	\$ \$	-	\$ \$	•	\$ -		-	\$ \$	-	\$ \$	-
			erating Subtotal 🕨	\$	-	\$	•	\$	-	\$-	\$	•	\$	•	\$	-
Fiscal Constrai	nt Analysis		Total►	\$ 5	,431,765	\$	319,670	\$	-	\$-	\$	1,317,460	\$	20,000	\$	7,088,895
Federal Funding								State Fundin	a	Programme	-d					
Source ▼ FFY 17 /5307	Programmed ▼ \$ 2,954,250	Available ▼ \$ 5,590,860	(+/-) \$ 2,636,610	▼ Availab	le			Source		▼ Ū	Ava	ailable ▼ 319,670	(+/	/-) ▼		
Carryover/5307 Total 5307	\$ 2,477,515 \$ 5,431,765	\$ 2,477,515 \$ 8,068,375	\$ - \$ 2,636,610	Availat	le					. 0.10,0						
FFY 15 / 5309 FFY 15 / 5310	\$- \$-								MAP SCA	\$ 1,317,4		1,317,460		-		
	- v															

2018

	Transportat	tion Improvement Pr	ogram												7/29/	2015	Endorsed
FTA	Regional Transit	Project	Carryover or	Fed	eral			St	ate Mat	ch Sour	ces	1		RTA		Tota	
Program ▼	Authority V	Description V	Earmark Details ▼		ds ▼	RTAC	AP V	MAP	•	TDC 🔻		sc	A ▼	Funds	•	Cost	
5307 ►	MVRTA	Capital funding used for Preventive Maintenance and considered as an operating expense for FY 2019	Carryover Used	6	2,661,255	\$	_	\$		\$	-	\$	665,315	\$	_	\$	3,326,570
	MVRTA	Capital funding used for operating ADA service and considered as an operating expense for FY 2019	Carryover Used	\$	1,112,835	\$	-	\$	_	\$	_	\$	278,210	\$	-	\$	1,391,045
	MVRTA	Continue Short RangeTransit Planning MVPC UPWP FY 2019 (20% match from MVPC)	Carryover Used	s	40,000	s		\$		\$		s		s	10,000	\$	50,000
	MVRTA	MVPC Technical Support to MVRTA FY 2019 (20% match from MVPC)		\$		s						s					
	MVRTA	Refurbish Engine/ Transmisssions on 8 Model Year 2012 Transit Buses	Carryover Used	\$	40,000	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	2		\$	10,000	\$	50,000
	MVRTA	Acquire - Support Vehicles	Carryover Used	\$	191,170	\$	47,790	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	238,960
	MVRTA	Operating Assistance FY 2019	Carryover Used	\$	38,200	\$	9,550									\$	47,750
			Carryover Used	\$	440,860							\$	440,860			\$	881,720
		No Decision	5307 Subtotal ►	\$	4,524,320	\$	57,340	\$	-	\$	-	\$	1,384,385	\$	20,000	\$	5,986,045
5309 ►		No Projects Programmed	N/A 5309 Subtotal ►	\$ \$:	\$ \$	-	\$ \$	-	\$ \$	-	\$ \$	-	\$ \$	-	\$ \$	-
5310 ►		No Projects Programmed	N/A	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
5311 ►		No Projects Programmed	5310 Subtotal ► N/A	\$ \$	-	\$ \$		s \$	-	\$ \$	-	\$ \$	-	\$ \$	-	\$ \$	
5316 ►		No Projects Programmed	5311 Subtotal ►	\$ \$	-	\$ S		\$ \$	-	\$ \$	-	\$ \$	-	\$ \$	-	\$ \$	-
		1	5316 Subtotal ►	\$	-	\$	-	\$		\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
5317 ►		No Projects Programmed	N/A 5317 Subtotal ►	\$ \$	-	\$ \$	-	\$ \$	-	\$ \$	-	\$ \$	-	\$ \$	-	\$ \$	-
SoGR ►		No Projects Programmed	N/A	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$		\$	-
Livability Event		No Projects Programmed No Projects	N/A	\$	-	\$		\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
TIGER ►	L	Programmed	N/A	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Other >		No Projects	Grants Subtotal		-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ ¢	-	\$	-
Other ►		Programmed	N/A perating Subtotal ►	\$ \$		\$ \$		\$ \$		\$ \$		\$ \$		\$ \$	-	\$ \$	
Final Construin	t Analysia	OF	Total►		4,524,320	\$	57,340	\$		\$	-	\$	1,384,385	\$	20,000	\$	5,986,045
Fiscal Constrain Federal Funding Source ▼	Programmed ▼	Available ▼	(+/-)	-				State Fund Source	ling	Progra ▼	mmed		ilable ▼	(/-) ▼		

Funding						_	Funding	Prog	grammed				
Source V	Prog	rammed 🔻	Available	•	(+/-)	\checkmark	Source ▼	▼		Ava	ilable 🔻	(+/-) ▼	
FFY 18 /5307	\$	1,887,710	\$	5,590,860	\$ 3,703,150	Available	RTACAP	\$	57,340	\$	57,340		
Carryover/5307	\$	2,636,610	\$	2,636,610	\$ -	Available							
Total 5307	\$	4,524,320	\$	8,227,470	\$ 3,703,150	Available							
FFY 15 / 5309	\$	-					MAP						
FFY 15 / 5310	\$	-					SCA	\$	1,384,385	\$	1,384,385		
FFY 15 / 5311	\$	-					TDC	\$					



Transportation Improvement Program

				-				St	ate Matc	h Sourc	es					_	
FTA Program ▼	Regional Transit Authority ▼	Project Description ▼	Carryover or Earmark Details▼	Feder Funds		RTAC	AP V	MAP	•	трс 🔻		SCA	•	RTA Funds	5 ▼	Tota Cost	
5307 ►	MVRTA	Capital funding used						1									
		for Preventive Maintenance and															
		considered as an															
		operating expense for															
		FY 2020	0		0.040.000	_				•			507.000	•		•	
	MVRTA	Capital funding used	Carryover Used	\$	2,348,800	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	587,200	\$		\$	2,936,000
		for operating ADA															
		service and															
		considered as an operating expense for															
		FY 2020															
	MVRTA	Continue Short	Carryover Used	\$	1,038,480	\$		\$	-	\$	-	\$	259,620	\$		\$	1,298,100
	WIVELA	RangeTransit															
		Planning MVPC															
		UPWP FY 2020 (20% match from MVPC)															
		materi nom wivi c)															
			Carryover Used	\$	40,000	\$		\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	10,000	\$	50,000
	MVRTA	MVPC Technical Support to MVRTA FY															
		2020 (20% match															
		from MVPC)	Carryover Used	\$	40,000	\$		\$	-	s		\$	-	\$	10,000	\$	50,000
	MVRTA	Replace 3 of 3 Model	Carryover Oseu	φ	40,000	Ŷ		φ	-	Ŷ	-	¢	-	ą	10,000	ð	50,000
		Year 2007 Transit															
		Buses with new buses															
		(delivery in 2020)															
			Carryover Used	\$	1,120,305	\$	280,080	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	1,400,385
	MVRTA	Acquire - Support															
		Vehicles	Carryover Used	\$	39,200	\$	9,800	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	49,000
	MVRTA	Operating Assistance															
		FY 2020						-									
			Carryover Used	\$	454,085			\$	-	\$	-	\$	454,085	\$	-	\$	908,170
			5307 Subtotal ►	\$	5,080,870	\$	289,880	\$	-	\$	-	\$	1,300,905	\$	20,000	\$	6,691,655
		No Projects														\$	-
5309 ►		Programmed	N/A	\$		\$		\$	-	\$		\$	-	s		\$	-
		Ū	5309 Subtotal ►		-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
		No Projects															
5310 ►		Programmed	N/A	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	•	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
		No Projects	5310 Subtotal ►	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
5311 ►		Programmed	N/A	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$		\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
		1	5311 Subtotal ►	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
		No Projects Programmed															
5316 ►		riogrammed	N/A 5316 Subtotal ►	\$ \$	-	\$ \$	-	\$ \$	-	\$ \$	-	\$ \$		\$ \$	-	\$ \$	-
		No Projects		Ψ	_	Ť	_	, v	_	Ŷ		Ŷ	_	Ŷ	_	Ŷ	_
5317 ►		Programmed	N/A	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
		No Decimate	5317 Subtotal ►	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
SoGR ►												\$		\$		\$	
		No Projects Programmed	N/A	¢		¢		¢				Ψ		ψ		Ŷ	_
SOOK P		Programmed	N/A	\$		\$	-	\$	-	\$							
Livability ►		Programmed No Projects Programmed	N/A	\$ \$	-	\$ \$	-	\$ \$	-	\$		\$	-	\$		\$	-
Livability 🕨		Programmed No Projects Programmed No Projects	N/A				-				-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
		Programmed No Projects Programmed	N/A N/A	\$ \$		\$ \$	-	\$ \$		\$ \$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Livability 🕨		Programmed No Projects Programmed No Projects	N/A	\$ \$			- - - -				-	\$ \$ \$		\$ \$ \$	-	\$ \$	-
Livability 🕨		Programmed No Projects Programmed No Projects	N/A N/A	\$ \$		\$ \$	-	\$ \$		\$ \$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	
Livability 🕨		Programmed No Projects Programmed No Projects Programmed	N/A N/A Grants Subtotal ►	\$ \$ \$		\$ \$ \$	- - - -	\$ \$ \$	-	\$ \$ \$	-	\$ \$	-	\$ \$		\$ \$ \$ \$	-
Livability ► TIGER ►		Programmed No Projects Programmed No Projects Programmed	N/A N/A Grants Subtotal ►	\$ \$ \$		\$ \$ \$	- - - - -	\$ \$ \$	-	\$ \$ \$	-	\$ \$	-	\$ \$	- - - -	\$ \$ \$ \$	- - - -
Livability ► TIGER ►		Programmed No Projects Programmed No Projects Programmed	N/A N/A Grants Subtotal ► N/A erating Subtotal ►	\$ \$ \$ \$	- - - - -	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$	- - -	\$ \$ \$ \$	- - - -	\$ \$ \$ \$	-	\$ \$ \$	- - -	\$ \$ \$	- - -	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$	- - - - - -
Livability ► TIGER ►	int Analysis	Programmed No Projects Programmed No Projects Programmed	N/A N/A Grants Subtotal ►	\$ \$ \$ \$		\$ \$ \$ \$ \$	- - - - - 289,880	\$ \$ \$	-	\$ \$ \$	- - -	\$ \$ \$	- - -	\$ \$	- - - - 20,000	\$ \$ \$ \$	- - - -
Livability ► TIGER ► Other ► Fiscal Constra Federal	int Analysis	Programmed No Projects Programmed No Projects Programmed	N/A N/A Grants Subtotal ► N/A erating Subtotal ►	\$ \$ \$ \$	- - - - -	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$	- - -	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	- - - -	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$		\$ \$ \$	- - -	\$ \$ \$	- - -	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$	- - - - - -
Livability ► TIGER ► Other ► Fiscal Constra Federal Funding		Programmed No Projects Programmed No Projects Programmed No Projects Programmed	N/A N/A Grants Subtotal ► N/A erating Subtotal ► Total►	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$	- - - - -	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$	- - -	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ State Fund	- - - -	\$ \$ \$ \$ Program		\$ \$ \$ \$	- - - 1,300,905	\$ \$ \$ \$	- - - 20,000	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$	- - - - - -
Livability ► TIGER ► Other ► Fiscal Constra Federal Funding Source ▼	Programmed ▼	Programmed No Projects Programmed No Projects Programmed No Projects Programmed Op	N/A N/A Grants Subtotal ► N/A erating Subtotal ► Total►	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$	- - - 5,080,870	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$	- - -	\$ \$ \$ \$ State Fund Source	- - - - ing :e ▼	\$ \$ \$ \$ Prograt	- - - -	\$ \$ \$ \$ Avai	- - - 1,300,905	\$ \$ \$ \$	- - -	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$	- - - - - -
Livability ► TIGER ► Other ► Fiscal Constra Federal Funding Source ▼ FFY 19 / 5307	Programmed ▼ \$ 1,377,720	Programmed No Projects Programmed No Projects Programmed No Projects Programmed Op Available ▼ \$ 5,590,860	N/A N/A Grants Subtotal ► N/A erating Subtotal ► Total►	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ Availa	- - - 5,080,870	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$	- - -	\$ \$ \$ \$ State Fund Source	- - - - se ▼	\$ \$ \$ \$ Prograt ¥ \$ 2	- - - - mmed	\$ \$ \$ \$ Avai \$	- - - 1,300,905 Iable ▼ 289,880	\$ \$ \$ \$	- - - 20,000	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$	- - - - - -
Livability ► TIGER ► Other ► Fiscal Constra Federal Funding Source ▼	Programmed ▼ \$ 1,377,720	Programmed No Projects Programmed No Projects Programmed No Projects Programmed Op Available ▼ \$ 5,590,860 \$ 3,703,150	N/A N/A Grants Subtotal ► N/A erating Subtotal ► Total► (+/ \$ 4,213,140	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$	- - - 5,080,870 ble	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$	- - -	\$ \$ \$ \$ State Fund Source	- - - - ing :e ▼	\$ \$ \$ \$ Prograt ¥ \$ 2	- - - -	\$ \$ \$ \$ Avai \$	- - - 1,300,905	\$ \$ \$ \$	- - - 20,000	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$	- - - - - -

Page intentionally left blank.

Part C. Federal Requirements

Part C. 1. Financial Summary - Targets

Highway Projects Financial Plan

Funding levels for Fiscal Years 2016-2019 have been developed cooperatively between the State and the MPOs as part of the TIP development process. The following four tables depict the resulting financial plan for each of the four fiscal years. The expected Title 23 Apportionment is listed first followed by the projected needs of the State. A formula developed by the Regional Planning Agencies (RPAs) and approved by MassDOT is applied to the "Statewide Infrastructure Program Plus State Match" to come up with target budgets for each MPO. In FFY 2017 MassDOT will end funding for the regional major infrastructure program after the I-91 Viaduct in Springfield project has been completed. These funds will be reallocated to the Regional Target program for prioritization by MPOs across the state.

Inflation increases project costs and therefore project costs have been increased 4% per year.

Title 23 – Transportation Funding Federal Fiscal Year 2016 Federal Regional Targets May 15, 2015 Final

	Obligation Authority	Obl. Auth. Matching State Funds	Total Funding Based On Obl. Auth.
Base Obligation Authority	\$550,000,000		
Redistribution, as estimated by FHWA	\$50,000,000		
Total Estimated Obligation Authority Available	\$600,000,000		
ABP GANS Repayment	\$44,440,000		
Total Non-Earmarked Available Statewide – (Including State Match)	\$555,560,000	\$124,678,739	\$680,238,739
Statewide Infrastructure Items:			
Statewide Infrastructure Program	\$14,000,000	\$3,500,000	\$17,500,000
Statewide HSIP Program	\$22,500,000	\$3,055,556	\$30,555,556
Statewide Safe Routes to Schools	\$6,052,578	\$1,513,145	\$7,565,723
Statewide CMAQ	\$29,844,908	\$7,461,227	\$37,306,135
Statewide Transportation Enhancements	\$4,647,954	\$1,161,988	\$5,809,942
Statewide ITS	\$10,480,000	\$2,620,000	\$13,100,000
Statewide Interstate Maintenance	\$68,340,860	\$7,593,429	\$75,934,289
Statewide NHS Preservation Program	\$21,360,000	\$5,340,000	\$26,700,000
Statewide RR Grade Crossings	\$6,800,000	\$1,700,000	\$8,500,000
Statewide Stormwater Retrofits	\$6,075,000	\$1,518,750	\$7,593,750
Statewide ADA Implementation Plan	\$3,200,000	\$800,000	\$4,000,000
Subtotal Statewide Infrastructure Items	\$198,301,300	\$36,464,094	\$235,565,394

Title 23 – Transportation Funding Federal Fiscal Year 2016 (Continued) Federal Regional Targets

May 15, 2015 Final

	Obligation Authority	Obl. Auth. Matching	Total Funding Based On
Other Statewide Items:			
Award Adjustments, Change Orders, Project Value Changes, Etc.	\$12,219,721	\$3,054,930	\$15,274,651
Planning	\$16,800,000	\$4,200,000	\$21,000,000
Statewide Recreational Trails	\$800,000	\$200,000	\$1,000,000
DBEs,FAPO, Misc. Programs	\$800,000	\$200,000	\$1,000,000
Design and Right of Way	\$0	\$0	\$0
Subtotal Other Statewide Items:	\$30,619,721	\$7,654,930	\$38,274,651
Regional Major Infrastructure Projects:	\$67,595,000	\$16,898,750	\$84,493,750
Bridge Program:			
Statewide Bridge Maintenance Program	\$8,000,000	\$2,000,000	\$10,000,000
Statewide Bridge Repl. / Rehab Program	\$105,983,859	\$26,495,965	\$132,479,824
Statewide Bridge Inspection Program	\$5,000,000	\$1,250,000	\$6,250,000
Subtotal Federal Aid Bridge Program:	\$118,983,859	\$29,745,965	\$148,729,824
Total Regional Targets:			
CMAQ – Congestion Management and Air Quality Improvement Program	\$25,000,000	\$6,250,000	\$31,250,000
HSIP – Highway Safety Improvement Program	\$9,000,000	\$1,000,000	\$10,000,000
TAP – Transportation Alternatives Program	\$7,970,006	\$1,992,501	\$9,962,507
Regional Maximum Non-CMAQ / HSIP Component:	\$98,689,994	\$24,672,498	\$123,362,492
Total Regional Target:	\$140,660,000	\$33,915,000	\$174,575,000

Title 23 – Transportation Funding Federal Fiscal Year 2016 (Continued) Federal Regional Targets

May 15, 2015 Final

Region	Regional Minimum HSIP Component With State Match	Regional Minimum CMAQ Component With State Match	Regional Minimum TAP Component With State Match	Total Regional Target With State Match	Non CMAQ/ HSIP/ TAP with State Match
Berkshire Region	\$355,964	\$1,112,389	\$0	\$6,214,248	\$4,745,895
Boston Region	\$4,296,710	\$13,427,220	\$5,097,438	\$75,009,821	\$52,188,452
Cape Cod	\$458,514	\$1,432,857	\$357,528	\$8,004,511	\$5,755,612
Central Mass	\$869,013	\$2,715,666	\$852,078	\$15,170,796	\$10,734,039
Franklin Region	\$253,975	\$793,671	\$0	\$4,433,762	\$3,386,116
Martha's Vineyard	\$30,997	\$96,865	\$0	\$541,128	\$413,266
Merrimack Valley	\$442,956	\$1,384,237	\$610,846	\$7,732,899	\$5,294,861
Monta- chusett	\$445,955	\$1,393,611	\$149,980	\$7,785,266	\$5,795,721
Nantucket	\$21,998	\$68,743	\$0	\$384,027	\$293,286
Northern Middlesex	\$390,961	\$1,221,753	\$490,607	\$6,825,200	\$4,721,879
Old Colony	\$455,954	\$1,424,858	\$600,019	\$7,959,824	\$5,478,993
Pioneer Valley	\$1,080,992	\$3,378,100	\$947,878	\$18,871,416	\$13,464,447
South- eastern Mass	\$896,010	\$2,800,033	\$856,134	\$15,642,102	\$11,089,952
Total:	\$10,000,000	\$31,250,000	\$9,962,507	\$174,575,000	\$123,362,492

Title 23 – Transportation Funding Federal Fiscal Year 2017 Federal Regional Targets May 15, 2015 Final

Total Obligation **Obl.** Auth. Funding Authority Matching Based On Base Obligation Authority \$550,000,000 Redistribution, as estimated by FHWA \$50,000,000 **Total Estimated Obligation** \$600,000,000 **Authority Available ABP GANS Repayment** \$59,150,000 **Total Non-Earmarked Available** \$540,850,000 \$122,288,943 \$663,138,943 Statewide – (Including State Match) Statewide Infrastructure Items: Statewide Infrastructure Program \$5,600,000 \$1,400,000 \$7,000,000 Statewide HSIP Program \$22,000,000 \$2,444,444 \$24,444,444 Statewide Safe Routes to Schools \$5,000,000 \$1,250,000 \$6,250,000 Statewide CMAQ \$35,030,000 \$8,757,500 \$43,787,500 Statewide Transportation \$0 \$0 \$0 Enhancements Statewide ITS \$14,400,000 \$3,600,000 \$18,000,000 Statewide Interstate Maintenance \$70,544,016 \$63,489,614 \$7,054,402 Statewide NHS Preservation Program \$34,932,000 \$8,733,000 \$43,665,000 Statewide RR Grade Crossings \$8,000,000 \$2,000,000 \$10,000,000 Statewide Stormwater Retrofits \$4,500,000 \$1,125,000 \$5,625,000 Statewide ADA Implementation Plan \$2,700,000 \$675,000 \$3,375,000 Subtotal Statewide Infrastructure \$195,651,614 \$37,239,346 \$233,690,960 Items

Title 23 – Transportation Funding Federal Fiscal Year 2017 (Continued) Federal Regional Targets

May 15, 2015 Final

	Obligation Authority	Obl. Auth. Matching	Total Funding Based On
Other Statewide Items:			
Award Adjustments, Change Orders, Project Value Changes, Etc.	\$25,000,000	\$6,250,000	\$31,250,000
Planning	\$25,800,000	\$6,450,000	\$32,250,000
Recreational Trails	\$800,000	\$200,000	\$1,000,000
DBEs, FAPO, Misc. Programs	\$800,000	\$200,000	\$1,000,000
Design and Right of Way	\$0	\$0	\$0
Subtotal Other Statewide Items:	\$52,400,000	\$13,100,000	\$65,500,000
Regional Major Infrastructure Projects:	\$7,200,000	\$1,800,000	\$9,000,000
Bridge Program:			
Statewide Bridge Maintenance Program	\$8,000,000	\$2,000,000	\$10,000,000
Statewide Bridge Repl. / Rehab Program	\$106,338,386	\$26,584,597	\$132,922,983
Statewide Bridge Inspection Program	\$5,000,000	\$1,250,000	\$6,250,000
Subtotal Federal Aid Bridge Program:	\$119,338,386	\$29,834,597	\$149,172,983
Total Regional Targets:			
CMAQ – Congestion Management and Air Quality Improvement Program	\$25,000,000	\$6,250,000	\$31,250,000
HSIP – Highway Safety Improvement Program	\$9,000,000	\$1,000,000	\$10,000,000
TAP – Transportation Alternatives Program	\$7,970,006	\$1,992,501	\$9,962,507
Regional Maximum Non-CMAQ / HSIP Component:	\$124,289,994	\$31,072,499	\$155,362,493
Total Regional Target:	\$166,260,000	\$40,315,000	\$206,575,000

Final FY 2016-2019 MVMPO TIP prepared July 30, 2015

Title 23 – Transportation Funding Federal Fiscal Year 2017 (Continued) Federal Regional Targets

May 15, 2015 Final

Region	Regional Minimum HSIP Component With State Match	Regional Minimum CMAQ Component With State Match	Regional Minimum TAP Component With State Match	Total Regional Target With State Match	Non CMAQ/ HSIP/ TAP with State Match
Berkshire Region	\$355,964	\$1,112,389	\$0	\$7,353,335	\$5,884,981
Boston Region	\$4,296,710	\$13,427,220	\$3,312,089	\$88,759,294	\$67,723,275
Cape Cod	\$458,514	\$1,432,857	\$232,306	\$9,471,756	\$7,348,079
Central Mass	\$869,013	\$2,715,666	\$553,642	\$17,951,638	\$13,813,317
Franklin Region	\$253,975	\$793,671	\$0	\$5,246,480	\$4,198,835
Martha's Vineyard	\$30,997	\$96,865	\$0	\$640,318	\$512,456
Merrimack Valley	\$442,956	\$1,384,237	\$396,901	\$9,150,357	\$6,926,265
Montachusett	\$445,955	\$1,393,611	\$97,450	\$9,212,324	\$7,275,308
Nantucket	\$21,998	\$68,743	\$0	\$454,420	\$363,679
Northern Middlesex	\$390,961	\$1,221,753	\$318,775	\$8,076,275	\$6,144,787
Old Colony	\$455,954	\$1,424,858	\$389,865	\$9,418,878	\$7,148,201
Pioneer Valley	\$1,080,992	\$3,378,100	\$615,889	\$22,330,590	\$17,255,610
South- eastern Mass	\$896,010	\$2,800,033	\$556,278	\$18,509,335	\$14,257,014
Total:	\$10,000,000	\$31,250,000	\$9,962,507	\$206,575,000	\$158,851,806

Title 23 – Transportation Funding Federal Fiscal Year 2018 Federal Regional Targets

May 15, 2015 Final

	Obligation Authority	Obl. Auth. Matching	Total Funding Based On
Base Obligation Authority	\$550,000,000		
Redistribution, as estimated by FHWA	\$50,000,000		
Total Estimated Obligation Authority Available	\$600,000,000		
ABP GANS Repayment	\$68,463,700		
Total Non-Earmarked Available Statewide – (Including State Match)	\$531,536,300	\$120,126,726	\$651,663,026
Statewide Infrastructure Items:			
Statewide Infrastructure Program	\$5,600,000	\$1,400,000	\$7,000,000
Statewide HSIP Program	\$24,000,000	\$2,666,667	\$26,666,667
Statewide Safe Routes to Schools	\$5,000,000	\$1,250,000	\$6,250,000
Statewide CMAQ	\$38,400,000	\$9,600,000	\$48,000,000
Statewide Transportation Enhancements	\$0	\$0	\$0
Statewide ITS	\$11,920,000	\$2,980,000	\$14,900,000
Statewide Interstate Maintenance	\$60,292,914	\$6,699,213	\$66,992,127
Statewide NHS Preservation Program	\$31,000,000	\$7,750,000	\$38,750,000
Statewide RR Grade Crossings	\$4,800,000	\$1,200,000	\$6,000,000
Statewide Stormwater Retrofits	\$5,650,000	\$1,412,500	\$7,062,500
Statewide ADA Implementation Plan	\$1,550,000	\$387,500	\$1,937,500
Subtotal Statewide Infrastructure Items	\$188,212,914	\$35,545,879	\$224,558,793

Title 23 – Transportation Funding Federal Fiscal Year 2018 (Continued) Federal Regional Targets

May 15, 2015 Final

	Obligation Authority	Obl. Auth. Matching	Total Funding Based On
Other Statewide Items:			
Award Adjustments, Change Orders, Project Value Changes, Etc.	\$25,000,000	\$6,250,000	\$38,625,000
Planning	\$24,800,000	\$6,200,000	\$31,000,000
Recreational Trails	\$800,000	\$200,000	\$1,000,000
DBEs, FAPO, Retrofits, and Misc. Programs	\$800,000	\$200,000	\$1,000,000
Design and Right of Way	\$0	\$0	\$0
Subtotal Other Statewide Items:	\$51,400,000	\$12,850,000	\$64,250,000
Regional Major Infrastructure Projects:	\$0	\$0	\$0
Bridge Program:			
Statewide Bridge Maintenance Program	\$8,000,000	\$2,000,000	\$10,000,000
Statewide Bridge Repl. / Rehab Program	\$105,463,386	\$23,365,847	\$131,829,233
Statewide Bridge Inspection Program	\$5,000,000	\$1,250,000	\$6,250,000
Subtotal Federal Aid Bridge Program:	\$118,463,386	\$26,615,847	\$148,079,233
Total Regional Targets:			
CMAQ – Congestion Management and Air Quality Improvement Program	\$25,000,000	\$6,250,000	\$31,250,000
HSIP – Highway Safety Improvement Program	\$9,000,000	\$1,000,000	\$10,000,000
TAP – Transportation Alternatives Program	\$7,970,006	\$1,294,639	\$9,962,507
Regional Maximum Non-CMAQ / HSIP Component:	\$131,489,994	\$25,370,361	\$164,362,493
Total Regional Target:	\$173,460,000	\$33,915,000	\$215,575,000

Final FY 2016-2019 MVMPO TIP prepared July 30, 2015

Title 23 – Transportation Funding Federal Fiscal Year 2018 (Continued) Federal Regional Targets

May 15, 2015 Final

Region	Regional Minimum HSIP Component With State Match	Regional Minimum CMAQ Component With State Match	Regional Minimum TAP Component With State Match	Total Regional Target With State Match	Non CMAQ/ HSIP/ TAP with State Match
Berkshire Region	\$355,964	\$1,112,389	\$0	\$7,673,703	\$6,205,349
Boston Region	\$4,296,710	\$13,427,220	\$3,312,089	\$92,626,333	\$71,590,315
Cape Cod	\$458,514	\$1,432,857	\$232,306	\$9,884,419	\$7,760,742
Central Mass	\$869,013	\$2,715,666	\$553,642	\$18,733,750	\$14,595,428
Franklin Region	\$253,975	\$793,671	\$0	\$5,475,057	\$4,427,412
Martha's Vineyard	\$30,997	\$96,865	\$0	\$668,216	\$540,353
Merrimack Valley	\$442,956	\$1,384,237	\$396,901	\$9,549,017	\$7,324,925
Monta- chusett	\$445,955	\$1,393,611	\$97,450	\$9,613,684	\$7,676,667
Nantucket	\$21,998	\$68,743	\$0	\$474,218	\$383,477
Northern Middlesex	\$390,961	\$1,221,753	\$318,775	\$8,428,140	\$6,496,651
Old Colony	\$455,954	\$1,424,858	\$389,865	\$9,829,237	\$7,558,560
Pioneer Valley	\$1,080,992	\$3,378,100	\$615,889	\$23,303,483	\$18,228,502
South- eastern Mass	\$896,010	\$2,800,033	\$556,278	\$19,315,744	\$15,063,424
Total:	\$10,000,000	\$31,250,000	\$9,962,507	\$215,575,000	\$167,851,806

Title 23 – Transportation Funding Federal Fiscal Year 2019 Federal Regional Targets

May 15, 2015 Final

	Obligation Authority	Obl. Auth. Matching	Total Funding Based On
Base Obligation Authority	\$550,000,000		
Redistribution, as estimated by FHWA	\$50,000,000		
Total Estimated Obligation Authority Available	\$600,000,000		
ABP GANS Repayment	\$73,525,150		
Total Non-Earmarked Available Statewide – (Including State Match)	\$526,474,850	\$130,368,713	\$656,843,563
Statewide Infrastructure Items:			
Statewide Infrastructure Program	\$2,960,000	\$740,000	\$3,700,000
Statewide HSIP Program	\$24,000,000	\$2,666,667	\$26,666,667
Statewide Safe Routes to Schools	\$5,000,000	\$1,250,000	\$6,250,000
Statewide CMAQ	\$44,363,162	\$11,090,791	\$55,453,953
Statewide Transportation Enhancements	\$0	\$0	\$0
Statewide ITS	\$20,000,000	\$5,000,000	\$25,000,000
Statewide Interstate Maintenance	\$57,646,464	\$14,411,616	\$72,058,080
Statewide NHS Preservation Program	\$28,000,000	\$7,000,000	\$35,000,000
Statewide RR Grade Crossings	\$3,800,000	\$950,000	\$4,750,000
Statewide Stormwater Retrofits	\$1,800,000	\$450,000	\$2,250,000
Statewide ADA Implementation Plan	\$1,200,000	\$300,000	\$1,500,000
Subtotal Statewide Infrastructure Items	\$188,769,626	\$47,192,407	\$235,962,033

Title 23 – Transportation Funding Federal Fiscal Year 2019 (Continued) Federal Regional Targets

May 15, 2015 Final

	Obligation Authority	Obl. Auth. Matching	Total Funding Based On
Other Statewide Items:			
Award Adjustments, Change Orders, Project Value Changes, Etc.	\$22,040,000	\$5,510,000	\$27,550,000
Planning	\$23,800,000	\$5,950,000	\$29,750,000
Recreational Trails	\$800,000	\$200,000	\$1,000,000
DBEs, FAPO, Retrofits, and Misc. Programs	\$800,000	\$200,000	\$1,000,000
Design and Right of Way	\$0	\$0	\$0
Subtotal Other Statewide Items:	\$47,440,000	\$11,860,000	\$59,300,000
Regional Major Infrastructure Projects:	\$0	\$0	\$0
Bridge Program:			
Statewide Bridge Maintenance Program	\$8,000,000	\$2,000,000	\$10,000,000
Statewide Bridge Repl. / Rehab Program	\$103,805,224	\$25,951,306	\$129,756,530
Statewide Bridge Inspection Program	\$5,000,000	\$1,250,000	\$6,250,000
Subtotal Federal Aid Bridge Program:	\$116,805,224	\$29,201,306	\$146,006,530
Total Regional Targets:			
CMAQ – Congestion Management and Air Quality Improvement Program	\$25,000,000	\$6,250,000	\$31,250,000
HSIP – Highway Safety Improvement Program	\$9,000,000	\$1,000,000	\$10,000,000
TAP – Transportation Alternatives Program	\$5,278,555	\$1,319,639	\$6,598,194
Regional Maximum Non-CMAQ / HSIP Component:	\$134,181,445	\$33,545,361	\$167,726,806
Total Regional Target:	\$173,460,000	\$42,115,000	\$215,575,000

Final FY 2016-2019 MVMPO TIP prepared July 30, 2015

Title 23 – Transportation Funding Federal Fiscal Year 2019 (Continued) Federal Regional Targets

May 15, 2015 Final

Region	Regional Minimum HSIP Component With State Match	Regional Minimum CMAQ Component With State Match	Regional Minimum TAP Component With State Match	Total Regional Target With State Match	Non CMAQ/ HSIP/ TAP with State Match
Berkshire Region	\$355,964	\$1,112,389	\$0	\$7,673,703	\$6,205,349
Boston Region	\$4,296,710	\$13,427,220	\$2,548,719	\$92,626,333	\$72,353,684
Cape Cod	\$458,514	\$1,432,857	\$178,764	\$9,884,419	\$7,814,284
Central Mass	\$869,013	\$2,715,666	\$426,039	\$18,733,750	\$14,723,032
Franklin Region	\$253,975	\$793,671	\$0	\$5,475,057	\$4,427,412
Martha's Vineyard	\$30,997	\$96,865	\$0	\$668,216	\$540,353
Merrimack Valley	\$442,956	\$1,384,237	\$305,423	\$9,549,018	\$7,416,402
Monta- chusett	\$445,955	\$1,393,611	\$74,990	\$9,613,684	\$7,699,128
Nantucket	\$21,998	\$68,743	\$0	\$474,218	\$383,477
Northern Middlesex	\$390,961	\$1,221,753	\$245,303	\$8,428,140	\$6,570,122
Old Colony	\$455,954	\$1,424,858	\$300,009	\$9,829,237	\$7,648,416
Pioneer Valley	\$1,080,992	\$3,378,100	\$473,939	\$23,303,483	\$18,370,452
South- eastern Mass	\$896,010	\$2,800,033	\$428,067	\$19,315,744	\$15,191,634
Total:	\$10,000,000	\$31,250,000	\$6,598,194	\$215,575,000	\$169,343,747

The following table shows the total federal programmed amounts in this TIP for each of the four years covered in this document. The funding summaries below show the total Operating and Maintenance costs versus Capital and Other costs, for each year of the TIP. A fiscal constraint finding for the State Transportation Improvement Program will include the cost of operating and maintaining the existing MVMPO transportation system.

Merrimack Valley Metropolitan Planning Organization FY 2016-2019 Transportation Improvement Program

Highway Program Financial Plan (FHWA - related funding categories only) Total Costs including Federal and State Match* Figures include Federal Aid "target" program & statewide funding

Fiscal Year	Federal Programmed Operating/ Maintenance Costs* (inc. Match)	Federal Programmed Capital and Other Costs* (inc. Match)	Total Federal + Match Programmed*	Total Federal + Match Estimated Available Funds*
2016	\$24.54	\$4.62	\$29.16	\$31.04
2017	\$11.01	\$7.83	\$18.84	\$20.16
2018	\$27.25	\$13.64	\$40.89	\$41.39
2019	\$18.02	\$11.05	\$29.07	\$31.93

* Millions of dollars

The financial plan contained herein is financially constrained and indicates that the Merrimack Valley Metropolitan Planning Organization's 2016-2019 TIP reflects an emphasis on the maintenance and operation of the current roadway and bridge system with the ability to provide additional capital improvements. Only projects for which funds can be expected have been included.

Part B of this document includes a list of Non-federal-aid transportation projects in the region. The projects listed in Part B are an integral part of the planning, programming, and priority setting process of the MVMPO.

Following the Transit Projects Financial Plan, the eight tables in Part C.4. contain a breakdown of the project cost totals and federal aid cost portions by federal aid funding categories for each fiscal year and the expected available resources to cover the cost.

The tables on the following pages show actual MassDOT Highway Operating and Maintenance Expenditures for FFY 2013, 2014 and 2015.

Massachusetts Department of Transportation – Highway Division Summary of Operating and Maintenance Expenditures **Merrimack Valley Region** – Part 1: Non-Federal Aid as of May 31, 2015

Section I – Non-Federal Aid Maintenance Projects – State Bond funds

Program Group/Sub Group	Estimated SFY 2013 Expenditures	Estimated SFY 2014 Expenditures	Current SFY 2015 Expenditures to Date
01- Bridge Repair & Replacement			
New Bridge (Excluded)	n/a	n/a	n/a
Bridge Replacement (Excluded)	n/a	n/a	n/a
Bridge Reconstruction/Rehab	\$9,534,929	\$2,842,666	\$80,010
Drawbridge Maintenance	\$0	\$0	\$0
Structure Maintenance	\$106,953	\$0	\$68,858
02 – Bridge Painting			
Painting - Structural	\$0	\$0	\$0
03 – Roadway Reconstruction			
Hwy Relocation (Excluded)	n/a	n/a	n/a
Hwy Recon. –Added Capacity (Excluded)	n/a	n/a	n/a
New Construction (Excluded)	n/a	n/a	n/a
Hwy Reconstr – Restr and Rehab	\$0	\$0	\$0
Hwy Reconstr – No Added Capacity	\$34,642	\$1,000,000	\$9,275
Hwy Reconstr – Minor Widening	\$0	\$0	\$0
Hwy Reconstr – Major Widening	\$0	\$0	\$0
04 – Roadway Resurfacing			
Resurfacing	\$0	\$0	\$1,841

Massachusetts Department of Transportation – Highway Division Summary of Operating and Maintenance Expenditures Merrimack Valley Region – Part 1: Non-Federal Aid (Continued) as of May 31, 2015

Section I (Cont.) – Non-Federal Aid Maintenance Projects – State Bond funds

Program Group/Sub Group	Estimated SFY 2013 Expenditures	Estimated SFY 2014 Expenditures	Current SFY 2015 Expenditures to Date
05 – Intersection & Safety			
Impact Attenuators	\$0	\$0	\$0
Safety Improvements	\$0	\$0	\$0
Traffic Signals	\$0	\$0	\$0
06 – Signs & Lighting			
Electrical	\$0	\$0	\$0
Sign Installation / Upgrading	\$0	\$0	\$0
Structural Signing	\$0	\$0	\$0
07 – Guardrail			
Guard Rail and Fencing	\$0	\$0	\$0
08 – Maintenance			
Catch Basin Cleaning	\$0	\$0	\$0
Crack Sealing	\$0	\$0	\$0
Landscaping	\$0	\$0	\$0
Mowing and Spraying	\$0	\$0	\$0
Pavement Marking	\$0	\$0	\$0
Sewer and Water	\$0	\$0	\$0
Process/Recycle/Trnsprt Soils	\$0	\$0	\$0
Contract Hwy Maint.	\$0	\$0	\$0

Massachusetts Highway Department Summary of Operating and Maintenance Expenditures Merrimack Valley Region – Part 1: Non-Federal Aid (Cont.) as of May 31, 2015

Section I (Cont.) - Non-Federal Aid Maintenance Projects - State Bond funds

Program Group/Sub Group	Estimated SFY 2012 Expenditures	Estimated SFY 2013 Expenditures	Current SFY 2014 Expenditures to Date
09 – Facilities			
Chemical Storage Sheds	\$0	\$0	\$0
Vertical Construction	\$527,607	\$4,299,249	\$0
10 – Bikeways (Excluded)	n/a	n/a	n/a
11 – Other			
Demolition	\$0	\$0	\$0
Drilling and Boring	\$0	\$0	\$0
Highway Sweeping	\$0	\$0	\$0
Intelligent Transportation Sys	\$0	\$0	\$0
Marine Construction			
Miscellaneous / No Prequal	\$0	\$0	\$0
Reclamation	\$0	\$0	\$0
Underground Tank Removal Replace	\$0	\$0	\$0
Unknown	\$0	\$0	\$0
Section I Total:	\$10,204,130	\$8,141,915	\$159,984

Massachusetts Highway Department Summary of Operating and Maintenance Expenditures Merrimack Valley Region – Part 1: Non-Federal Aid (Cont.) as of May 31, 2015

Section II – Non-Federal Aid Highway Operations - State Operating Budget Funding

Program Group/Sub Group	Estimated SFY 2013 Expenditures	Estimated SFY 2014 Expenditures	Current SFY 2015 Expenditures to Date
12 – Snow and Ice Operations & Materials	n/a	n/a	n/a
13 – District Maintenance Payroll	n/a	n/a	n/a
(Mowing, Litter Management, Sight Distance Clearing, Etc.)			
Section II Total:	\$0	\$0	\$0
Grand Total NFA:	\$10,204,130	\$8,141,915	\$159,984

Massachusetts Department of Transportation – Highway Division Summary of Operating and Maintenance Expenditures **Merrimack Valley Region** – Part 2: Federal Aid as of May 31, 2015

Section I – Federal Aid Maintenance Projects

Program Group/Sub Group	Estimated SFY 2013 Expenditures	Estimated SFY 2014 Expenditures	Current SFY 2015 Expenditures to Date
01- Bridge Repair & Replacement			
New Bridge (Excluded)	n/a	n/a	n/a
Bridge Replacement (Excluded)	n/a	n/a	n/a
Bridge Reconstruction/Rehab	\$2,395,314	\$12,025	\$5,586
Drawbridge Maintenance	\$0	\$0	\$0
Structure Maintenance	\$125,748	\$459	\$1,327,413
02 – Bridge Painting			
Painting - Structural	\$74,931	\$3,779,698	\$376,731
03 – Roadway Reconstruction			
Hwy Relocation (Excluded)	n/a	n/a	n/a
Hwy Recon. – Added Capacity (Excluded)	n/a	n/a	n/a
New Construction (Excluded)	n/a	n/a	n/a
Hwy Reconstr – Restr and Rehab	\$110,864	\$13,833	\$0
Hwy Reconstr – No Added Cap.	\$0	\$799,144	\$13,922,969
Hwy Reconstr – Minor Widening	\$786,302	\$59,456	\$0
Hwy Reconstr – Major Widening	\$0	\$0	\$0
04 – Roadway Resurfacing			
Resurfacing	\$5,482,314	\$10,984,708	\$2,588,999

Massachusetts Department of Transportation – Highway Division Summary of Operating and Maintenance Expenditures **Merrimack Valley Region** – Part 2: Federal Aid (Continued) as of May 31, 2015

Section I (Continued) – Federal Aid Maintenance Projects

Program Group/Sub Group	Estimated SFY 2013 Expenditures	Estimated SFY 2014 Expenditures	Current SFY 2015 Expenditures to Date
05 – Intersection & Safety			
Impact Attenuators	\$0	\$0	\$0
Safety Improvements	\$0	\$0	\$0
Traffic Signals	\$336,441	\$900,184	\$0
06 – Signs & Lighting			
Electrical	\$0	\$0	\$0
Sign Installation / Upgrading	\$0	\$0	\$0
Structural Signing	\$327,898	\$5,075	\$0
07 – Guardrail			
Guard Rail and Fencing	\$0	\$0	\$0
08 – Maintenance			
Catch Basin Cleaning	\$0	\$0	\$0
Contract Highway Maintenance	\$0	\$0	\$0
Crack Sealing	\$0	\$0	\$0
Landscaping	\$0	\$0	\$0
Mowing and Spraying	\$0	\$0	\$0
Pavement Marking	\$0	\$0	\$0
Process/Recycle/Trnsport Soils	\$0	\$0	\$0
Sewer and Water	\$0	\$0	\$0

Massachusetts Department of Transportation – Highway Division Summary of Operating and Maintenance Expenditures **Merrimack Valley Region** – Part 2: Federal Aid (Continued) as of May 31, 2015

Section I (Continued) – Federal Aid Maintenance Projects

Program Group/Sub Group	Estimated SFY 2013 Expenditures	Estimated SFY 2014 Expenditures	Current SFY 2015 Expenditures to Date
09 – Facilities			
Chemical Storage Sheds	\$0	\$0	\$0
Vertical Construction	\$0	\$0	\$0
10 – Bikeways (Excluded)	n/a	n/a	n/a
11 – Other			
Demolition	\$0	\$0	\$0
Drilling and Boring	\$0	\$0	\$0
Highway Sweeping	\$0	\$0	\$0
Intelligent Transportation Sys	\$21,008	\$3,175	\$0
Marine Construction	\$0	\$0	\$0
Miscellaneous / No Prequal	\$4,370,285	\$364,239	\$0
Reclamation	\$0	\$0	\$0
Underground Tank Removal Replace	\$0	\$0	\$0
Unknown	\$0	\$0	\$0
Section I Total:	\$14,031,105	\$16,921,997	\$18,221,698

Massachusetts Department of Transportation – Highway Division Summary of Operating and Maintenance Expenditures Merrimack Valley Region – Part 2: Federal Aid (Continued) as of May 31, 2015

Section II – Federal Aid Highway Operations

Program Group/Sub Group	Estimated SFY 2013 Expenditures	Estimated SFY 2014 Expenditures	Current SFY 2015 Expenditures to Date
ITS Operations – I-93 HOV Lane Operation and Towing	n/a	n/a	n/a
ITS Operations – Traffic Operations Center (South Boston)	n/a	n/a	n/a
Section II Total:	\$0	\$0	\$0
Grand Total Federal Aid:	\$14,031,105	\$16,921,997	\$18,221,698

Massachusetts Department of Transportation – Highway Division Summary of Operating and Maintenance Expenditures **Statewide Contracts** – Part 1: Non-Federal Aid as of May 31, 2015

Section I – Non-Federal Aid Maintenance Projects – State Bond funds

Program Group/Sub Group	Estimated SFY 2013 Expenditures	Estimated SFY 2014 Expenditures	Current SFY 2015 Expenditures to Date
01- Bridge Repair & Replacement			
New Bridge (Excluded)	n/a	n/a	
Bridge Replacement (Excluded)	n/a	n/a	
Bridge Reconstruction/Rehab	\$0	\$347,455	\$1,265,662
Drawbridge Maintenance	\$2,605,807	\$6,067,658	\$4,640,459
Structure Maintenance	\$35,499,934	\$35,863,695	\$21,479,568
02 – Bridge Painting			
Painting - Structural	\$0	\$986,521	\$1,025,289
03 – Roadway Reconstruction			
Hwy Relocation (Excluded)	n/a	n/a	n/a
Hwy Recon. –Added Capacity (Excluded)	n/a	n/a	n/a
New Construction (Excluded)	n/a	n/a	n/a
Hwy Reconstr – Restr and Rehab	\$2,162,734	\$1,014,867	\$68,651
Hwy Reconstr – No Added Capacity	\$0	\$0	\$0
Hwy Reconstr – Minor Widening	\$0	\$0	\$0
Hwy Reconstr – Major Widening	\$0	\$0	\$0
04 – Roadway Resurfacing			
Resurfacing	\$11,448,033	\$28,199,309	\$10,099,127

Massachusetts Department of Transportation – Highway Division Summary of Operating and Maintenance Expenditures **Statewide Contracts** – Part 1: Non-Federal Aid (Continued) as of May 31, 2015

Section I (Cont.) – Non-Federal Aid Maintenance Projects – State Bond funds

Program Group/Sub Group	Estimated SFY 2013 Expenditures	Estimated SFY 2014 Expenditures	Current SFY 2015 Expenditures to Date
05 – Intersection & Safety			
Impact Attenuators	\$343,745	\$249,117	\$0
Safety Improvements	\$0	\$0	\$0
Traffic Signals	\$2,673,645	\$3,387,510	\$0
06 – Signs & Lighting			
Electrical	\$1,276,874	\$1,696,432	\$0
Sign Installation / Upgrading	\$609,383	\$802,766	\$0
Structural Signing	\$301,908	\$613,184	\$0
07 – Guardrail			
Guard Rail and Fencing	\$2,388,637	\$3,228,154	\$0
08 – Maintenance			
Catch Basin Cleaning	\$5,020,329	\$5,248,686	\$0
Crack Sealing	\$5,015,414	\$4,174,687	\$0
Landscaping	\$526,601	\$8,638,052	\$0
Mowing and Spraying	\$4,063,711	\$3,461,254	\$0
Pavement Marking	\$4,063,711	\$5,033,678	\$0
Sewer and Water	\$73,726	\$415,993	\$0
Process/Recycle/Trnsprt Soils	\$3,942,801	\$4,318,298	\$0
Contract Hwy Maint.	\$13,349	\$183,428	\$0

Massachusetts Highway Department Summary of Operating and Maintenance Expenditures Statewide Contracts – Part 1: Non-Federal Aid (Cont.) as of May 31, 2015

Section I (Cont.) – Non-Federal Aid Maintenance Projects – State Bond funds

Program Group/Sub Group	Estimated SFY 2013 Expenditures	Estimated SFY 2014 Expenditures	Current SFY 2015 Expenditures to Date
09 – Facilities			
Chemical Storage Sheds	\$551,735	\$849,029	\$0
Vertical Construction	\$5,224,912	\$4,290,212	\$0
10 – Bikeways (Excluded)	n/a	n/a	n/a
11 – Other			
Demolition	\$0	\$0	\$0
Drilling and Boring	\$25,313	\$0	\$0
Highway Sweeping	\$570,558	\$698,618	\$0
Intelligent Transportation Sys	\$823,727	\$86,025	\$0
Marine Construction	\$0	\$0	\$0
Miscellaneous / No Prequal	\$1,898,989	\$1,928,892	\$0
Reclamation	\$0	\$0	\$0
Underground Tank Removal Replace	\$32,754	\$0	\$0
Hazardous Waste Remediation	\$1,860,342	\$53,415	\$0
Unknown	\$481,028	\$517,930	\$0
Section I Total:	\$89,435,989	\$118,911,609	\$38,578,757

Massachusetts Highway Department Summary of Operating and Maintenance Expenditures Statewide Contracts – Part 1: Non-Federal Aid (Cont.) as of May 31, 2015

Section II – Non-Federal Aid Highway Operations - State Operating Budget Funding

Program Group/Sub Group	Estimated SFY 2013 Expenditures	Estimated SFY 2014 Expenditures	Current SFY 2015 Expenditures to Date
12 – Snow and Ice Operations & Materials	\$92,067,574	\$119,915,309	\$131,541,859
13 – District Maintenance Payroll	\$22,943,817	\$24,367,401	\$20,960,541
(Mowing, Litter Management, Sight Distance Clearing, Etc.)			
Section II Total:	\$115,011,391	\$144,282,710	\$152,502,400
Grand Total NFA:	\$204,447,380	\$263,194,319	\$191,081,156

Massachusetts Department of Transportation – Highway Division Summary of Operating and Maintenance Expenditures **Statewide Contracts** – Part 2: Federal Aid as of May 31, 2015

Section I – Federal Aid Maintenance Projects

Program Group/Sub Group	Estimated SFY 2012 Expenditures	Estimated SFY 2013 Expenditures	Current SFY 2014 Expenditures to Date
01- Bridge Repair & Replacement			
New Bridge (Excluded)	n/a	n/a	
Bridge Replacement (Excluded)	n/a	n/a	
Bridge Reconstruction/Rehab	\$0	\$0	\$0
Drawbridge Maintenance	\$0	\$0	\$0
Structure Maintenance	\$788,063	\$2,363,766	\$4,775,569
02 – Bridge Painting			
Painting - Structural	\$0	\$0	\$0
03 – Roadway Reconstruction			
Hwy Relocation (Excluded)	n/a	n/a	n/a
Hwy Recon. – Added Capacity (Excluded)	n/a	n/a	n/a
New Construction (Excluded)	n/a	n/a	n/a
Hwy Reconstr – Restr and Rehab	\$0	\$1,198,567	\$182,417
Hwy Reconstr – No Added Cap.	\$0	\$148,014	\$488,054
Hwy Reconstr – Minor Widening	\$0	\$0	\$0
Hwy Reconstr – Major Widening	\$0	\$0	\$0
04 – Roadway Resurfacing			
Resurfacing	\$1,160,946	\$0	\$52,172

Massachusetts Department of Transportation – Highway Division Summary of Operating and Maintenance Expenditures **Statewide Contracts** – Part 2: Federal Aid (Continued) as of May 31, 2015

Section I (Continued) – Federal Aid Maintenance Projects

Program Group/Sub Group	Estimated SFY 2013 Expenditures	Estimated SFY 2014 Expenditures	Current SFY 2015 Expenditures to Date
05 – Intersection & Safety			
Impact Attenuators	\$0	\$0	\$0
Safety Improvements	\$0	\$0	\$0
Traffic Signals	\$0	\$0	\$0
06 – Signs & Lighting			
Electrical	\$366,192	\$1,847,736	\$0
Sign Installation / Upgrading	\$172,664	\$4,885	\$0
Structural Signing	\$0	\$0	\$0
07 – Guardrail			
Guard Rail and Fencing	\$0	\$0	\$0
08 – Maintenance			
Catch Basin Cleaning	\$0	\$0	\$0
Contract Highway Maintenance	\$4,151,018	\$3,293,626	\$0
Crack Sealing	\$0	\$0	\$0
Landscaping	\$0	\$0	\$0
Mowing and Spraying	\$0	\$0	\$0
Pavement Marking	\$318,169	\$133,236	\$0
Process/Recycle/Trnsport Soils	\$0	\$0	\$0
Sewer and Water	\$0	\$0	\$0

Massachusetts Department of Transportation – Highway Division Summary of Operating and Maintenance Expenditures **Statewide Contracts** – Part 2: Federal Aid (Continued) as of May 31, 2015

Section I (Continued) – Federal Aid Maintenance Projects

Program Group/Sub Group	Estimated SFY 2013 Expenditures	Estimated SFY 2014 Expenditures	Current SFY 2015 Expenditures to Date
09 – Facilities			
Chemical Storage Sheds	\$0	\$0	\$0
Vertical Construction	\$14,336	\$871	\$0
10 – Bikeways (Excluded)	n/a	n/a	n/a
11 – Other			
Demolition	\$0	\$0	\$0
Drilling and Boring	\$7,887	\$916	\$0
Highway Sweeping	\$0	\$0	\$0
Intelligent Transportation Sys	\$3,298,036	\$3,714,508	\$0
Marine Construction	\$0	\$0	\$0
Miscellaneous / No Prequal	\$0	\$9,706	\$0
Reclamation	\$0	\$0	\$0
Underground Tank Removal Replace	\$0	\$0	\$0
Unknown	\$0	\$0	\$0
Section I Total:	\$10,277,311	\$12,715,830	\$7,053,721

Massachusetts Department of Transportation – Highway Division Summary of Operating and Maintenance Expenditures **Statewide Contracts** – Part 2: Federal Aid (Continued) as of May 31, 2015

Section II – Federal Aid Highway Operations

Program Group/Sub Group	Estimated SFY 2012 Expenditures	Estimated SFY 2013 Expenditures	Current SFY 2014 Expenditures to Date
ITS Operations – I-93 HOV Lane Operation and Towing	n/a	n/a	n/a
ITS Operations – Traffic Operations Center (South Boston)	n/a	n/a	n/a
Section II Total:	\$0	\$0	\$0
Grand Total Federal Aid:	\$10,277,311	\$12,715,830	\$7,053,721

Massachusetts Department of Transportation – Highway Division Summary of Operating and Maintenance Expenditures **State Total** – Part 1: Non-Federal Aid as of May 31, 2015

Section I – Non-Federal Aid Maintenance Projects – State Bond funds

Program Group/Sub Group	Estimated SFY 2013 Expenditures	Estimated SFY 2014 Expenditures	Current SFY 2015 Expenditures to Date
01- Bridge Repair & Replacement			
New Bridge (Excluded)	n/a	n/a	n/a
Bridge Replacement (Excluded)	n/a	n/a	n/a
Bridge Reconstruction/Rehab	\$56,265,484	\$32,866,246	\$24,647,320
Drawbridge Maintenance	\$9,762,345	\$6,610,436	\$4,780,533
Structure Maintenance	\$65,376,829	\$57,494,929	\$43,054,188
02 – Bridge Painting			
Painting - Structural	\$1,988,410	\$1,802,124	\$2,150,134
03 – Roadway Reconstruction			
Hwy Relocation (Excluded)	n/a	n/a	n/a
Hwy Recon. –Added Capacity (Excluded)	n/a	n/a	n/a
New Construction (Excluded)	n/a	n/a	n/a
Hwy Reconstr – Restr and Rehab	\$3,262,714	\$1,062,108	\$101,475
Hwy Reconstr – No Added Capacity	\$35,442	\$1,068,476	\$11,192
Hwy Reconstr – Minor Widening	\$6,947	\$55,822	\$40,858
Hwy Reconstr – Major Widening	\$47,992	\$2,307,528	\$2,382,899
04 – Roadway Resurfacing			
Resurfacing	\$12,311,850	\$28,625,065	\$18,522,945

Massachusetts Department of Transportation – Highway Division Summary of Operating and Maintenance Expenditures **State Total** – Part 1: Non-Federal Aid (Continued) May 31, 2015

Section I (Cont.) - Non-Federal Aid Maintenance Projects - State Bond funds

Program Group/Sub Group	Estimated SFY 2013 Expenditures	Estimated SFY 2014 Expenditures	Current SFY 2015 Expenditures to Date
05 – Intersection & Safety			
Impact Attenuators	\$343,745	\$249,117	\$0
Safety Improvements	\$4,896	\$0	\$0
Traffic Signals	\$2,673,645	\$3,387,510	\$0
06 – Signs & Lighting			
Electrical	\$1,277,590	\$1,739,487	\$0
Sign Installation / Upgrading	\$632,242	\$820,766	\$0
Structural Signing	\$301,908	\$613,184	\$0
07 – Guardrail			
Guard Rail and Fencing	\$2,740,920	\$3,228,154	\$0
08 – Maintenance			
Catch Basin Cleaning	\$5,020,329	\$5,248,686	\$0
Contract Highway Maintenance	\$5,035,407	\$4,174,687	\$0
Crack Sealing	\$526,601	\$8,638,052	\$0
Landscaping	\$4,064,397	\$5,033,678	\$0
Mowing and Spraying	\$73,726	\$415,993	\$0
Pavement Marking	\$4,044,288	\$4,322,118	\$0
Process/Recycle/Trnsprt Soils	\$1,148	\$384,871	\$0
Sewer and Water	\$13,349	\$183,428	\$0

Massachusetts Highway Department Summary of Operating and Maintenance Expenditures **State Total** – Part 1: Non-Federal Aid (Cont.) as of May 31, 2015

Section I (Cont.) - Non-Federal Aid Maintenance Projects - State Bond funds

Program Group/Sub Group	Estimated SFY 2013 Expenditures	Estimated SFY 2014 Expenditures	Current SFY 2015 Expenditures to Date
09 – Facilities			
Chemical Storage Sheds	\$578,900	\$849,029	\$0
Vertical Construction	\$7,124,346	\$16,197,682	\$0
10 – Bikeways (Excluded)	n/a	n/a	n/a
11 – Other			
Demolition	\$2,282,970	\$254,325	\$0
Drilling and Boring	\$25,313	\$0	\$0
Highway Sweeping	\$570,558	\$698,618	\$0
Intelligent Transportation Sys	\$961,221	\$91,118	\$0
Marine Construction	\$0	\$0	\$0
Miscellaneous / No Prequal	\$3,593,025	\$2,289,765	\$0
Reclamation	\$0	\$0	\$0
Underground Tank Removal Replace	\$32,754	\$0	\$0
Hazardous Waste Remediation	\$1,860,342	\$53,415	\$0
Unknown	\$481,028	\$517,930	\$0
Section I Total:	\$193,322,660	\$191,284,345	\$95,691,543

Massachusetts Highway Department Summary of Operating and Maintenance Expenditures **State Total** – Part 1: Non-Federal Aid (Cont.) as of May 31, 2015

Section II – Non-Federal Aid Highway Operations - State Operating Budget Funding

Program Group/Sub Group	Estimated SFY 2013 Expenditures	Estimated SFY 2014 Expenditures	Current SFY 2015 Expenditures to Date
12 – Snow and Ice Operations & Materials	\$92,067,574	\$119,915,309	\$131,541,859
13 – District Maintenance Payroll	\$22,943,817	\$24,367,401	\$20,960,541
(Mowing, Litter Management, Sight Distance Clearing, Etc.)			
Section II Total:	\$115,011,391	\$144,282,710	\$152,502,400
Grand Total NFA:	\$308,334,052	\$335,567,055	\$248,193,943

Massachusetts Department of Transportation Summary of Operating and Maintenance Expenditures **State Total** – Part 2: Federal Aid June 3, 2014

Section I – Federal Aid Maintenance Projects

Program Group/Sub Group	Estimated Estimated SFY 2013 SFY 2014 Expenditures Expenditures		Current SFY 2015 Expenditures to Date
01- Bridge Repair & Replacement			
New Bridge (Excluded)	n/a	n/a	n/a
Bridge Replacement (Excluded)	n/a	n/a	n/a
Bridge Reconstruction/Rehab	\$117,251,049	\$217,388,219	\$167,605,633
Drawbridge Maintenance	\$0	\$0	\$0
Structure Maintenance	\$12,109,125	\$11,550,508	\$18,517,775
02 – Bridge Painting			
Painting - Structural	\$74,931	\$3,779,698	\$376,731
03 – Roadway Reconstruction			
Hwy Relocation (Excluded)	n/a	n/a	n/a
Hwy Recon. – Added Capacity (Excluded)	n/a	n/a	n/a
New Construction (Excluded)	n/a	n/a	n/a
Hwy Reconstr – Restr and Rehab	\$32,114,608	\$24,934,492	\$29,051,113
Hwy Reconstr – No Added Cap.	\$38,999,446	\$29,246,785	\$35,679,113
Hwy Reconstr – Minor Widening	\$15,246,722	\$13,920,824	\$18,695,086
Hwy Reconstr – Major Widening	\$26,413	\$1,077,381	\$1,883,063
04 – Roadway Resurfacing			
Resurfacing	\$88,343,165	\$114,689,489	\$79,257,192

Massachusetts Department of Transportation – Highway Division Summary of Operating and Maintenance Expenditures **State Total** – Part 2: Federal Aid (Continued) as of May 31, 2015

Section I (Continued) – Federal Aid Maintenance Projects

Program Group/Sub Group	Estimated SFY 2013 Expenditures	Estimated SFY 2014 Expenditures	Current SFY 2015 Expenditures to Date
05 – Intersection & Safety			
Impact Attenuators	\$0	\$0	\$0
Safety Improvements	\$171,570	\$5,399	\$0
Traffic Signals	\$12,512,085	\$5,882,616	\$0
06 – Signs & Lighting			\$0
Electrical	\$1,232,314	\$2,196,210	\$0
Sign Installation / Upgrading	\$1,212,793	\$2,847,640	\$0
Structural Signing	\$3,098,537	\$6,417,217	\$0
07 – Guardrail			\$0
Guard Rail and Fencing	\$1,644,324	\$1,885,934	\$0
08 – Maintenance			\$0
Catch Basin Cleaning	\$0	\$0	\$0
Contract Highway Maintenance	\$4,151,018	\$3,293,626	\$0
Crack Sealing	\$0	\$0	\$0
Landscaping	\$809,120	\$58,400	\$0
Mowing and Spraying	\$0	\$0	\$0
Pavement Marking	\$318,169	\$133,236	\$0
Process/Recycle/Transport Soils	\$0	\$0	\$0
Sewer and Water	\$0	\$0	\$0

Massachusetts Department of Transportation – Highway Division Summary of Operating and Maintenance Expenditures **State Total** – Part 2: Federal Aid (Continued) as of May 31, 2015

Section I (Continued) – Federal Aid Maintenance Projects

Program Group/Sub Group	Estimated SFY 2013 Expenditures	Estimated SFY 2014 Expenditures	Current SFY 2015 Expenditures to Date
09 – Facilities			
Chemical Storage Sheds	\$0	\$0	\$0
Vertical Construction	\$315,540	\$12,062	\$12,062
10 – Bikeways (Excluded)	n/a	n/a	n/a
11 – Other			
Demolition	\$0	\$0	\$0
Drilling and Boring	\$7,887	\$916	\$0
Highway Sweeping	\$0	\$0	\$0
Intelligent Transportation Sys	\$6,210,680	\$5,997,614	\$0
Marine Construction	\$2,565,340	\$2,655,746	\$0
Miscellaneous / No Prequal	\$5,072,465	\$437,469	\$0
Reclamation	\$146,028	\$15,713	\$0
Underground Tank Removal Replace	\$0	\$0	\$0
Unknown	\$0	\$0	\$0
Section I Total:	\$343,633,327	\$448,427,194	\$351,066,362

Massachusetts Department of Transportation – Highway Division Summary of Operating and Maintenance Expenditures **State Total** – Part 2: Federal Aid (Continued) as of May 31, 2015

Section II – Federal Aid Highway Operations

Program Group/Sub Group	Estimated SFY 2013 Expenditures	Estimated SFY 2014 Expenditures	Current SFY 2015 Expenditures to Date
ITS Operations – I-93 HOV Lane Operation and Towing	\$550,000	\$550,000	\$0
ITS Operations – Traffic Operations Center (South Boston)	\$600,000	\$600,000	\$0
Section II Total:	\$1,150,000	\$1,150,000	\$0
Grand Total Federal Aid:	\$344,783,327	\$449,577,194	\$351,066,362

Transit Projects Financial Plan

Planning Justification for Transit Projects

The Merrimack Valley region's FY 2016-2019 TIP federal aid transit projects are to be carried out using Section 5307 received by the MVRTA from the FTA. The Authority will design and oversee construction of the Newburyport Intermodal Center. With the exception of the provision of operating assistance, the planning justification for the Section 5307 projects are contained in the Merrimack Valley Regional Transit Authority's Five Year Capital Program for 2016-2020.

MVRTA Financial Status

The MAP-21 requires that projects appearing in the TIP must have an identified source of funding that will allow them to be completed within the time period contemplated. Transit projects appearing in the FY 2016-2019 TIP meet this criterion.

However, while the program of transit projects shown in this TIP is a fiscally constrained plan for the MPO, it does not meet all of the MVRTA's capital funding needs as identified in the Authority's 2016-2020 Capital Program. A copy of this report can be found in Appendix E. Should additional state funding for transit capital spending become available, projects that appear in the MVRTA's FFY 2016 to FFY 2020 Capital Program can be added to the 2016-2019 MVMPO TIP program by amending the document.

Merrimack Valley Metropolitan Planning Organization FY 2016-2019 Transportation Improvement Program

Transit Program Financial Plan (FTA related funding categories only) Total Costs including Federal, State and Local*

Fiscal Year	Federal Programmed Operating/ Maintenance Costs* (inc. Match)	Federal Programmed Capital and Other Costs* (inc. Match)	Total Federal + Match Programmed*	Total Federal + Match Estimated Available Funds*
2016	\$12.83	\$2.75	\$15.58	\$15.58
2017	\$5.67	\$1.42	\$7.09	\$7.09
2018	\$5.89	\$0.10	\$5.99	\$5.99
2019	\$6.59	\$0.10	\$6.69	\$6.69

* Millions of dollars

Merrimack Valley MPO Operations and Maintenance Summary Table for the Merrimack Valley Regional Transit Authority State Fiscal Year 2015

The numbers below represent actual numbers for the previous year, the current year budget/forecast approved by the RTA Advisory Board, and Projections for the out-years. These numbers indicate that there are sufficient revenues projected to meet the operating needs of the area transit authority.

Audit	Adopted	Adopted	Projected Projected	
Addit	Budget	Budget	Trojecteu	Tojecica
Previous	Current	Yr Two	Yr Three	Yr Four
2014	2015	2016	2017	2018
\$1,838,270	\$1,942,340	\$2,014,510	\$2,071,855	\$2,133,225
\$3,194,000	\$3,659,405	\$3,703,350	\$3,990,980	\$4,169,840
-	-	-		
-	-	-		
-	-	-		
¢201 505				
φ301,393	-	-		
\$885	-	-		
\$26,000	\$25,000	\$25,000	\$25,000	\$25,000
\$10,000	\$1,000	\$1,000	\$1,000	\$1,000
-	-			
¢ε 000 ε 40	\$6,660,400	\$6,660,450	\$6,000,465	¢7,007,070
\$ 5,902,540	Ф0,009,430	Ф0,009,4 50	ф0,030,10 <u>3</u>	\$7,007,070
\$3,040,355	\$3,119,870	\$3,200,640	\$3,280,525	\$3,362,370
\$873,980	\$823,155	\$916,320	\$909,955	4925,430
\$15,187,625	\$16,240,200	\$16,530,270	\$17,115,480	\$17,623,935
Previous	Current	Yr Two	Yr Three	Yr Four
2014	2015	2016	2017	2018
\$15,138,080	\$16,240,200	\$16,530,270	\$17,115,480	\$17,623,935
	2014 \$1,838,270 \$3,194,000 - - - \$301,595 \$885 \$885 \$885 \$873,980 \$15,187,625 Previous 2014	AuditBudgetPreviousCurrent20142015\$1,838,270\$1,942,340\$3,194,000\$3,659,405\$3,194,000\$3,659,405\$3,194,000\$3,659,405\$3,194,000\$3,659,405\$301,595\$1,000\$301,595\$1,000\$301,595\$25,000\$26,000\$25,000\$26,000\$25,000\$10,000\$1,000\$10,000\$1,000\$5,902,540\$6,669,430\$3,040,355\$3,119,870\$3,040,355\$16,240,200\$15,187,625\$16,240,200PreviousCurrent20142015	AuditBudgetBudgetPreviousCurrentYr Two201420152016\$1,838,270\$1,942,340\$2,014,510\$3,194,000\$3,659,405\$3,703,350\$3,194,000\$3,659,405\$3,703,350\$3,194,000\$3,659,405\$3,703,350\$3,194,000\$3,659,405\$3,703,350\$301,595\$0.\$1,000\$301,595\$0.\$25,000\$301,595\$25,000\$25,000\$885\$0.\$1,000\$10,000\$1,000\$1,000\$10,000\$1,000\$1,000\$5,902,540\$6,669,430\$6,669,450\$3,040,355\$3,119,870\$3,200,640\$873,980\$823,155\$916,320\$15,187,625\$16,240,200\$16,530,270PreviousCurrentYr Two201420152016	Audit Budget Budget Projected Previous Current Yr Two Yr Three 2014 2015 2016 2017 \$1,838,270 \$1,942,340 \$2,014,510 \$2,071,855 \$3,194,000 \$3,659,405 \$3,703,350 \$3,990,980 \$2,014 - - - \$3,194,000 \$3,659,405 \$3,703,350 \$3,990,980 \$3,194,000 \$3,659,405 \$3,703,350 \$3,990,980 \$3,194,000 \$3,659,405 \$3,703,350 \$3,990,980 \$3,194,000 \$3,659,405 \$3,703,350 \$3,990,980 \$3,01,595 - - - \$301,595 \$3,659,405 \$3,703,500 \$2,000 \$885 - - - \$301,595 \$2,000 \$25,000 \$25,000 \$10,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$10,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$3,280,525 \$3,940,355 \$3,119,870 \$3

Footnotes:

* Fully funded refers to contract work often to Human Service Agencies

** Operating assistance provided by the State

*** Description of Operating Expenses: Salaries and Wages; Fringe Benefits: Legal, Accounting and Professional Services; Promotion/Marketing; Insurance; Equipment Leases and Rentals; Real Property Leases and Rentals; Non-capitalized Maintenance/Repair; Fuel costs; Tire costs; Office Supplies and Equipment; Interest expense; Utilities; Management Fees; Travel and Training; and Other miscellaneous expense items.

Part C.2. Summary of Funding Categories

Cost Estimates and Available Resources Summary By Funding Category Highway Projects Federal Fiscal Year 2016 Final Prepared July 30, 2015

HIGHWAY FFY 2016	Estimated Needs MVMPO (in 1000s) Federal Portion of Cost	Estimated Needs MVMPO (in 1000s) Total Project Cost	Available Resources MVMPO Projects (in 1000s) From Region Target
Congestion Mitigation/AQ	\$1,107.39	\$1,384.24	\$1,384.24
Highway Safety (HSIP)			\$442.96
Surface Transportation Program	\$3,087.17	\$3,858.97	\$5,294.86
Transportation Alternatives Program (TAP)	\$488.68	\$610.85	\$610.85
Statewide CMAQ			
Statewide Interstate Maintenance (NHPP)	\$12,956.40	\$14,396.00	\$14,396.00
Statewide NHS Preservation Program (NHPP)	\$5,549.73	\$6,937.16	\$6,937.16
Statewide Stormwater Program (STP-TE)	\$931.20	\$1,164.00	\$1,164.00
Statewide TAP	\$650.00	\$812.50	\$812.50
Total FFY 2016	\$24,770.57	\$29,163.71	\$31,042.56

Cost Estimates and Available Resources Summary By Funding Category Highway Projects Federal Fiscal Year 2017 Final Prepared July 30, 2015

HIGHWAY FFY 2017	Estimated Needs MVMPO (in 1000s) Federal Portion of Cost	Estimated Needs MVMPO (in 1000s) Total Project Cost	Available Resources MVMPO Projects (in 1000s) From Region Target
Congestion Mitigation/AQ	\$471.00	\$588.75	\$1,384.24
Highway Safety (HSIP)	\$398.66	\$442.96	\$442.96
Surface Transportation Program	\$5,441.29	\$6,801.61	\$6,926.26
Transportation Alternatives Program (TAP)			396.90
Statewide Interstate Maintenance (NHPP)	\$9,348.32	\$10,387.02	\$10,387.02
Statewide National Highway Preservation Program (NHPP)			
Statewide Stormwater Program (STP-TE)	\$499.20	\$624.00	\$624.00
Statewide (TAP)			
Total FFY 2017	\$16,158.47	\$18,844.33	\$20,161.38

Cost Estimates and Available Resources Summary By Funding Category Highway Projects Federal Fiscal Year 2018 Final Prepared July 30, 2015

HIGHWAY FFY 2018	Estimated Needs MVMPO (in 1000s) Federal Portion of Cost Estimated Needs MVMPO (in 1000s) Total Project Cost		Available Resources MVMPO Projects (in 1000s) From Region Target
	* = 000 /0	* ••••••	* 2 222 24
Congestion Mitigation/AQ	\$5,008.49	\$6,260.61	\$6,260.61
Highway Safety (HSIP)			\$442.96
Surface Transportation Program	\$1,912.09	\$2,390.11	\$2,448.55
Transportation Alternatives Program (TAP)	\$317.52	\$396.90	4396.90
Statewide National Highway Preservation Program (NHPP)			
Statewide On System Bridges (NHPP)	\$21,800.00	\$27,250.00	\$27,250.00
Statewide CMAQ	\$3,677.44	\$4,596.80	\$4,596.80
Statewide TE			
Total FFY 2018	\$32,715.54	\$40,894.42	\$41,395.82

Cost Estimates and Available Resources Summary By Funding Category Highway Projects Federal Fiscal Year 2019 Final Prepared July 30, 2015

HIGHWAY FFY 2019	Estimated Needs MVMPO (in 1000s) Federal Portion of Cost	Estimated Needs MVMPO (in 1000s) Total Project Cost	Available Resources MVMPO Projects (in 1000s) From Region Target
			¢4.004.04
Congestion Mitigation/AQ			\$1,384.24
Highway Safety (HSIP)			\$442.96
Surface Transportation Program	\$5,349.63	\$6,687.04	\$7,416.40
Transportation Alternatives Program (TAP)			\$305.42
Statewide CMAQ	\$3,487.98	\$4,359.97	\$4,359.97
Statewide Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP)	\$1,890.00	\$2,100.00	\$2,100.00
Statewide National Highway Preservation Program (NHPP)			
Statewide On System Bridges (NHPP)	\$12,376.00	\$15,470.00	\$15,470.00
Statewide TE	\$358.40	\$448.00	\$448.00
Total FFY 2019	\$23,462.01	\$29,065.01	\$31,926.99

Cost Estimates and Available Resources Summary by Funding Category 2016 Transit Projects

Merrimack Valley Regional Transit Authority	Estimated Authorization	Regional TIP FFY	Balance
FTA Funding Programs	FFY 2016	2016	FFY 2016
Section 5307 Capital and Planning Formula	\$5,590,860	\$3,113,345	\$2,477,515
Section 5307 Transit Enhancements			
Subtotal	\$5,590,860	\$3,113,345	\$2,477,515
Section 5307 Capital and Planning Formula Carrover	\$9,164,850	\$9,164,850	\$0
Section 5307 Operating Carryover			
Section 5307 Transit Enhancements Carryover			
Subtotal	\$9,164,850	\$9,164,850	\$0
Section 5307 Total	\$14,755,710	\$12,278,195	\$2,477,515
Section 5309 Bus			
Section 5309 Fixed Guideway			
Section 5309 Total			
Section 5310 Elderly and Disabled			
Section 5310 Elderly and Disabled Carryover			
Federal Aid Total	\$14,755,710	\$12,278,195	\$2,477,515
Other Transit Funding			

Cost Estimates and Available Resources Summary by Funding Category 2017 Transit Projects

Merrimack Valley Regional Transit	Estimated	Regional	Balance
Authority	Authorization	TIP FFY	FFY 2017
FTA Funding Programs	FFY 2017	2017	
Section 5307 Capital and Planning Formula	\$5,590,860	\$2,954,250	\$2,636,610
Section 5307 Transit Enhancements	\$0,000,000	<i>\</i>	<i>_</i> ,000,010
Subtotal	\$5,590,860	\$2,954,250	\$2,636,610
Section 5307 Capital and Planning Formula	\$2,477,515	\$2,477,515	\$0
Carrover	φ2,477,515	φ2,477,515	φΟ
Section 5307 Operating Carryover			
Section 5307 Transit Enhancements			
Carryover			
Subtotal	\$2,477,515	\$2,477,515	\$0
Section 5307 Total	\$8,068,375	\$5,431,765	\$2,636,610
Section 5309 Bus			
Section 5309 Fixed Guideway			
Section 5309 Total			
Section 5310 Elderly and Disabled			
Section 5310 Elderly and Disabled Carryover			
Federal Aid Total	\$8,068,375	\$5,431,765	\$2,636,610
Other Transit Funding			

Cost Estimates and Available Resources Summary by Funding Category 2018 Transit Projects

Merrimack Valley Regional Transit Authority	Estimated Authorization	Regional TIP FFY	Balance
FTA Funding Programs	FFY 2018	2018	FFY 2018
Section 5307 Capital and Planning Formula	\$5,590,860	\$1,887,710	\$3,703,150
Section 5307 Transit Enhancements			
Subtotal	\$5,590,860	\$1,887,710	\$3,703,150
Section 5307 Capital and Planning Formula Carrover	\$2,636,610	\$2,636,610	\$0
Section 5307 Operating Carryover			
Section 5307 Transit Enhancements Carryover			
Subtotal	\$2,636,610	\$2,636,610	\$0
Section 5307 Total	\$8,227,470	\$4,524,320	\$3,703,150
Section 5309 Bus			
Section 5309 Fixed Guideway			
Section 5309 Total			
Section 5310 Elderly and Disabled Section 5310 Elderly and Disabled Carryover			
Federal Aid Total	\$8,227,470	\$4,524,320	\$3,703,150
Other Transit Funding			

Cost Estimates and Available Resources Summary by Funding Category 2019 Transit Projects

Merrimack Valley Regional Transit	Estimated	Regional	Balance
Authority FTA Funding Programs	Authorization FFY 2019	TIP FFY 2019	FFY 2019
	FF1 2013	2019	
Section 5307 Capital and Planning Formula	\$5,590,860	\$1,377,720	\$4,213,140
Section 5307 Transit Enhancements			
Subtotal	\$5,590,860	\$1,377,720	\$4,213,140
Section 5307 Capital and Planning Formula Carrover	\$3,703,150	\$3,703,150	\$0
Section 5307 Operating Carryover			
Section 5307 Transit Enhancements Carryover			
Subtotal	\$3,703,150	\$3,703,150	\$0
Section 5307 Total	\$9,294,010	\$5,080,870	\$4,213,140
Section 5309 Bus			
Section 5309 Fixed Guideway			
Section 5309 Total			
Section 5310 Elderly and Disabled			
Section 5310 Elderly and Disabled Carryover			
Federal Aid Total	\$9,294,010	\$5,080,870	\$4,213,140
Other Transit Funding			

Part C. 1. Status on Implementation of FFY 2015 TIP Projects FFY 2015 Highway Project List

Project ID	Location	Project Description	MassDOT District	Funding Category	Total Programmed Funds	Project Status as of June 4, 2015
603682	Amesbury	Amesbury – Bridge Replacement, A- 07-026, Route I-495 (NB & SB) over the bikeway (Abandoned B&M RR line)	4	NHPP	\$11,288,692	FY 2015
606669	Amesbury	Amesbury – Powwow Riverwalk Construction from Main Street to Water St Includes Pedestrian bridge A-07-034 (Phase I)	4	CMAQ and TAP	\$1,931,026	Changed Design and moved to FY 2016 by Feb. 2015 Amendment
606549	Georgetown- Newbury- West Newbury- Newburyport- Amesbury- Salisbury-	Georgetown- Newbury- West Newbury- Newburyport- Amesbury- Salisbury- Interstate Maintenance and related work I - 95	4	NHPP	\$21,240,000	Advertised 12/27/2014
605114	Groveland	Groveland – Rehabilitation of Route 97 (School Street & Salem Street)	4	STP	\$6,341,761	Design in Final Review FY 2015, AC Year 1 of 2

Part C. 1. Status on Implementation of FFY 2015 TIP Projects FFY 2015 Highway Project List (Continued)

Project ID	Location	Project Description	MassDOT District	Funding Category	Total Programmed Funds	Project Status as of December 2014
607471	Lawrence	Lawrence – Union Crossing Pedestrian Improvements	4	TCSP	\$579,375	Advertised 9/20/2014
607985	Lawrence- North Andover	Lawrence-North Andover Interstate Maintenance and related work on I-495	4	NHPP	\$7,788,000	Advertised
605720	Haverhill	Haverhill – Riverwalk Construction (Bradford Section) from Route 125 to County Road	4	SW-STP- TE	\$2,410,718	Advertised 9/20/2014
605181	Methuen	Methuen – Interchange reconstruction on I-93 at Route 110/113 Rotary	4	Various	\$77,236,601	Advertised
604585	MVRTA	Flex to FTA for MVRTA new bus upgrade to cleaner fuel buses	4	CMAQ to FTA	\$450,000	Removed from programming by Feb. 2015 Amendment
606503	Newburyport	Newburyport – Clipper City Rail Trail along the City Branch (Phase II)	4	STP-TE	\$3,120,000	75% plans returned as of 2/24/2015 FY 2015
607776	North Andover	North Andover – Safe Routes to School (North Andover Middle)	4	ТАР	\$1,086,000	100% plans have been received as of 3/30/2015 FY 2015

Part C. 1. Status on Implementation of FFY 2015 TIP Projects FFY 2015 Transit Project List

		State Match Sources		urces						
FTA Program	RTA	Project Description	Federal Funds	RTACAP	МАР	TDC	SCA	Local Funds	Total Cost	Project Status as of May 2015
5307	MVRTA	Capital funding used for Preventive Maintenance and considered as an operating expense for FY 2016 (O)	\$2,427,775				\$606,945		\$3,034,720	Grant to be filed 7/1/2015
5307	MVRTA	Capital funding used for operating ADA service and considered as an operating expense for FY 2016 (O)	\$1,069,635				\$267,410		\$1,337,045	Grant to be filed 7/1/2015
5307	MVRTA	Operating Assistance (O)	\$1,064,460				\$1,064,460		\$2,128,920	Grant to be filed 7/1/2015

Part C. 1. Status on Implementation of FFY 2015 TIP Projects FFY 2015 Transit Project List (Continued)

				State	e Match	Source	es			
FTA Program	RTA	Project Description	Federal Funds	RTACAP	MAP	TDC	SCA	Local Funds	Total Cost	Project Status as of May 2015
5307	MVRTA	Continue Short Range Transit Planning MVPC UPWP FY 2016 (20% match from MVPC) (N)	\$40,000					\$10,000	\$50,000	Grant to be filed 7/1/2015
5307	MVRTA	MVPC Technical Support to MVRTA FY 2016 (20% match from MVPC) (N)	\$40,000					\$10,000	\$50,000	Grant to be filed 7/1/2015
5307	MVRTA	Purchase- Replacement: Vans 11 Model Year 2009 Delivery FY 2015 (M)	\$501,600	\$125,400					\$627,000	Delivery June 2015

Part C. 1. Status on Implementation of FFY 2015 TIP Projects FFY 2015 Transit Project List (Continued)

				Stat	e Match	Source	es			
FTA Program	RTA	Project Description	Federal Funds	RTACAP	MAP	TDC	SCA	Local Funds	Total Cost	Project Status as of May 2015
5307	MVRTA	Replace 10 of 17 Model Year 2004 Transit buses with new buses (delivery FY 2016) (M)	\$3,360,000	\$840,000					\$4,200,000	5: Delivery Sept. 2015. 5: Delivery June 2016.
5307	MVRTA	Rehab/ Renovation: Refurbish Engine/transmission 9 Model year 2009 buses (M)	\$216,000	\$54,000					\$270,000	Engines delivered. Installation ongoing.
5307	MVRTA	McGovern Intermodal Center State of Good Repair (M)	\$60,000	\$15,000					\$75,000	Complete
5307	MVRTA	Maintenance Facility State of Good Repair (M)	\$92,000	\$23,000					\$115,000	Complete
5307	MVRTA	Purchase of Replacement Bus Wash System (M)	\$192,000	\$48,000					\$240,000	Ongoing

Part C. 2. Air Quality Conformity

Air Quality Conformity Information Merrimack Valley MPO FFY 2016-2019 Transportation Improvement Program

Since most all of Massachusetts (with limited exceptions) was designated on 5/21/12 by the United States Environmental Protection Agency as "unclassifiable/attainment" for the latest ozone standard, a conformity determination for the Merrimack Valley 2016-19 TIP is not required. Further details and background information are provided below:

Introduction

The 1990 Clean Air Act Amendments (CAAA) require metropolitan planning organizations within nonattainment and maintenance areas to perform air quality conformity determinations prior to the approval of Long-Range Transportation Plans (LRTPs) and Transportation Improvement Programs (TIPs), and at such other times as required by regulation. A nonattainment area is one that the U. S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has designated as not meeting certain air quality standards. A maintenance area is a nonattainment area that now meets the standards and has been re-designated as maintaining the standard. A conformity determination is a demonstration that plans, programs, and projects are consistent with the State Implementation Plan (SIP) for attaining the air quality standards. The CAAA requirement to perform a conformity determination ensures that federal approval and funding go to transportation activities that are consistent with air quality goals.

The entire Commonwealth of Massachusetts was previously classified as nonattainment for ozone, and was divided into two nonattainment areas. The Eastern Massachusetts ozone nonattainment area included Barnstable, Bristol, Dukes, Essex, Middlesex, Nantucket, Norfolk, Plymouth, Suffolk, and Worcester counties. Berkshire, Franklin, Hampden, and Hampshire counties comprised the Western Massachusetts ozone nonattainment area. With these classifications, the 1990 Clean Air Act Amendments (CAAA) required the Commonwealth to reduce its emissions of volatile organic compounds (VOCs) and nitrogen oxides (NOx), the two major precursors to ozone formation, to achieve attainment of the ozone standard.

Legislative and Regulatory Background

The 1970 Clean Air Act defined a one-hour national ambient air quality standard (NAAQS) for ground-level ozone. The 1990 CAAA further classified degrees of nonattainment of the one-hour standard based on the severity of the monitored levels of the pollutant. The entire commonwealth of Massachusetts was classified as being in serious nonattainment for the one-hour ozone standard, with a required attainment date of 1999. The attainment date was later extended, first to 2003 and a second time to 2007.

In 1997, the EPA proposed a new, eight-hour ozone standard that replaced the one- hour standard, effective June 15, 2005. Scientific information had shown that ozone could affect human health at lower levels, and over longer exposure times than one hour. The new standard was challenged in court, and after a lengthy legal battle, the courts upheld it. It was finalized in June 2004. The eight-hour standard is 0.08 parts per million, averaged over eight hours and not to be exceeded more than once per year. Nonattainment areas were again further classified based on the severity of the eight-hour values. Massachusetts as a whole was classified as being in moderate nonattainment for the eight-hour standard, and was separated into two nonattainment areas—Eastern Massachusetts and Western Massachusetts.

In March 2008, EPA published revisions to the eight-hour ozone NAAQS establishing a level of 0.075 ppm, (March 27, 2008; 73 FR 16483). In 2009, EPA announced it would reconsider this standard because it fell outside of the range recommended by the Clean Air Scientific Advisory Committee. However, EPA did not take final action on the reconsideration so the standard would remain at 0.075 ppm.

After reviewing data from Massachusetts monitoring stations, EPA sent a letter on December 16, 2011 proposing that only Dukes County would be designated as nonattainment for the new proposed 0.075 ozone standard. Massachusetts concurred with these findings.

On May 21, 2012, (77 FR 30088), the final rule was published in the Federal Register, defining the 2008 NAAQS at 0.075 ppm, the standard that was promulgated in March 2008. A second rule published on May 21, 2012 (77 FR 30160), revoked the 1997 ozone NAAQS to occur one year after the July 20, 2012 effective date of the 2008 NAAQS.

Also on May 21, 2012, the air quality designations areas for the 2008 NAAQS were published in the Federal Register. In this Federal Register, the only area in Massachusetts that was designated as nonattainment is Dukes County. All other Massachusetts counties were classified as unclassifiable/attainment. Therefore, conformity for ozone in the Merrimack Valley MPO was revoked July 20, 2013 for only the 1997 ozone standard. The latest area designations do not require conformity under the current 2008 standard, the MPO does not need to perform a conformity determination for ozone on the program.

Part C. 3. Special Efforts - ADA

Projects Required for Implementation of ADA

Another requirement of 23 CFR 450.324 is that projects required for the implementation of the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) should be so marked. There are no projects in this TIP listing that are required for the implementation of the Americans with Disabilities Act and therefore no projects are marked as such. There are projects to replace existing accessible transit vehicles with new accessible transit vehicles, but these are replacements not implementations.

Part C. 4. Title VI Notice of Public Protection

The Merrimack Valley Planning Commission (MVPC) operates its programs, services and activities in compliance with federal nondiscrimination laws including Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (Title VI), the Civil Rights Restoration Act of 1987, and related statutes and regulations. Title VI prohibits discrimination in federally assisted programs and requires that no person in the United States of America shall, on the grounds of **race, color or national origin** (including **limited English proficiency**) be excluded from participation in, be denied the benefits of, or be otherwise subjected to discrimination under any program or activity receiving federal assistance. Related federal nondiscrimination laws administrated by the Federal Highway Administration, the Federal Transit Administration, or both prohibit discrimination on the basis of **age, sex and disability**. These protected categories are contemplated within MVPC's Title VI Program consistent with federal interpretation and administration. Additionally, MVPC provides meaningful access to its programs, services, and activities to individuals with limited English proficiency, in compliance with U.S. Department of Transportation policy and guidance on federal Executive Order 13166.

MVPC also complies with the Massachusetts Public Accommodation Law, M.G.L. Chapter 272, Sections 92a, 98, and 98a prohibiting making any distinction, discrimination, or restriction in admission to or treatment in a place of public accommodation based upon **race**, **color, religious creed, national origin, sex, sexual orientation, disability,** or **ancestry.** Likewise, MVPC complies with the Governor's Executive Order 526, Section 4 requiring that all of its programs, activities, and services provided, performed, licensed, chartered, funded, regulated, or contracted for shall be conducted without unlawful discrimination based upon **race**, **color**, **age**, **gender**, **ethnicity**, **sexual orientation**, **gender identity or expression**, **religion**, **creed**, **ancestry**, **national origin**, **disability**, **veteran's status** (including Vietnam-era veterans), or **background**.

Additional Information

To request additional information regarding Title VI and related federal and state nondiscrimination obligations, please contact:

Title VI Program Coordinator Merrimack Valley Metropolitan Planning Organization c/o Merrimack Valley Planning Commission 160 Main Street Haverhill, MA 01830-5061 (978) 374-0519, extension 29 <u>tfontanella@mvpc.org</u>

Complaint Filing

To file a complaint alleging a violation of Title VI or related federal nondiscrimination law, contact the Title VI Program Coordinator (above) within one hundred and eighty (180) days of the alleged discriminatory conduct.

To file a complaint alleging a violation of the Commonwealth's Public Accommodation Law, contact the Massachusetts Commission Against Discrimination within three hundred (300) days of the alleged discriminatory conduct at:

Massachusetts Commission Against Discrimination (MCAD) One Ashburton Place, 6th Floor Boston, MA 02109 (617) 994-6000 TTY: (617) 994-6196

Translation

English

If this information is needed in another language, please contact the MVMPO Title VI/Nondiscrimination Coordinator at 978-374-0519 ext. 29.

Spanish

Si necesita esta información en otro idioma, por favor contacte al coordinador de MVMPO del Título VI/Contra la Discriminación al 978-374-0519 ext. 29.

Portuguese

Caso estas informações sejam necessárias em outro idioma, por favor, contate o Coordenador de Título VI e de Não Discriminação da MVMPO pelo telefone 978-374-0519, Ramal 29.

Chinese Simple

如果需要使用其它语言了解信息,请联系Merrimack Valley大都会规则组织 MVMPO)《民权法案》第六章协调员、电话978-374-0519,转29。

Chinese Traditional

如果需要使用其他語言瞭確說,請讚潔Merrimack Valley大都會規劃紙紙 MVMPO)《民權法案》第六章 [編] 電 約78-374-0519, 轉29。

Vietnamese

Nếu quý vị cần thông tin này bằng tiếng khác, vui lòng liên hệ Điều phối viên Luật VI/Chống phân biệt đối xử của MVMPO theo số điện thoại 978-374-0519, số máy nhánh 29.

French Creole

Si yon moun vle genyen enfòmasyon sa yo nan yon lòt lang, tanpri kontakte Kowòdinatè kont Diskriminasyon/MVMPO Title VI la nan nimewo 978-374-0519, ekstansyon 29.

Russian

Если Вам необходима данная информация на любом другом языке, пожалуйста, свяжитесь с Координатором Титула VI/Защита от дескриминации в МVMPO по тел: 978-374-0519, добавочный 29.

French

Si vous avez besoin d'obtenir une copie de la présente dans une autre langue, veuillez contacter le coordinateur du Titre VI/anti-discrimination de MVMPO en composant le 978-374-0519, poste 29.

Italian

Se ha bisogno di ricevere queste informazioni in un'altra lingua si prega di contattare il coordinatore del MVMPO del Titolo VI e dell'ufficio contro la discriminazione al 978-374-0519 interno 29.

Mon-Khmer, Cambodian

ប្រសិនបើលោក-អ្នកត្រូវការបកប្រៃព័ត៌មាននេះ សូមទាក់ទងអ្នកសម្របសម្រួលជំពូកទី6/គ្មានការជីសអើងរបស់ MVMPO តាមរយៈលេខទូរស័ព្ទ 978-374-0519

រួចភ្ជាប់ទៅលេខ **29**។

Arabic

إذا كنت بحاجة إلى هذه المعلومات بلغة أخرى، يُرجى الاتصال بمنسق الفقرة السادسة لمنع التمييز التابع لمنظمة التخطيط الحضري في ميريماك فالي على الهاتف: 978-374-0519 وثم اضغط الأرقام 29.

Part C. 5. Environmental Justice

Environmental Justice from a transportation perspective is the fair treatment and meaningful involvement of all people regardless of race, color, national origin, or income with respect to the development, implementation, and enforcement of transportation laws, regulations, and policies.

"Each Federal agency shall make achieving environmental justice part of its mission by identifying and addressing, as appropriate, disproportionately high and adverse human health or environmental effects of its programs, policies, and activities on minority populations and low-income populations."

MVMPO Merrimack Valley Transportation Committee (MVTC) and Nondiscrimination Working Group Bylaws

Article 1: Purpose

The MVMPO MVTC's purpose is to advise the MVMPO and participate in the MVMPO region's federally certified transportation planning process. Its membership provides for the involvement of local government officials, transportation professionals, transportation providers, and individuals experienced in economic development, freight, commuter rail, smart growth, environmental issues, regional planning, and other interest groups, ensuring broad representation and a geographical balance of its participants.

The MVTC, at the direction of the MVMPO, is tasked with:

- a) solicitation and consideration of input from all groups and citizens interested in and/or affected by the MVMPO's transportation program, plans and projects;
- b) identification and incorporation of the needs of persons and groups traditionally underserved by the transportation planning process;
- c) provision of information on the MVMPO's program, plans and projects to the public;
- d) provision of specialized knowledge and recommendations to the MVMPO during the development of its programs and plans, including its:

Regional Transportation Plan (RTP) Transportation Improvement Program (TIP), including projects Public Participation Plan (PPP) Unified Planning Work Program (UPWP)

- e) engaging other organizations interested in transportation issues
- ensuring that the public is involved throughout the MVMPO transportation planning process;
- g) acting as an advisor to the MVMPO in its deliberations and actions.

The MVMPO must approve any MVTC activities. The MVMPO staff will be responsible for preparing all MVTC notices, agendas, minutes, and other materials. Any written and verbal communication from the MVTC is to be addressed to the MVMPO Chair.

Article 2: Membership

At a minimum, the MVTC shall be comprised of members representing the following agencies and organizations:

- a) MassDOT: Office of Transportation Planning; Highway Division District 4, MBTA Planning
- b) MVMPO staff (MVPC Comprehensive Development and Transportation Programs)
- c) MVMPO sub-region representatives
- d) MVMPO communities' planners
- e) Bicycling Advocate (i.e. ENHA)
- f) Colleges (NECC, UM-Lowell, Merrimack College)
- g) Community Development (DHCD, LCW, GWL)
- h) Economic Development (Chambers of Commerce, MassDevelopment, MOBD)
- i) Environmental (NPS, DCR, 8T&TB)
- j) Freight (Eastern MA Freight Coalition, MA Motor Transportation Ass'n; PanAm Railways, regional truck owner/operator)
- k) Pedestrian Advocate (i.e. WalkBoston)
- Passenger Transit: MassRIDES, MVRTA, Merrimack Valley TMAs (Junction TMO & MVTMA), NNEPRA
- m) USDOT: FHWA, FTA

The MVMPO shall appoint the MVTC members. The MVMPO staff shall keep record of the MVTC's membership and periodically report to the MVMPO on MVTC activities. In doing so, the MVMPO staff shall make every effort to identify and engage its constituents who are traditionally underserved by its existing transportation systems.

Article 3: Recruitment and Tenure

The MVMPO staff is responsible for administering the MVTC recruitment process. Vacancies shall be publicly advertised in accordance with the MVMPO's Public Participation Plan. Applicants shall submit an application form that the MVMPO staff provides. Selection shall be made by the MVMPO based upon its goal that a wide range of interests relevant to transportation are represented, which should include but is not limited to:

- a) Community/Economic Development (including housing)
- b) Disabilities
- c) Education
- d) Elders
- e) Environment
- f) Human Services

MVTC members' term of office shall begin on October 1 of a given federal fiscal year following appointment and will expire in three (3) years except under conditions of termination and for appointment of the initial MVTC members.

Article 4: Meetings

MVTC meetings will be scheduled and advertised in accordance with the MVMPO's Public Participation Plan. All such meetings are open to the public.

Article 5: MVTC Nondiscrimination Working Group

The MVMPO is responsible for promoting, securing and evaluating public involvement in its transportation planning process. In particular, it is responsible for identifying and seeking meaningful participation of the region's minority and low-income (Environmental Justice) populations – and in working to reduce participation barriers for such populations.

The MVMPO's established EJ process includes identification of Census-based statistical areas within its region where:

- a) the percentage of minority populations exceeds the average percentage of minority population for the region as a whole;
- b) household incomes are 80% or less of area median income (AMI), and
- c) there are concentrations of households with limited English proficiency (LEP).

The MVMPO MVTC Nondiscrimination Working Group's purpose is to provide the MVMPO members, its MVTC and the public with the perspectives of individuals and organizations

representing low-income, minority populations. It is also an opportunity for MVMPO staff and EJ stakeholders to exchange information, evaluate policies, plans and projects, and generate ideas for future projects.

Membership is comprised of at least five (5) and no more than ten (10) members with individual and/or collective knowledge and expertise in working with EJ populations on

- a) Disabilities
- b) Education
- c) English proficiency
- d) Elder Affairs
- e) Faith-based community service
- f) Minority advocacy
- g) Neighborhood organization
- h) Non-profit community development
- i) Public Health
- j) Veterans Affairs
- k) Workforce training and development

Working Group members would serve two-year terms.

The MVMPO staff administers the Nondiscrimination Working Group's membership, activities and reporting tasks according to the same process as the full MVTC. The MVMPO must approve any Nondiscrimination Working Group activities. The MVMPO staff will be responsible for preparing all Nondiscrimination Working Group notices, agendas, minutes and other materials. Any written and verbal communication from the Nondiscrimination Working Group is addressed to the MVMPO Chair.

Article 6: Amendments

These Bylaws may be amended by a simple majority vote of the MVMPO at an MVMPO meeting. Specific notice of the proposed amendment shall be distributed to Working Group members as part of any MVMPO meeting notification, in accordance with the MVMPO's Public Participation Plan.

Page intentionally left blank.

Appendices

Appendix A and B: Other Regional Priorities

Appendix A Other Regional Priority Bridge Projects

Merrimack Valley Metropolitan Planning Organization FY 2016-2019 Transportation Improvement Program Implementing Agency: MassDOT

ID	Location	Project Description	<u>High-</u> <u>way</u> District	Estimated Total Project Cost
602322	Ames.	Amesbury - Bridge Replacement, A-07-008, Oak Street Over The B&M Railroad (Abandoned Line)	4	\$1,000,000
	And.	Andover - Rehab. Bridge (A-09-001) Route 28 (North Main Street) Over The Shawsheen River	4	
605418	And.	Andover - Bridge Preservation, A-09-028, Chandler Road over I-93	4	\$4,708,700
601986	And.	Andover -Bridge Replacement, A-09-011, State Route 28 (North Main Street) over MBTA RR	4	\$4,986,948
605304	Hav.	Haverhill- Bridge Replacement, H-12-007 & H-12-025, Bridge Street (SR 125) over the Merrimack River and the Abandoned B&M RR (Proposed Bikeway)	4	\$63,437,220
604839	Law.	Lawrence – Bridge Replacement, L-04-027, Lowell Street over B&M Railroad	4	\$4,473,000

Appendix A Other Regional Priority Bridge Projects (Continued)

Merrimack Valley Metropolitan Planning Organization FY 2016-2019 Transportation Improvement Program Implementing Agency: MassDOT

ID	Location	Project Description	<u>High-</u> <u>way</u> District	Estimated Total Project Cost
	Law.	Lawrence - Bridge Rehabilitation, L-04-042, South Union Connector over South Street	4	
	Nbypt.	Newburyport - Bridge (N-11-002) State Route 113 (High Street) Over Railroad	4	
	Nbypt.	Newburyport - Bridge (N-11-014) State Route 1A (High Street) over US 1	4	
607115	Nbypt.	Newburyport - Bridge Repairs, N-11-015, Washington St. over US 1	4	\$1,400,000

Appendix B Other Regional Priority Roadway Projects

Merrimack Valley Metropolitan Planning Organization FY 2016 - 2019 Transportation Improvement Program By Town

ID	<u>Location</u>	Project Description	<u>District</u>	<u>Estimated</u> <u>Total Project</u> <u>Cost</u>
608028	Amesbury	Amesbury – Intersection improvements Route 110 & Route 150 TEC = 8.47	4	\$1,200,000
	Andover	Andover - Route 133 Reconstruction and intersection improvements (Lovejoy Road to Shawsheen Square) TEC = 9.60	4	\$3,600,000
607708	Andover/ Lawrence	Andover - Lawrence - Resurfacing and related work on Route 28 TEC = 4.22	4	\$1,062,600
605199	Andover Tewks. Wil.	Andover – Tewksbury – Wilmington – New Interchange Construction on I-93 Between Interchanges 41&42 TEC = 9.48	4	\$147,584,864
606721	Boxford	Boxford - Reconstruction of Route 133 (Washington Street) from North Andover town line to Main Street TEC = 5.65	4	\$3,480,000
	Boxford	Boxford Reconstruction of Route 97 from Georgetown to Topsfield (2 miles) TEC = 2.03	4	\$3,785,000
607540	Boxford	Boxford - Border to Boston Trail TEC = 3.32	4	\$4,174,500
602843	George.	Georgetown - Reconstruction on Route 97 (W. Main Street) from Moulton Street to Groveland towline TEC = 4.27	4	\$2,400,000
604950	George.	Georgetown – Park & Ride lot construction at I-95 and Route 133 Interchange TEC = 3.78	4	\$3,276,000

Appendix B Other Regional Priority Roadway Projects (Continued)

ID	Location	Project Description	<u>District</u>	Estimated Total Project Cost
605753	Groveland	Groveland - Rehabilitation of Route 97 (School Street) from Parker Street to Gardner Street TEC = 4.85	4	\$3,600,000
605690	Haverhill	Haverhill - Resurfacing and related work at various locations (14 locations) TEC = 4.33	4	\$3,110,000
605690	Haverhill	Haverhill -Intersection Improvements Route 110 and Elliot Way	4	
	Haverhill	Haverhill -Signalize Route 97 (Broadway) and Forrest Street	4	
	Haverhill	Haverhill - Signalize Route 97 (Broadway) and Lake Street	4	
	Haverhill	Haverhill – Widen Route 97 (Broadway) from Computer Drive to Forrest Street	4	

Appendix B Other Regional Priority Roadway Projects (Continued)

ID	Location	Project Description	<u>District</u>	<u>Estimated</u> <u>Total</u> <u>Project</u> <u>Cost</u>
607711	Haverhill	Haverhill - Resurfacing and related work on Route 125 (from N. And. TL to Boston Rd) TEC = 4.97		\$1,062,600
	Haverhill	Haverhill – Buttonwoods Trail	4	\$2,000,000
	Haverhill	Haverhill – Bradford Rail Trail Extension	4	\$750,000
602339	Haverhill	Haverhill-Historic Waterfront Walkway Phase II (Construction) TEC = 5.83	4	\$3,110,184
	Lawrence/ North Andover	Lawrence - North Andover - Reconstruction of Route 114 from South Union St. in Lawrence to Rt. 125 (Andover St.) in North Andover TEC = 12.8	4	\$16,300,000
607712	Lawrence/ North Andover	Lawrence - North Andover –Resurfacing and related work on Route 114 from I-495 to Waverly Road TEC = 3.97	4	\$885,500
	Lawrence	Lawrence - Reconstruct Merrimack Street from Parker Street to South Union Street TEC = 9.05	4	
607709	Methuen	Methuen - Resurfacing and related work on Route 28 TEC = 3.97	4	\$442,000
	Newbury- port	Newburyport -Route 1 Rotary Reconfiguration	4	
608029	Newbury- port	Newburyport - Intersection Improvements Route 1 at Merrimac Street TEC = 6.88	4	\$2,400,000

Appendix B Other Regional Priority Roadway Projects (Continued)

ID	Location	Project Description	<u>District</u>	Estimated Total Project Cost
608095	North Andover	North Andover – Corridor Improvements Route 114 from Route 125 (Andover Street) to the Stop & Shop Driveway TEC = 11.17	4	\$14,950,000
	North Andover	North Andover - Machine Shop Village improvements	4	
	North Andover	North Andover – Reconstruction of Mass. Ave. and Sidewalks (from Osgood St. to I-495)	4	
605694	North Andover	North Andover - Resurfacing and related work Route 125 TEC = 6.95	4	\$7,910,592
	North Andover	North Andover - Signals and turn lanes at Mass Ave. and I-495 NB and SB Ramps	4	
602202	Salisbury	Salisbury - Reconstruction of Route 1 (Lafayette Road) TEC = 7.3	4	\$5,728,000
607710	Salisbury	Salisbury - Resurfacing and related work Route 1A TEC = 6.05	4	\$2,300,000

Page intentionally left blank.

Appendix C Transportation Evaluation Criteria Summary

				_								
APF	PENDIX	C Transportation Evaluat	ion Criteria	Summ	nary							
						Tran	sport	<u>ation</u>		<u>Othe</u>	<u>r</u>	
Merı Prep	rimack V	l Projects (FY 16-19) in the alley Region Merrimack Valley Planning Cor	nmission and		Linear Lane Miles	Condition	Mobility	Safety & Security	Community Effects and Support	Land Use and Economic Develop.	Total TEC Score (2016-2019)	
	ID#	Project Description	Project Cost	AADT								
OPP		Lawrence - North Andover Reconstruction of Rt. 114 from I-495 to Rt. 125 (Andover St.)		30,000	5.2	3.00	3.00	3.00	1.80	1.50	0.50	12.80
OPP	608095	North Andover Reconstruction of Rt. 114 from Rt 125 (Andover St) to Stop & Shop	\$14,950	30,000	4.8	2.50	2.75	2.67	1.00	1.50	0.75	11.17
TIP	608075	Lawrence Intersection Improvements Lawrence St. at Park St.	\$1,266	NA	NA	2.50	1.50	2.33	2.20	1.25	0.75	10.53
OPP		Andover - Rt. 133 from west of Lovejoy Rd./Greenwood Rd. to Shawsheen Square	\$3,600	12,773	2.4	2.00	2.50	2.00	0.60	1.50	1.00	9.60

Ira	Transportation Evaluation Criteria Summary April 2015 (Continued)											
						Trans	sport	ation		Othe	r	
Mer Prep	rimack V	d Projects (FY 16-19) in the 'alley Region Merrimack Valley Planning Con	nmission and		Linear Lane Miles	Condition	Mobility	Safety & Security	Community Effects and Support	Land Use and Economic Develop.	Environmental Effects	Total TEC Score (2016-2019)
	ID#	Project Description	Project Cost	AADT		•				•		
OPP	605199	Andover- Tewksbury- Wilmington- New interchange construction on I-93 between interchanges 41 &	\$147,588	NA	NA	2.00	1.75	1.33	1.40	3.00	0.00	9.48
TIP	606161	Haverhill Route 125, three intersections	\$2,702	NA	NA	2.50	2.00	1.67	1.40	1.00	0.75	9.32
OPP		Lawrence - Merrimack St. (Broadway to South Union St.)		9,654	0.6	2.50	1.25	1.00	1.80	1.75	0.75	9.05
OPP	608028	Amesbury Intersection Improvements Rt. 110 at Rt. 150	\$1,200	21,000	NA	1.50	2.00	2.67	0.80	1.25	0.25	8.47
TIP	606159	North Andover- Intersection Improvements Route 125 at Mass. Ave.	\$1,440	30,284		1.50		2.00	1.20	0.75		7.70
OPP	602202	Salisbury - Reconstruction of Route 1 (Lafayette Road)	\$6,331	12,147	4.8	1.50	2.00	2.00	0.80	0.75	0.25	7.30

Transportation Evolution Criteria Summary April 2015 (Continued)

Tra	nsporta	ation Evaluation Criteria Su	Immary Apr	il 2015	i (Co	ntin	ued)					
						Tran	sport	ation		Othe	<u>r</u>	
Mer Prep	rimack V	d Projects (FY 16-19) in the 'alley Region Merrimack Valley Planning Cor	nmission and		Linear Lane Miles	Condition	Mobility	Safety & Security	Community Effects and Support	Land Use and Economic Develop.	Environmental Effects	Total TEC Score (2016-2019)
	ID#	Project Description	Project Cost	AADT								-
TIP	606503	Newburyport - Clipper City Rail - Trail, Phase 2	\$4,061	NA	NA	1.00	1.25	1.00	1.20	1.75	0.75	6.95
OPP	605694	North Andover - Route 125, Resurfacing and related work	7,911	20,400	9.4	2.50	1.00	1.00	1.20	0.75	0.50	6.95
OPP	608029	Newburyport Intersection Improvements Rt. 1 at Merrimac St.	\$2,400	24,850	NA	2.00	0.50	2.33	0.80	1.00	0.25	6.88
TIP	607573	Haverhill - Reconstruction of Route 97 (Broadway) from Silver Birch Lane to Research Drive)	\$4,158	14,352	1.8	2.00	1.25	1.00	1.00	0.75	0.75	6.75
TIP	605114	Groveland - Route 97 (Salem St. & School St.)	\$6,342	15,486	2.0	2.50	0.50	1.67	0.80	1.00	0.25	6.72
TIP	607737	Ames/Salis Whittier Bridge and GhostTrail connectors	\$2,400	NA	NA	0.50	1.75	1.00	1.00	1.50	0.50	6.25

Tra	nsporta	ation Evaluation Criteria Su	immary Apr	il 2015	i (Co	ontin	ued)					
						Tran	sport	ation		Othe	<u>r</u>	
Mer Prep	rimack V	d Projects (FY 16-19) in the 'alley Region Merrimack Valley Planning Cor	nmission and		Linear Lane Miles	Condition	Mobility	Safety & Security	Community Effects and Support	Land Use and Economic Develop.	Environmental Effects	Total TEC Score (2016-2019)
	<u>ID#</u>	Project Description	Project Cost	AADT								
TIP	605020	Salisbury - section of Border to Boston Trail	\$5,919	NA	NA	1.00	1.25	1.33	1.00	0.75	0.75	6.08
OPP	607710	Salisbury - Resurfacing and related work Route 1A	\$2,300	11,411	8.0	2.00	0.75	1.00	0.80	0.75	0.75	6.05
TIP	602418	Amesbury - Reconstruction of Elm St.	\$8,070	12,436	3.4	1.50	0.50	1.33	0.40	1.50	0.75	5.98
OPP	606721	Boxford - Reconstruction of Route 133 (North Andover TL to Main St)	\$3,480	6149	2.9	1.50	1.25	1.00	0.40	0.50	1.00	5.65
TIP	607542	Georgetown - Square to Byfield section of Border to Boston Trail	\$3,876	NA	NA	0.50	1.25	0.67	0.80	1.50	0.50	5.22
OPP	607711	Haverhill - Resurfacing and related work Rt 125	\$1,063	19,224	4.1	2.00	0.50	0.67	0.80	0.75	0.25	4.97

Trai	nsporta	ation Evaluation Criteria Su	immary Apr	il 2015	ō (Cc	ontin	ued)					
						Tran	sport	ation		Othe	<u>r</u>	
Merı Prep	rimack V	d Projects (FY 16-19) in the 'alley Region Merrimack Valley Planning Cor	nmission and		Linear Lane Miles	Condition	Mobility	Safety & Security	Community Effects and Support	Land Use and Economic Develop.	Environmental Effects	Total TEC Score (2016-2019)
	<u>ID#</u>	Project Description	Project Cost	AADT								
OPP	605753	Groveland - Route 97 (Parker Rd. to Gardner St.)	\$3,600	13,500	1.8	1.50	0.50	1.00	0.60	0.75	0.50	4.85
TIP	607541	Georgetown - south of Square section of Border to Boston Trail	\$1,702	NA	NA	0.50	1.00	0.67	0.80	0.75	0.75	4.47
OPP	602843	Georgetown - Route 97 from Moulton St. to Groveland TL	\$2,400	15,486	2.2	1.50	0.50	0.67	0.60	0.50	0.50	4.27
OPP	607708	Andover / Lawrence - Route 28 Resurfacing and related work	1,063	19,728	4	1.50	0.25	0.67	0.80	0.50	0.50	4.22
OPP	607712	Lawrence - North Andover resurfacing of Route 114	\$885	32,900	2.8	1.50	0.25	0.67	0.80	0.50	0.25	3.97
TIP	607476	Methuen - Resurfacing and related work Route 213	\$5,796	53,000	16.0	2.00	0.25	0.33	0.60	0.50	0.25	3.93

Tra	nsporta	ation Evaluation Criteria Su	ummary Apr	il 2015	i (Cc	ontin	ued)					
						Trans	sport	ation		Othe	<u>r</u>	
Meri Prep	rimack V	d Projects (FY 16-19) in the alley Region Merrimack Valley Planning Cor	mmission and								Total TEC Score (2016-2019)	
	ID#	Project Description	Project Cost	AADT								
TIP	606669	Amesbury - Powwow Riverwalk Construct. New Design.	\$787	NA	NA	0.50	0.25	0.00	0.60	1.75	0.75	3.85
OPP	604950	Georgetown - Park & Ride Construction at I-95 and Route 133 Interchange	\$3,277	NA	NA	0.00	1.75	0.33	0.20	0.75	0.75	3.78
OPP	607540	Boxford - section of Border to Boston Trail	\$4,175	NA	NA	0.50	1.00	0.67	0.40	0.50	0.25	3.32
OPP		Boxford - Reconstruction of Route 97	\$3,786	11,190	4.3	1.00	0.25	0.33	0.20	0.00	0.25	2.03

*NR = Not Rated = the project was not rated because it is still being designed, or is being re-designed, and there is currently not enough design detail available to rate.

NA = Not Applicable

NA = NOLAPPICADIE				
TIP means project is programmed in the TIP				
OPP means project is listed as Other Priority Project				

Sample Project Evaluation Worksheet

				-	-						1	
					Ev	aluat	ion Cri	teria				
			I	Merrimacl	k Valley P	Planni	ng Com	mission a	nd Mas	sDOT		
Proje	ect: Ar	nesbury		tion Improve	v		U				Projis #	608028
Three	shold	Transportation	Criteria								_	
Conc												
A. I	Magnit	ude of pavement	condition	improveme	nt.			Paveme	ent is in (E	xisting i	s in Fair (Condition).
В. Г	Magnit	ude of improvem	ent of othe	er infrastruct	ture	А	verage	on 110	and 150.	discont	inuous. N	ew turning
		2			elements.		1.50			lane		
Mobi	lity											
A. E	Effecto	on magnitude and	d duration	of congesti	on.							
В. Е	Effecto	n travel time and	l connecti [,]	vitv/access.								
		2		,								
C. I	Effect of	on other modes u	ising the fa	acility.								
		2										
D. I	Effe <u>ct o</u>	on regional and lo	ocal traffic	-		А	verage					
		2					2.00					
<u>Safet</u>	y and	<u>Security</u>										
A. E	Effe <u>ct c</u>	on crash rate con	npared to	state averag	ge.			Crash C	luster EF	DO = 7	2.	
		3						(15th wo	orst in reg	ion)		
B. E	Effe <u>ct c</u>	on bicycle and pe	destrian s	safety.				Middle S	School ne	arby.		
		3										
C. I	Effe <u>ct o</u>	on transportation	security a	nd evacuati	on	A	verage	ls an eva	acuation	oute in	10 mile ra	dius of
		2			routes.		2.67	nuclear	power pla	int. Not I	NHS.	
						Sı	ub-total					
Proje	ct Cos	t: \$1,800,000					6.17					
Linea	r Lane	Mile NA	4									
AADT	Г:	21,000	<u>)</u>	Distance =	1	NA						

Sample Project Evaluation Worksheet (Cont.)

Proj	ect:	Ames	bury	Interse	ction Improve	ements Rt	110 @	Rt 150	<u>(Constru</u>	ctior	<u>1)</u>	P	rojis #:	<u>608028</u>
Cos	t Effe	ective	ness											
A.			change in cor	ndition:	\$1,200,000									
В.			near lane mile		NA									
C.	Cos	t per A	ADT:		\$86									
Othe	ər Im	pact (Criteria											
<u>Con</u>	nmur	<u>nity Ef</u>	fects and Su	<u>ipport</u>										
A.	Res	identia	l effects; ROV	V, noise	, aesthetics, o	cut-throug	n traffi	С,						
		2						other.						
В.	Pub	lic, loc 2	al governmen	t, legisla	tive, and regi	onal supp	ort.							
C.	Effe	ct on s	ervice to min	ority or lo	w-income ne	ighborhoo	ods.		Not	Title	VI or EJ			
		0				(Title VI a		J)						
D.	Othe	er impa	act/benefits to	minorit	or low-incon	ne neighb	orhoo	ds.	Not	Title	VI or EJ			
		0				(Title VI a	and E	J)						
E.	Effe	ct on c	levelopment a	and rede	velopment of	housing		Average						
		0				stock.		0.80						
Lan	d Us	e and	Economic D	olevelop	ment									
A.	Busi	ness e	effects; ROW,	noise, ti	affic, parking	, freight a	ccess	,						
		1						other.						
В.	Sust		e developme	nt effects	s. Consistent	with MVP	GS.				t to Rt 150	Gatewa	y Village	Э
		2									IPDA			
C.	Con		t with regional	l land-us	e and econor	1	-				t to Rt 150	Gatewa	y Village	Э
_		2				plans and	d PGS		Reg	iona	IPDA			
D.	Effe		ob creation.					Average						
		0						1.25						

Sample Project Evaluation Worksheet (Cont.)

Proj	ject:	<u>Ames</u>	bury	<u>Interse</u>	ction Improv	ements Rt	110 @	Rt 150	(Cor	<u>nstruction)</u>			Projis #	: <u>608028</u>
<u>Env</u>	vironr	nental	Effects											
Α.	Air C	Quality/	Climate effects	S.										
		GHG I	mpact Descrip	otion	Assumed N	ominal De	creas	e in Emis	sion	s from Oth	er Impro	overner	nts	
		Qualif	ed Emissions	Reduc	tion									
		1											eed more ent volume	
B.	Wat	er qual 0	ity/supply effec	ts; wet	lands effects	5						None	Э	
C.	Hist	oric an	d cultural reso	urce eff	ects.									
		0								No	ot expec	ted to h	nave an et	fect
D.	Effe	ct on w	ildlife habitat a	and end	langered sp	ecies.		Average						
		0						0.25				None	e	
								Sub-tota 2.30			Total 8.47			

Appendix D Green House Gas (GHG) Monitoring and Evaluation

2016-2019 Transportation Improvement Program Greenhouse Gas Monitoring and Evaluation

Introduction

This section summarizes the greenhouse gas (GHG) impacts that are anticipated to result from the projects that are included in this FFY 2016 – 2019 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP). It includes a summary of the state laws and policies that call for reducing greenhouse gas in order to mitigate global climate change, actions that are being taken to respond to these state laws and policies, the role of regional planning and TIP development in reducing GHG emission and tracking these reductions, and the projected GHG emission impacts from the projects programmed in the TIP.

State Policy Context

The Global Warming Solutions Act (GWSA), which was signed into law in August 2008, makes Massachusetts a leader in setting aggressive and enforceable GHG reduction targets, and implementing policies and initiatives to achieve these targets. In keeping with the law, on December 29, 2010 the Massachusetts Executive Office of Energy and Environmental Affairs (EOEEA), in consultation with other state agencies and the public, released the Massachusetts *Clean Energy and Climate Plan for 2020*. In December 2014 the Department of Environmental Protection issued new regulations that require Metropolitan Planning Organizations to quantify impacts from project investments, track progress towards reductions, and consider impacts in the prioritization of GHG impacts from project investments. The targets for overall statewide GHG emissions are:

- By 2020: 25 percent reduction below statewide 1990 GHG emission levels, and
- By 2050: 80 percent reduction below statewide 1990 GHG emission levels

GreenDOT Policy

The transportation sector is the single largest emitter of greenhouse gases, accounting for over a third of GHG emissions, and therefore the transportation sector is a key focus of the *Clean Energy and Climate Plan.* MassDOT's approach to supporting the implementation of the plan is set forth in its GreenDOT Policy Directive, a comprehensive sustainability initiative that sets three principal objectives:

- Reduce greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions. MassDOT will achieve this by taking GHG emissions into account in all of its responsibilities, from strategic planning to project design and construction and system operations;
- Promote the healthy transportation modes of walking, bicycling, and public transit. MassDOT will achieve this by pursuing multi-modal, "complete streets" design standards; providing choice in transportation services; and by working with MPOs and other partners to prioritize and program a balance of projects that serve drivers, pedestrians, bicyclists, and public transit riders, and
- **To support smart growth development.** MassDOT will achieve this by working with MPOs and other partners to make transportation investments that enable denser, smart growth development patterns that support reduced GHG emissions.

GreenDOT Policy and Metropolitan Planning Organizations

The Commonwealth's thirteen metropolitan planning organizations (MPOs) are integrally involved in helping to achieve the GreenDOT goals and supporting the GHG reductions mandated under the GWSA. The MPOs are most directly involved in helping to achieve the GHG emissions reductions under the second goal – to promote healthy transportation modes through prioritizing and programming an appropriate balance of roadway, transit, bicycle and pedestrian investments – and assist in the third goal by supporting smart growth development patterns through the creation of a balanced multi-modal transportation system. This will be realized through the transportation goals and policies espoused in the Regional Transportation Plans (RTPs), the major projects planned in the RTPs, and the mix of new transportation projects that are programmed and implemented through the TIPs. The GHG tracking and evaluation processes enable the MPOs to identify the anticipated GHG impacts of the planned and programmed projects, and also to use GHG impacts as a criterion in prioritizing transportation projects.

Regional GHG Tracking and Evaluation in RTPs

MassDOT coordinated with MPOs and regional planning agency (RPA) staffs on the implementation of GHG tracking and evaluation in development of each MPO's 2035 RTPs, which were adopted in September 2011. This collaboration has continued for the MPO's 2040 RTPs and 2016-19 TIPs.

Working together, MassDOT and the MPOs have attained the following milestones:

• Modeling and long-range statewide projections for GHG emissions resulting from the transportation sector. Using the Boston MPO's regional model and the statewide travel

demand model for the remainder of the state, GHG emissions were projected for 2020 no-build and build conditions, and for 2035 no-build and build conditions.

• All of the MPOs included these GHG emission projections in their RTPs, along with a discussion of climate change and a statement of MPO support for reducing GHG emissions as a regional goal.

Project-Level GHG Tracking and Evaluation in the Transportation Improvement Program

It is also important to monitor and evaluate the GHG impacts of the transportation projects that are programmed in the MPO Transportation Improvement Programs (TIP). The TIP includes both the larger, regionally-significant projects from the RTPs, which have already had their aggregate GHG impacts calculated and reported in the RTP, as well as smaller projects that are not included in the RTP but that may nevertheless have impacts on GHG emissions. The principal objective of this tracking is to enable the MPOs to evaluate expected GHG impacts of different projects and to use this information as a criterion for prioritizing and programming projects in future TIPs.

In order to monitor and evaluate the GHG impacts of TIP projects, MassDOT and the MPOs have developed the following approach for identifying anticipated GHG impacts and quantifying GHG impacts of projects, when appropriate, through the TIP. Different types of projects will have different anticipated GHG emissions impacts. The different project categories are outlined on the next two pages with this region's project tracking sheets on the third page.

Calculation of GHG Impacts for TIP Projects

The Office of Transportation Planning at MassDOT provided the spreadsheets that are used for determining Congestion Management and Air Quality (CMAQ) eligibility. These spreadsheets require the same inputs as the CMAQ calculations, and have been adapted to provide CO_2 impacts. The data and analysis required for these calculations is available from functional design reports that should be submitted for projects that would produce a measurable GHG impact.

Projects with Quantified Impacts

 RTP Projects - Major capacity expansion projects would be expected to have a significant impact on GHG emissions. However, these projects are included in the RTPs and analyzed using the statewide model or Boston regional model, which would reflect their GHG impacts. Therefore, no independent TIP calculations are required.

- Quantified Decrease in Emissions Projects that would be expected to produce a measurable decrease in emissions. The approach for calculating these impacts is described below. These projects should be categorized in the following manner:
 - Quantified Decrease in Emissions from Traffic Operational Improvement - An intersection reconstruction or signalization project that is projected to reduce delay and congestion.
 - Quantified Decrease in Emissions from Pedestrian and Bicycle Infrastructure - A shared-use path that would enable increased walking and biking and decreased vehicle-miles traveled (VMT).
 - Quantified Decrease in Emissions from New/Additional Transit
 Service A bus or shuttle service that would enable increased transit ridership and decreased VMT.
 - Quantified Decrease in Emissions from a Park and Ride Lot A park-and-ride lot that would enable increased transit ridership/ increased ridesharing and decreased VMT.
 - Quantified Decrease in Emissions from Bus Replacement
 A bus replacement that would directly reduce GHG emissions
 generated by that bus service.
 - Quantified Decrease in Emissions from Complete Streets Improvements

Improvements to roadway networks that include the addition of bicycle and pedestrian accommodations where none were present before.

- Quantified Decrease in Emissions from Other Improvement
- Quantified Increase in Emissions Projects that would be expected to produce a measurable increase in emissions.

• Projects with Assumed Impacts

 No Assumed Impact/Negligible Impact on Emission - Projects that do not change the capacity or use of a facility (e.g. a resurfacing project that restores a roadway to its previous condition, or a bridge rehabilitation/replacement that restores the bridge to its previous condition) would be assumed to have no GHG impact.

- Assumed Nominal Decrease in Emissions Projects that would be expected to produce a minor decrease in emissions that cannot be calculated with any precision. Examples of such projects include roadway repaving or reconstruction projects that add a new sidewalk or new bike lanes. Such a project would enable increased travel by walking or bicycling, but there may be no data or analysis to support any projections of GHG impacts. These projects should be categorized in the following manner:
 - Assumed Nominal Decrease in Emissions from Sidewalk Infrastructure
 - Assumed Nominal Decrease in Emissions from Bicycle Infrastructure
 - Assumed Nominal Decrease in Emissions from Sidewalk and Bicycle Infrastructure
 - Assumed Nominal Decrease in Emissions from Intelligent Transportation Systems (ITS) and/or Traffic Operational Improvements
 - Assumed Nominal Decrease in Emissions from Other Improvements
- Assumed Nominal Increase in Emissions Projects that would be expected to produce a minor increase in emissions that cannot be calculated with any precision.

Regional Greenhouse Gas Impact Summary Tables for FFY 2016 – 2019 TIP

The following tables summarize the calculated quantitative and assumed qualitative impacts of the projects included in the regional FFY 2016 – 2019 TIP by year.

MassDOT Project ID	MassDOT Project Description	GHG Analysis Type	GHG Impact Description	GHG Impact by the Numbers
606669	Amesbury – Powwow Riverwalk Construction, new design	Qualitative	Assumed Nominal Decrease in Emissions from Bicycle and Sidewalk Improvements	
606574	Andover – Lawrence Interstate Maintenance and related work on Route I – 495	Qualitative	No Assumed Impact/Negligible Impact on Emissions	
605114	Groveland –Rehabilitation of Route 97 (School Street & Salem Street)	Qualitative	No Assumed Impact/Negligible Impact on Emissions	
606161	Haverhill –Improvements on Main Street (Route 125)	Quantified	Quantified Decrease in Emissions (See Emissions Analysis Appendix)	6,421
608002	Lawrence – Safe Routes to School (Bruce Elementary)	Qualitative	Assumed Nominal Decrease in Emissions from Sidewalk Infrastructure	
607476	Methuen – Resurfacing and related work on Route 213	Qualitative	No Assumed Impact/Negligible Impact on Emissions	
			Total Impact (in kilograms)	6,421

MassDOT Project ID	MassDOT Project Description	GHG Analysis Type	GHG Impact Description	GHG Impact by the Numbers
607561	Andover – Methuen – Interstate Maintenance and related work on Route I-93	Qualitative	No Assumed Impact/Negligible Impact on Emissions	
607573	Haverhill – Reconstruction on Route 97 (Broadway), from Silver Birch Lane to Research Drive	Qualitative	Assumed Nominal Decrease in Emissions from Sidewalk Infrastructure	
606159	North Andover –Intersection and Signal Improvements at Route 125 & Massachusetts Avenue	Qualitative	Assumed Nominal Decrease in Emissions from Other Improvements	
			Total Impact (in kilograms)	0

MassDOT Project ID	MassDOT Project Description	GHG Analysis Type	GHG Impact Description	GHG Impact by the Numbers
602418	Amesbury – Reconstruction of Elm Street (AC Yr 1 of 2)	Qualitative	No Assumed Impact/Negligible Impact on Emissions	
607737	Amesbury – Salisbury – Trail Connector at I-95	Qualitative	Assumed Nominal Decrease in Emissions from Sidewalk and Bicycle Infrastructure	
607541	Georgetown - Border to Boston Trail (Southern Georgetown Section)	Quantified	Quantified Decrease in Emissions (See Emissions Analysis Appendix)	1,520
605306	Haverhill – Substructure Replacement, A-12-039, I-495 (NB & SB) over Merrimack River (AC Year 1 of 3)	Qualitative	No Assumed Impact/Negligible Impact on Emissions	
605020	Salisbury - Multi-use Trail Extension (Borders to Boston Trail)	Quantified	Quantified Decrease in Emissions (See Emissions Analysis Appendix)	6,837
			Total Impact (in kilograms)	8,357

MassDOT Project ID	MassDOT Project Description	GHG Analysis Type	GHG Impact Description	GHG Impact by the Numbers
602418	Amesbury – Reconstruction of Elm Street (AC Year 2 of 2)	Qualitative	No Assumed Impact/Negligible Impact on Emissions	
605306	Haverhill – Substructure Replacement, A-12-039, I-495 (NB & SB) over Merrimack River (AC Year 2 of 3)	Qualitative	No Assumed Impact/Negligible Impact on Emissions	
607542	Georgetown – Newbury - Border to Boston Trail (Northern Georgetown to Byfield Section)	Quantified	Quantified Decrease in Emissions (See Emissions Analysis Appendix)	17,460
608187	Haverhill - Merrimac – Amesbury – Traffic & Guide Sign Replacement on a section of I-495	Qualitative	No Assumed Impact/Negligible Impact on Emissions	
			Total Impact (in kilograms)	17,460

CMAQ Ai	r Quality A	nalysis Workshe	et for Traffic Flow and Ir	tersection Improvement	ts Apr-12
	aded boxes				for the Haverhill Improvements
			on Main Street (Route 125	i) Project. The combined d	elays have been input in
			Step 7 below.		
MPO:	Merrimac	k Valley Planning	g Commission	Municipality:	Haverhill
Project:	#606161	Haverhill Improv	vements on MainStreet (Route 125)	
Step 6: M	IOBILE 6 e	mission factors	for arterial idling speed:		
		2016	2016	2016	2016
		Summer VOC Fa	ctor Summer NOx Factor	Winter CO Factor	Summer CO2 Factor
		grams/hour	grams/hour	grams/hour	grams/hour
		4.053	1.805	49.735	1414.950
Step 7: C	alculate n	et emissions cha	nge in kilograms per day	y:	
		Delay in	Summer VOC Emissions	Summer NOx Emissions	SummerWinter COCO2EmissionsEmissions
		Hours per Day	kilograms/day	kilograms/day	kg/day kilograms/da
Existing C	onditions	992.0	4.021	1.791	49.337 1,403.630
With Impro	ovements	973.5	3.946	1.757	48.417 1,377.454
Net Chan	ge		-0.075	-0.033	-0.920 -26.177

CMAQ Air	Qualit	ty Ana	lysis	Worksh	ee	et for Tr	aff	fic Flow	/ and	Intersed	tic	on Improvements			
Project:	#6061	61 Ha	averh	ill Impro	ov	ements	or	n Mains	Street	(Route	12	5) (Continued)			
Step 8: Ca	alculat	e net	emis	sions cl	nar	nge in k	cilo	ograms	per y	ear (sea	soi	nally adjusted)			
			Net	t change		Avg. we	ek	days	Seas	onal adj.		Adj. net change			
			per	day (kg)	Х	per ye	ear	Х	factor		=	in kg per year			
Summer VOC Emissions				-0.075	x	250		х		1.019	=	-19.098			
Summer NOx Emissions				-0.033	X	250		х		1.019	=	-8.505			
Winter CO Emissions				-0.920	х	250		Х		0.981	=	-225.700			
Summer CO2 Emissions				-26.177	x	250		х		0.981		-6,421.114			
Calculate	cost e	effecti	vene				: pe	er kg of	[;] emis	sions re	du	i			
	Proj		,		-	change				vear cost					
Emission	Co	st	/			oer year	=			kilogram					
Summer VOC	\$3,000	0,000	/		-	-19.098	=		\$1	57,088					
Summer NOx	\$3,000	0,000	/			-8.505	=		\$3	352,731					
Winter CO	\$3,000	0,000	/		-2	225.700	=		\$	613,292					
Summer CO2	\$3,000	0,000	/		-6,4	421.114	=			\$467					

	CMAQ Air Qua	lity Analysi	s Works	heet for Bi	cycle and	Pedestrian Project	t			
	Fill in shaded b	ooxes only								
	TIP YEAR:	2013								
	MPO:	Merrimack	Valley	Planning C	ommission		Municipality	/:	Salisbu	ıry
	Project:	Salisbury F	Rail Trai	I Mudnock	Road to N	HSL along Abando	ned RR		-	1
	Step 1: Calcula	ate Estimat	ed Red	uction in V	ehicle Mile	es Traveled (VMT):				
	If VMT reduction	per year is k	known th	en go to Ste	p 2B, if not	proceed with Step 1				
A.	Facility Length (_):					2.3	Miles		
в.	Service Area Rad	dius (R) :					1.0	Miles	(Default	= 1 Mile)
C.	Service Area of (Community(i	es) (SA) :	L*2R=S	A		4.5	Sq. Miles		
D.	Total Land Area	of Communit	y(ies) (T)):			15.4	Sq. Miles		
Ε.	Service Area % o	of Communit	y(ies) La	and Area (LA): SA/T=	LA	29.2%			
F.	Total Population	of Communi	ty(ies) (T	P):			8,283	Persons		
G.	Population Serve	ed by Facility	/ (P) : LA	\ * TP = P			2,420	Persons		
н.	Total Number of	Households	in Comr	nunity(ies) (ŀ	H):		3,441	HH		
I.	Number of Hous	eholds Serve	ed by Fa	cility (HS) : I	_A * HH = H	S	1,005	HH		
J.	Total Number of	Workers Res	siding in	Community(ies) (W) :		3,950	Persons		
K.	Workers Per hou	sehold (WP I	HH): W	/ HH = WPH	Н		1.15	Persons		
L.	Workers in Servi	ce Area (WS	6A) : HS	* WPHH = V	VSA		1,154	Persons		
М.	Population Dens	ity of the Se	rvice are	a (PD) : P/S	SA = PD		538	Persons Per	Sq. Mile	
N.	If the bicycle and	d pedestrian	commut	er mode sha	re is known	, enter the percentage	e at the right.	(BMS)	1.3%	
						rmine the mode share		percentage	to the rig	ht.

	CMAQ Air Qua	lity Analysi	s Works	heet for Bi	cycle and	Pedestrian Project	t	
	Project:	Salisbury F	Rail Trail	I Mudnock	Road to N	HSL along Aband	oned RR (Co	ntinued)
О.	Bike and Ped. W	/ork Utilitaria	n Trips (E	BWT) : WSA	* BMS = B	WT	15	One-Way Trips
Ρ.	Bike and Ped. N	on-Work Util	itarian Tr	ips (BNWT) :	BWT * 1.7	7 = BNWT	26	One-Way Trips
	(Latest planning	assumptions	sestimate	e non-work u	tilitarian trij	os to be 1.7 times th	e work utilitaria	an.)
	Step 2: Calcul	ate the VM	T Reduc	tion Per Da	ıy:			
Α.	((2 * BWT) + (2 *	BNWT)) * (0.:	91.2	VMTR Per Day				
в.	VMTR * Operatir	וg Days Per	/ear		91.2	* 200 =	18,231	VMTR Per Year
	If the Vehicle Mi	les Traveled	Reductio	on is known e	enter in the	box to the right.		VMTR Per Year
	Note: A manual	entry of the V	VMTR wi	Il override the	e calculate	d cell.		
	Step 3: MOBIL	E 6 Emissi	on Facto	ors for Aver	age Comr	nuter Travel Spee	d:	
	Note: Use 35 MI	PH as a defau	ult if aver	age speed is	not known	Speed Used:	35 MPH	
	2016 Auto	2	2016 Auto	0	2016 Auto		2016 Auto	
s	ummer VOC Fact	or Summ	ner NOx F	Factor Sum	nmer CO Fa	actor Su	immer CO2 Fa	ctor
	grams/mile	g	rams/mil	е	grams/mile		grams/mile	
	0.232		0.178		3.540		368.100	
	Step 4: Calcul	ate emissio	ns redu	ctions in ki	lograms p	er year (Seasonall	y Adjusted):	
	Summer VOC	Su	Immer N	Ox S	Summer CO	2	Summer CO2	
	4.3		3.3		65.8		6,837.0	
	Step 5: Calcul	ate cost eff	ectiven	ess (first ye	ear cost p	er kg of emissions	reduced)	
		Project		Emission Re	eduction	First year cost		
	Emission	Cost		in kg per yea	ar	per kilogram		
	Summer VOC	\$3,687,500	/	4.3	=	\$855,749		
	Summer NOx	\$3,687,500	/	3.3	=	\$1,115,359		
	Summer CO	\$3,687,500	/	65.8	=	\$56,083		
	Summer CO2	\$3,687,500	/	6,837.0	=	\$539		

	CMAQ Air Qual	ity Analysis	Worksh	eet for E	Bicycle a	and Pedestri	an Project			
	Fill in shaded b	oxes only								
	TIP YEAR:	2013								
	MPO:	Merrimack V	/alley				Municipalit	y:	George	town
	Project:	Georgetowr	n - Soutl	hern Seo	ction of I	B to B Trail	from Georg	etown Squa	re to Bo	xford TL
	Step 1: Calcula	ate Estimate	d Reduc	tion in \	/ehicle I	Miles Travel	ed (VMT):			
	If VMT reduction	per year is kn	own ther	n go to St	ep 2B, if ı	not proceed v	vith Step 1 :			
Α.	Facility Length (L	_):					1.6	Miles		
в.	Service Area Rac	dius (R) :					1.0	Miles	(Default	= 1 Mile)
C.	Service Area of C	Community(ies	s) (SA) :	L * 2R =	SA		3.2	Sq. Miles		
D.	Total Land Area o	of Community	(ies) (T) :				12.9	Sq. Miles		
Ε.	Service Area % c	of Community	(ies) Lan	d Area (L	A) : SA/	T = LA	24.8%			
F.	Total Population	of Community	(ies) (TP)):			8,183	Persons		
G.	Population Serve	ed by Facility (P) : LA*	TP = P			2,030	Persons		
н.	Total Number of	Households ir	n Commu	inity(ies) ((HH):		2,937	HH		
Ι.	Number of House	eholds Served	d by Facil	ity (HS) :	LA * HH	= HS	729	HH		
J.	Total Number of	Workers Resid	ding in Co	ommunity	/(ies) (W) :		3,783	Persons		
к.	Workers Per hou	sehold (WPH	H) : W/F	HH = WPI	ΗH		1.29	Persons		
L.	Workers in Servio	ce Area (WSA	.): HS * \	WPHH =	WSA		938	Persons		
М.	Population Densi	ity of the Serv	ice area	(PD) : P/	SA = PD		634	Persons Per	Sq. Mile	
N.	If the bicycle and	l pedestrian c	ommuter	mode sha	are is kno	wn, enter the	percentage	(BMS)	0.5%	
	If not, use the 20		s Journey	y to Work	data to d	etermine the	mode share a	and enter		
	the percentage to	o the right.								

	CMAQ Air Qual	ity Analysis	Worksh	eet for E	Bicycle a	and Pedestri	an Project			
	Project:	Georgetown	- Soutl	hern Sec	ction of	B to B Trail	(Continued)			
О.	Bike and Ped. We	ork Utilitarian	Trips (BV	VT) : WS	A*BMS	= BWT	5	One-Way Trips		
Р.	Bike and Ped. No	on-Work Utilita	arian Trip	s (BNWT	-): BWT [,]	* 1.7 = BNWT	8	One-Way Trips		
	(Latest planning a	assumptions e	.7 times the w	vork utilitarian.)						
	Step 2: Calculate the VMT Reduction Per Day:									
Α.	((2 * BWT) + (2 * E	3NWT)) * (0.5*	20.3	VMTR Per Day						
в.	VMTR * Operatin	g Days Per Ye	ar		20.3	* 200 =	4,054	VMTR Per Year		
	If the Vehicle Mil	es Traveled R	eduction	is knowr	n enter in	the box to the	e right.	VMTR Per Year		
	Note: A manual e	entry of the VM	/ITR will	override t	he calcu	lated cell.				
	Step 3: MOBIL	E 6 Emissior	Factor	s for Ave	erage Co	ommuter Tra	vel Speed:			
	Note: Use 35 MP	'H as a default	if averag	ge speed	is not kno	Speed Used:	35 MPH			
	2016 Auto	2	016 Auto	D 2	2016 Auto	0	2016 Auto			
S	ummer VOC Facto	or Summ	ner NOx F	-actoum	mer CO F	actor Sur	nmer CO2 Fa	ctor		
	grams/mile	g	rams/mile	e g	rams/mil	e	grams/mile			
	0.232		0.178		3.540		368.100			
	Step 4: Calcula				-					
	Summer VOC	Su	mmer N(Ox S	ummer C	0	Summer CO2	2		
	1.0		0.7		14.6		1,520.3			
	Step 5: Calcula	ate cost effe	ctivene	ss (first y	year cos	t per kg of e	missions re	educed)		
		Project		Emission	n Reducti	First year cos	st			
	Emission	Cost		in kg per	year	per kilogram				
	Summer VOC	\$1,480,000	/	1.0	=	\$1,544,559				
	Summer NOx	\$1,480,000	/	0.7	=	\$2,013,133				
	Summer CO	\$1,480,000	/	14.6	=	\$101,225				
	Summer CO2	\$1,480,000	/	1,520.3	=	\$973				

	CMAQ Air Qual	ity Analysis	Worksh	eet for Bi	cycle ar	nd Pedestrian Pr	oject			
	Fill in shaded b	oxes only								
	TIP YEAR:	2013								
	MPO:	Merrimack	Valley			Municipality:		Georgetow	n/ Newb	ury
	Project:	Georgetow	n <mark>- Nor</mark> th	n Section	of B to	B Trail from Geo	orgetown Squa	re to Byfield	d Cente	•
	Step 1: Calcula	ate Estimate	d Reduc	ction in Ve	hicle M	iles Traveled (V	MT):			
	If VMT reduction	per year is kr	own ther	n go to Step	o 2B, if n	ot proceed with St	ep1:			
Α.	Facility Length (L	_):					3.6	Miles		
в.	Service Area Rad	dius (R) :					1.0	Miles	(Default	= 1 Mile)
C.	Service Area of C	Community(ie	s) (SA) :	L*2R=S	A		7.2	Sq. Miles		
D.	Total Land Area o	of Community	(ies) (T) :				37.1	Sq. Miles		
Ε.	Service Area % d	of Community	(ies) Lan	d Area (LA)	: SA/1	Γ = LA	19.4%			
F.	Total Population	of Community	(ies) (TP):			14,849	Persons		
G.	Population Serve	d by Facility	(P) : LA*	TP = P			2,882	Persons		
н.	Total Number of	Households i	n Commı	unity(ies) (H	H):		5,531	НН		
١.	Number of House	eholds Serve	d by Faci	ity (HS) : L	A * HH =	HS	1,073	HH		
J.	Total Number of	Workers Resi	ding in C	ommunity(i	es) (W) :		7,259	Persons		
к.	Workers Per hou	sehold (WPH	H): W / I	HH = WPH	-1		1.31	Persons		
L.	Workers in Servi	ce Area (WS A	A) : HS *	WPHH = W	/SA		1,409	Persons		
М.	Population Densi	ty of the Serv	rice area	(PD) : P/S	A = PD		400	Persons Per	Sq. Mile	
Ν.	If the bicycle and	l pedestrian c	ommuter	mode shar	e is knov	vn, enter the perce	entage	(BMS)	1.7%	
			s Journe	y to Work d	ata to de	termine the mode	share and enter			
	the percentage to	o the right.								

	CMAQ Air Qual	ity Analysis	Worksh	eet for Bi	cycle an	nd Pedestrian Pr	oject			
	Project:	Georgetowr	n – North	Section	of B to I	B from Georgeto	wn Square to	Byfield Cent	ter (Continu	ed)
о.	Bike and Ped. We	ork Utilitarian	Trips (B V	VT) : WSA	* BMS =	BWT	24	One-Way Trip	S	
Ρ.	Bike and Ped. No	on-Work Utilita	arian Trip	s (BNWT):	BWT * -	1.7 = BNWT	41	One-Way Trip	S	
	(Latest planning a	assumptions e	estimate i	non-work u	tilitarian t	trips to be 1.7 time	s the work utilit	arian.)		
	Step 2: Calcula									
Α.	((2 * BWT) + (2 * E	3NWT)) * (0.5*	L) = VM	232.8	VMTR Per Day	У				
в.	VMTR * Operatin	g Days Per Ye	ar		232.8	* 200 =	46,557	VMTR Per Yea	ar	
	If the Vehicle Mil	es Traveled R	eduction	is known e	enter in th	ne box to the right.		VMTR Per Yea	ar	
	Note: A manual e	entry of the VI	MTR will	override th	e calcula	ted cell.				
	Step 3: MOBIL	E 6 Emissio	n Factor	s for Aver	age Cor	nmuter Travel S	peed:			
	Note: Use 35 MP	PH as a default	if averag	ge speed is	not knov	Speed Used:	35 MPH			
	2016 Auto	2	2016 Auto	o 2	2016 Auto	0	2016 Auto			
S	ummer VOC Facto	or Sumn	ner NOx I	-actorSum	ner CO F	actor Su	ummer CO2 Fac	tor		
	grams/mile	g	rams/mil	e g	rams/mil	е	grams/mile			
	0.232		0.178		3.540		368.100			
	Step 4: Calcula	ate emission	s reduct	tions in ki	lograms	per year (Seaso	nally Adjusted	: (b		
	Summer VOC	Su	Immer N	Ox S	ummer C	0	Summer CO2			
	11.0		8.4		167.9		17,459.6			
	Step 5: Calcula	ate cost effe	ctivene	ss (first ye	ear cost	per kg of emissi	ons reduced)			
		Project		Emission I	Reductio	First year cost				
	Emission	Cost		in kg per y	ear	per kilogram				
	Summer VOC	\$3,600,000	/	11.0	=	\$327,149				
	Summer NOx	\$3,600,000	/	8.4	=	\$426,396				
	Summer CO	\$3,600,000	/	167.9	=	\$21,440				
	Summer CO2	\$3,600,000	/	17,459.6	=	\$206				



310 CMR 60.05: Global Warming Solutions Act 310 CMR 60.05: Global Warming Solutions Act Requirements for the Transportation

Sector and the Massachusetts Department of Transportation

Self – Certification Compliance Statement for Metropolitan Planning Organizations

This will certify that the FFYs 2016-2019 Transportation Improvement Program for the Merrimack Valley Metropolitan Planning Organization is in compliance with all applicable requirements in the State Regulation 310 CMR 60.05: Global Warming Solutions Act Requirements for the Transportation Sector and the Massachusetts Department of Transportation. The regulation requires the Metropolitan Planning Organizations (MPOs) to:

- 1. 310 CMR 60.05, 3(b)(1)(a): Evaluate and track the GHG emissions and impacts of RTPs and TIPs;
- 2. 310 CMR 60.05, 3(b)(1)(b): In consultation with MassDOT, develop and utilize procedures to prioritize and select projects in RTPs, TIPs, and STIPs based on factors that include GHG emissions and impacts;
- 310 CMR 60.05, 3(b)(1)(c): Quantify net GHG emissions and impacts resulting from projects in RTPs and TIPs and have made efforts to minimize GHG emissions and impacts;
- 4. 310 CMR 60.05, 3(b)(1)(d): Determine in consultation with the RPA that the appropriate planning assumptions used for GHG emissions modeling are consistent with local land use policies, or that local authorities have made documented and credible commitments to establishing such consistency;
- 5. 310 CMR 60.05, 4(a)(2)(a): Develop RTPs and TIPs;
- 310 CMR 60.05, 4(a)(2)(b): Ensure that RPAs are using appropriate planning assumptions;
- 310 CMR 60.05, 4(a)(2)(c): Perform regional GHG emissions analysis of RTPs and TIPs;
- 8. 310 CMR 60.05, 4(a)(2)(d): Calculate GHG emissions for RTPs and TIPs;
- 310 CMR 60.05, 4(a)(2)(e): Develop public consultation procedures for GHG reporting and related GWSA requirements consistent with current and approved regional public participation plans;
- 10.310 CMR 60.05, 4(c): Prior to making final endorsements on the RTPs, TIPs, STIPs, and projects included in these plans, MassDOT and the MPOs shall include the GHG Assessment and information on related GWSA activities in RTPs, TIPs, and STIPs and provide an opportunity for public review and comment on the RTPs, TIPs and STIPs;

310 CMR 60.05, 6(a): After a final GHG assessment has been made by MassDOT and the MPOs, MassDOT and the MPOs shall submit MPO-endorsed RTPs, TIPs or projects within 30 days of endorsement to the Department for review of the GHG assessment.



310 CMR 60.05: Global Warming Solutions Act Requirements for the Transportation Sector and the Massachusetts Department of Transportation (Cont.) *Self – Certification Compliance Statement for metropolitan Planning Organizations*

This will certify that the FFYs 2016-2019 Transportation Improvement Program for the Merrimack Valley Metropolitan Planning Organization is in compliance with all applicable requirements in the State Regulation 310 CMR 60.05: Global Warming Solutions Act Requirements for the Transportation Sector and the Massachusetts Department of Transportation. The regulation requires the Metropolitan Planning Organizations (MPOs) to follow steps 1 through 11 from the previous page.

Signatory Certification:

Stephanie Pollack	Joseph Costanzo, Administrator	James Fiorentini
Secretary/CEO Mass DOT	MVRTA Advisory Board	Mayor of Haverhill
Thomas Tinlin MassDOT Acting Highway Division Administrator	William Buckley City of Methuen	Daniel Rivera Mayor of Lawrence
Philip Trapani	Neil Harrington	Robert Snow
Town of Georgetown	Town of Salisbury	Town of Rowley

Dennis DiZoglio MVPC Director

Appendix E Projects from MVRTA Capital Program FFY 2016- FFY 2020 Adopted May 7,2015

Transit Projects

2016 Merrimack Valley MPO 2016 – 2019 Transportation Improvement Program from MVRTA Capital Program 5/7/2015

FTA Pro- gram ▼	RTA V	Project Description ▼	Carry- over or Earmark Details ▼	Federal Funds ▼	State Match Source RTACAP ▼	State Match Source TDC ▼	State Match Source SCA ▼	Local Funds ▼	Total Cost ▼
5307 ►	MVRTA	Capital funding used for Preventive Maintenance and considered as an operating expense for FY 2017 (O)	Carry- over Used	\$2,505,065			\$626,265		\$3,131,330
	MVRTA	Capital funding used for operating ADA service and considered as an operating expense for FY 2017 (O)	Carry- over Used	\$1,048,955			\$262,240		\$1,311,195
	MVRTA	Continue Short Range Transit Planning MVPC UPWP FY 2017 (20% match from MVPC) (N)	Carry- over Used	\$40,000			\$0	\$10,000	\$50,000

FTA Pro- gram ▼	RTA ▼	Project Description ▼	Carry- over or Earmark Details ▼	Federal Funds ▼	State Match Source RTACAP ▼	State Match Source TDC ▼	State Match Source SCA ▼	Local Funds ▼	Total Cost ▼
5307 ►	MVRTA	MVPC Technical Support to MVRTA FY 2017 (20% match from MVPC) (N)	Carry- over Used	\$40,000				\$10,000	\$50,000
	MVRTA	Replace 7 Model Year 2004 Transit Buses with new (delivery FY 2017) 7 of 17 (M)	Carry- over Used	\$2,476,455	\$629,115				\$3,105,570
RTA- 1	MVRTA	Newburyport Intermodal Transit Parking Facility – Construction (C)	Carry- over Used	\$2,000,000				\$500,000	\$2,500,000
	MVRTA	Replace 5 Model Year 2011 Paratransit vehicles (delivery FY 2016) (M)	Carry- over Used	\$256,000	\$64,000				\$320,000

FTA Pro- gram ▼	RTA ▼	Project Description ▼	Carry- over or Earmark Details ▼	Federal Funds ▼	State Match Source RTACAP ▼	State Match Source TDC ▼	State Match Source SCA ▼	Local Funds ▼	Total Cost ▼
5307 ►	MVRTA	Replace 1 Model Year 2009 and 1 Model Year 2010 Supervisory Vehicles (Delivery 2016) (M)	Carry over Used	\$72,000	\$18,000		\$0	\$0	\$90,000
	MVRTA	FY 2017 Operating Assistance (O)	Carry over Used	\$342,175	\$0		\$342,175	\$0	\$684,350
	MVRTA	State of Good Repair – MVRTA Facility (M)	Carry over Used	\$56,800		\$14,200	\$0	\$0	\$71,000
	MVRTA	State of Good Repair – McGovern Center (M)	Carry over Used	\$32,000		\$8,000	\$0	\$0	\$40,000

FTA Pro- gram ▼	RTA ▼	Project Description ▼	Carry- over or Earmark Details ▼	Federal Funds ▼	State Match Source RTACAP ▼	State Match Source TDC **▼	State Match Source SCA ▼	Local Funds ▼	Total Cost ▼
5307 ►	MVRTA	State of Good Repair – Buckley Center (M)	Carry over Used	\$12,000		\$3,000			\$15,000
	MVRTA	Newburyport Intermodal Transit Parking Facility – Final Design/ Construction Phase Services (N)	Carry- over Used	\$120,000				\$30,000	\$150,000
		5307 S	Subtotal ►	\$9,001,450	\$711,115	\$25,200**	\$1,230,680	\$550,000	\$11,493,245

** State Match Source TDC are toll credits, not actual cash and therefore are not included in Overall Totals.

FTA Pro- gram ▼	RTA V	Project Description ▼	Carry -over or Ear- mark Detail ▼	Federal Funds ▼	State Match Source RTACAP ▼	State Match Source TDC ▼	State Match Source SCA ▼	Local Funds ▼	Total Cost ▼
5309 ►		No Projects Programmed	N/A						
		5309 Sub	total 🕨						
5310 ►		No Projects Programmed	N/A						
		5310 Sub	total ►						
5311 ►		No Projects Programmed	N/A						
		5311 Sub	total ►						
5316 ►		No Projects Programmed	N/A						
		5316 Sub	total ►						
5317 ►		No Projects Programmed	N/A						
		5317 Sub	total ►						
SoGR ►		No Projects Programmed	N/A						
Livability	y 🕨	No Projects Programmed	N/A						
TIGER ►	•	No Projects Programmed	N/A						
		Grants Sub	total 🕨	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other ►		No Projects Programmed	N/A						
		Operating Sub	total 🕨	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
			Total►	\$9,001,450	\$711,115	\$25,200	\$1,230,680	\$550,000	\$11,493,245

Fiscal Constraint A	nalysis				
Federal Funding Source ▼	Programmed ▼	Available ▼	(+/-) ▼		
FFY 16 / 5307	\$3,198,600	\$5,590,860	\$2,392,260	Available	
Carryover / 5307	\$5,802,850	\$5,802,850	-	Available	
Total 5307	\$9,001,450	\$11,393,710	\$2,392,260	Available	
State Funding Source ▼	Programmed ▼	Available ▼	(+/-) ▼		
RTACAP	\$711,115	\$711,115			
SCA	\$1,230,680	\$1,230,680			
TDC	\$25,200	\$25,200			

FTA Pro- gram ▼	RTA ▼	Project Description ▼	Carry- over or Earmark Details ▼	Federal Funds ▼	State Match Source RTACAP ▼	State Match Source SCA ▼	Local Funds ▼	Total Cost ▼
5307 ►	MVRTA	Capital funding used for Preventive Maintenance and considered as an operating expense for FY 2018 (O)	Carry- over Used	\$2,593,745		\$648,435		\$3,242,180
	MVRTA	Capital funding used for operating ADA service and considered as an operating expense for FY 2018 (O)	Carry- over Used	\$1,080,420		\$270,105		\$1,350,525
	MVRTA	Continue Short Range Transit Planning MVPC UPWP FY 2018 (20% match from MVPC) (N)	Carry- over Used	\$40,000			\$10,000	\$50,000
	MVRTA	MVPC Technical Support to MVRTA FY 2018 (20% match from MVPC) (N)	Carry- over Used	\$40,000			\$10,000	\$50,000

FTA Pro- gram ▼	RTA ▼	Project Description ▼	Carry- over or Earmark Details ▼	Federal Funds ▼	State Match Source RTACAP ▼	State Match Source SCA ▼	Local Funds ▼	Total Cost ▼
5307 ►	MVRTA	Refurbish Engines on 8 Model year 2011 Transit Buses (M)	Carryover Used	\$185,600	\$46,400			\$232,000
	MVRTA	Purchase Three New Model 2017 35' Transit Buses (Delivery 2018) (C)	Carryover Used	\$1,065,300	\$266,325			\$1,331,625
	MVRTA	Operating Assistance (O)	Carryover Used	\$398,920		\$398,920		\$797,840
	MVRTA	Acquire - Support vehicles (M)	Carryover Used	\$37,080	\$9,270			\$46,350
		5307	Subtotal ►	\$5,441,065	\$321,995	\$1,317,460	\$20,000	\$7,100,520

FTA Pro- gram ▼	RTA ▼	Project Description ▼	Carry- over or Earmark Details ▼	Federal Funds ▼	State Match Source RTACAP ▼	State Match Source SCA ▼	Local Funds ▼	Total Cost ▼
5309 ►		No Projects Programmed	N/A	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
		5309 \$	Subtotal ►	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
5310 ►		No Projects Programmed	N/A	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
		5310 \$	Subtotal ►	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
5311 ►		No Projects Programmed	N/A	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
		5311 \$	Subtotal ►	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
5316 ►		No Projects Programmed	N/A	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
		5316 \$	Subtotal ►	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
5317 ►		No Projects Programmed	N/A	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
		5317 \$	Subtotal ►	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
SoGR ►		No Projects Programmed	N/A	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Livability		No Projects Programmed	N/A	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
TIGER ►		No Projects Programmed	N/A	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	Grants Subtotal ►		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Other ►		No Projects Programmed	N/A	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	Operating Subtotal ►		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
			Total►	\$5,441,065	\$321,995	\$1,317,460	\$20,000	\$7,100,520

Fiscal Constraint Analysis								
Federal Funding Source ▼	Programmed ▼	Available ▼	(+/-) ▼					
FFY 17 / 5307	\$3,048,805	\$5,590,860	\$2,542,055	Available				
Carryover / 5307	\$2,392,260	\$2,392,260	_	Available				
Total 5307	\$5,441,065	\$7,983,120	\$2,542,055	Available				
State Funding Source ▼	Programmed ▼	Available ▼	(+/-) ▼					
RTACAP	\$321,995	\$321,995						
SCA	\$1,317,460	\$1,317,460						

FTA Pro- gram ▼	RTA ▼	Project Description ▼	Carry- over or Earmark Details ▼	Federal Funds ▼	State Match Source RTACAP ▼	State Match Source SCA ▼	Local Funds ▼	Total Cost ▼
5307 ►	MVRTA	Capital funding used for Preventive Maintenance and considered as an operating expense for FY 2019 (O)	Carryover Used	\$2,661,255		\$665,315		\$3,326,570
	MVRTA	Capital funding used for operating ADA service and considered as an operating expense for FY 2019 (O)	Carryover Used	\$1,112,835		\$278,210		\$1,391,045
	MVRTA	Acquire – Support Vehicles (M)	Carryover Used	\$38,200	\$9,550			\$47,750
	MVRTA	Operating Assistance (O)	Carryover Used	\$440,860		\$440,860		\$881,720

2018 (Cont.) Merrimack Valley MPO 2016 – 2019 Transportation Improvement Program from MVRTA Capital Program 5/7/2015

FTA Program ▼	RTA ▼	Project Description ▼	Carry- over or Earmark Details ▼	Federal Funds ▼	State Match Source RTACAP ▼	State Match Source SCA ▼	Local Funds ▼	Total Cost ▼
	MVRTA	Refurbish Engine/ Transmissions on 8 model year 2012 transit buses (M)	Carryover Used	\$191,170	\$47,790			\$238,960
	MVRTA	Continue Short Range Transit Planning MVPC UPWP FY 2019 (20% match from MVPC) (N)	Carryover Used	\$40,000			\$10,000	\$50,000
	MVRTA	MVPC Technical Support to MVRTA FY 2019 (20% match from MVPC) (N)	Carryover Used	\$40,000			\$10,000	\$50,000
	MVRTA	State of Good Repair – Lawrence Gateway Parking, Phase I (M)	Carryover Used	\$16,000	\$4,000			\$20,000
	5307 Subtotal ►			\$4,540,320	\$61,340	\$1,384,385	\$20,000	\$6,006,045

2018 (Cont.) Merrimack Valley MPO 2016 - 2019 Transportation Improvement Program from MVRTA Capital Program 5/7/2015

FTA Program ▼	RTA ▼	Project Description ▼	Carry- over or Earmar k Details ▼	Federal Funds ▼	State Match Source RTACAP ▼	State Match Source SCA ▼	Local Funds ▼	Total Cost ▼
		No Ducio eta Ducenza en el						
5309 ►		No Projects Programmed	N/A	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
			ubtotal 🕨	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
5310 ►		No Projects Programmed	N/A	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
		5310 S	ubtotal >	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
5311 ►	No Projects Programmed N/		N/A	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
		5311 S	ubtotal >	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
5316 ►		No Projects Programmed	N/A	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
		5316 S	ubtotal ►	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
5317 ►		No Projects Programmed	N/A	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
		5317 S	ubtotal >	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
SoGR ►		No Projects Programmed	N/A	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Livability Example 1		No Projects Programmed	N/A	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
TIGER ►		No Projects Programmed	N/A	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
		Grants S	ubtotal >	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other ►		No Projects Programmed	N/A	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
		Operating S	ubtotal ►	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
			Total►	\$4,540,320	\$61,340	\$1,384,385	\$20,000	\$6,006,045

Fiscal Constraint Analysis					
Federal Funding Source ▼	Programmed ▼	Available ▼	(+/-) ▼		
FFY 18 / 5307	\$1,998,265	\$5,590,860	\$3,592,595	Available	
Carryover / 5307	\$2,542,055	\$2,542,055	-	Available	
Total 5307	\$4,540,320	\$8,132,915	\$3,592,595	Available	
State Funding Source ▼	Programmed ▼	Available ▼	(+/-) ▼		
RTACAP	\$61,340	\$61,340			
SCA	\$1,384,385	\$1,384,385			

2018 (Cont.) Merrimack Valley MPO 2016 - 2019 Transportation Improvement Program from MVRTA Capital Program 5/7/2015

FTA Program ▼	RTA ▼	Project Description ▼	Carry- over or Earmark Details ▼	Federal Funds ▼	State Match Source RTACAP ▼	State Match Source SCA ▼	Local Funds ▼	Total Cost ▼
5307 ►	MVRTA	Capital funding used for Preventive Maintenance and considered as an operating expense for FY 2019 (O)	Carryover, FY 2018 Funds Used	\$2,348,800	\$0	\$587,200	\$0	\$2,936,000
	MVRTA	Capital funding used for operating ADA service and considered as an operating expense for FY 2019 (O)	Carryover Used	\$1,038,480	\$0	\$259,620	\$0	\$1,298,100
	MVRTA	Operating Assistance (O)	Carryover Used	\$454,085	\$0	\$454,085	\$0	\$908,170
	MVRTA	Replace 3 Model Year 2007 with new (delivery FY 2020) (M)	Carryover Used	\$1,130,160	\$282,540			\$1,412,700

2019 Merrimack Valley MPO 2016 – 2019 Transportation Improvement Program from MVRTA Capital Program 5/7/2015

2019 (Cont.) Merrimack Valley MPO 2016 – 2019 Transportation Improvement Program from MVRTA Capital Program 5/7/2015

FTA Program ▼	RTA ▼	Project Description ▼	Carry- over or Earmark Details ▼	Federal Funds ▼	State Match Source RTACAP ▼	State Match Source SCA ▼	Local Funds ▼	Total Cost ▼
	MVRTA	Support vehicles (M)	Carryover Used	\$39,200	\$9,800			\$49,000
	MVRTA	State of Good Repair – Lawrence Gateway Parking, Phase II (M)	Carryover Used	\$8,000	\$2,000			\$10,000
	MVRTA	Continue Short Range Transit Planning MVPC UPWP FY 2019 (20% match from MVPC) (N)	Carryover Used	\$40,000			\$10,000	\$50,000
	MVRTA	MVPC Technical Support to MVRTA FY 2019 (20% match from MVPC) (N)	Carryover Used	\$40,000			\$10,000	\$50,000
	5307 Subtotal ►			\$5,098,725	\$294,340	\$1,300,905	\$20,000	\$6,713,970

2019 (Cont.) Merrimack Valley MPO 2016 - 2019 Transportation Improvement Program from MVRTA Capital Program 5/7/2015

FTA Program ▼	RTA ▼	Project Description ▼	Carry- over or Earmark Details ▼	Federal Funds ▼	State Match Source RTACAP ▼	State Match Source SCA ▼	Local Funds ▼	Total Cost ▼
		No Droio eta Dro gra granda						
5309 ►		No Projects Programmed	N/A	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
			Subtotal ►	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
5310 ►		No Projects Programmed	N/A	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
		5310	Subtotal ►	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
5311 ►		No Projects Programmed	N/A	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
		5311	Subtotal ►	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
5316 ►		No Projects Programmed	N/A	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
		5316	Subtotal ►	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
5317 ►		No Projects Programmed	N/A	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
		5317	Subtotal ►	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
SoGR ►		No Projects Programmed	N/A	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Livability ►		No Projects Programmed	N/A	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
TIGER ►		No Projects Programmed	N/A	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
		Grants	Subtotal ►	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other ►		No Projects Programmed	N/A	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
		Operating	Subtotal ►	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
			Total►	\$5,098,725	\$294,340	\$1,300,905	\$20,000	\$6,713,970

Fiscal Constraint Analysis					
Federal Funding Source ▼	Programmed ▼	Available ▼	(+/-) ▼		
FFY 18 / 5307	\$1,506,130	\$5,590,860	\$4,084,730	Available	
Carryover / 5307	\$3,592,595	\$3,592,595	-		
Total 5307	\$5,098,725	\$9,183,455	\$4,084,730	Available	
State Funding Source ▼	Programmed ▼	Available ▼	(+/-) ▼		
RTACAP	\$294,340	\$294,340	-		
SCA	\$1,300,905	\$1,300,905	-		

2019 (Cont.) Merrimack Valley MPO 2016 - 2019 Transportation Improvement Program from MVRTA Capital Program 5/7/2015

Page intentionally left blank.

Appendix F Key to Maps Showing Locations of Transportation Projects

Appendix F Key to Maps Showing Locations of Transportation Projects

Map Number	Project Number	City/Town	Project Description
1	606669	Amesbury	Amesbury - Powwow Riverwalk Construction – New Design
<u>1</u>	602418	Amesbury	Amesbury – Reconstruction of Elm Street
<u>1</u>	607737	Amesbury - Salisbury	Amesbury – Salisbury Trail Connector at I-95
2	606574	Andover – Lawrence	Andover – Lawrence - Interstate Maintenance and related work on 1 – 495
2	607561	Andover/ Methuen	Andover/ Methuen Interstate Maintenance and related work on I – 93
<u>3</u>	RTA – 1	MVRTA	Newburyport – Intermodal Transit Parking Facility Construction
<u>4</u>	605114	Groveland	Groveland – Rehabilitation of Route 97 (School Street & Salem Street)
<u>5</u>	606161	Haverhill	Haverhill – Improvements on Main Street (Route 125)
<u>5</u>	607573	Haverhill	Haverhill – Reconstruction of Route 97 (Broadway) from Silver Birch Lane to Research Drive)
<u>5</u>	605306	Haverhill	Haverhill – Substructure replacement, H-12-039, I-495 (NB & SB) over Merrimack River

Appendix F Key to Maps Showing Locations of Transportation Projects (Continued)

Map Number	Project Number	City/Town	Project Description
<u>6</u>	607476	Methuen	Methuen –resurfacing and related work on Route 213
Z	606159	North Andover	North Andover – Intersection & Signal Improvements at Route 125 & Massachusetts Avenue
<u>8</u>	605020	Salisbury	Boxford – Georgetown – Newbury – Salisbury - Multi- use Trail Extension (Borders to Boston Trail) * Salisbury Section
<u>9</u>	608187	Haverhill – Merrimac - Salisbury	Haverhill – Merrimac – Salisbury Traffic Guide Sign Replacement
<u>10</u>	608002	Lawrence	Lawrence – Safe Routes to School (Bruce Elementary)
<u>11</u>	607541	Georgetown	Georgetown – Border to Boston Trail (Southern Georgetown Section)
<u>11</u>	607542	Georgetown	Georgetown – Border to Boston Trail (Northern Georgetown to Byfield Section)

Page intentionally left blank.

Appendix G Comments Received on Draft 2016-2019 TIP

Appendix G Comments Received on Draft 2016-2019 TIP

FHWA Comments:

Comment 1: TIP Development Process. Please provide an explicit demonstration of how TIP is consistent with RTP- Are projects consistent with the first band of the RTP; how did RTP direct selection of TIP projects? Etc.

Response: This information has been added to page 7.

Comment 2: Please ensure that the statewide project list is included in the TIP. **Response:** MassDOT provided us with the statewide projects they wanted us to include in the Merrimack Valley MPO TIP these are included in the MVMPO TIP.

Comment 3: Transportation Funding Programs – Highway Projects. Please ensure that Interstate Maintenance funds are available to programming as this program has been discontinued.

Response: There are no projects listed in the MVMPO TIP which are slated to use Interstate Maintenance Funds.

MassDOT Office of Transportation Planning Comments:

General Comments:

Comment: Please ensure that the document is in an accessible format. **Response:** The document is in 12 point Helvetica Neue font with 1.25 line spacing and where there used to be headings and project names in all capital letters, these have been changed.

Comment: The document in its entirety has a substantial amount of grammatical and punctuation errors. It needs to be thoroughly proof read and edited before endorsement. **Response:** Staff has reviewed the document in its entirety and addressed any grammatical, spelling and punctuation errors found. However, this review resulted in only minor changes to the document.

Comment: In the first page of the document, MassDOT is referenced more times than required. Please address. **Response:** Change made.

(Pleas note that page numbers in the comment paragraphs refer to the page numbers in the Draft document. Where needed, the response paragraphs list the Final document page numbers.)

Comment: The Title VI compliance notice, endorsement, and Self- Certification Compliance statement pages are missing from the beginning of the document. Pages 82 and 83 should be placed at the beginning of the document.

Response: Change made. These are now on pages 3 and 4.

Comment: Pages 82 and 83 – Please replace "Highway Administrator" with "Acting Highway Administrator".

Response: Change made on the now pages 3 and 4.

Comment: There is no mention of Title VI and EJ requirements in the document.Response: The Title VI Notice of Public Protection has been added beginning on page 121.EJ requirements have been added beginning on page 124.

Comment: Please list the MAP-21 planning factors as defined by the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) and make proper linkages throughout the document.Response: Change made. The planning factors are listed on page 5 and referenced on page 7 and 8 that they are part of the project selection process and the evaluation TEC score.

Comment: There is no mention of the State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) in the document. Please consider adding an Executive Summary to the document which includes the FY 2016-2019 TIP Overview, TIP investments for highway and transit programs, TIP development process, and endorsement of the document.

Response: The fact that the regional TIPs are combined with State projects to produce the STIP, and that in order to receive federal funding transportation projects must be listed in the STIP has been added to page 6.

Comment: There is no mention of the fact that in FFY 2017 MassDOT will end funding for the regional major infrastructure program after the I-91 Viaduct in Springfield project has been completed. These funds will be reallocated to the Regional Target program for prioritization by MPOs across the state.

Response: Change made. This statement has been added to page 64.

Comment: Part A. 3. Public Participation: Please list the stakeholders that were consulted in developing the 3C documents.

Response: A list of the groups of stakeholders that received notices has been added beginning on page 11. Notice of Availability for review and comment and the Public Hearing Notice for the Draft FFYs 2016 to 2019 TIP were emailed to 750 and traditional mailed to 325 stakeholders from the groups listed, that is a total of more than 1,000 addressees who received direct contact regarding the Draft 2016 to 2019 TIP, 2016 RTP as well as the 2016 UPWP.

Comment: Please provide details on dates and locations of the public input sessions. **Response:** Meeting dates have been added on page 13.

Comment: Page 6- Please check the thresholds for projects amendment/adjustments in the Transportation Improvement Program (TIP). Please consider using the following narrative: "Consistent with federal guidelines, if a project is valued at \$5 million or less, the threshold for defining an amendment is a change of \$500,000 or more. The threshold for projects valued at greater than \$5 million is 10 percent or more of the project value. Changes that are less than these thresholds may be considered administrative modifications, and although a public review period is not required, one may be provided at the MPO's discretion."

Response: The percentage threshold for requiring an amendment has been changed to 10% for first year projects. (Page 14 in the final document)

Comment: Page 7- Please check the description for the Andover project.

Response: This description refers to the project as listed in SAFETEA-LU designated "High Priority Projects" listing therefore no change is needed. (Page 15 in the final document)

Comment: Page 7- "Transit Projects for Bus and Bus-Related Facilities and Clean Fuels Grant Program:" Is this the project name? The same description appears as the header for transit projects.

Response: There is an error. This is the header for transit projects. It is not a project itself. Correction made. (Page 15 in the final document)

Comment: Page 8- Please remove the unneeded periods in the "Advanced Construction" paragraph.

Response: The paragraph is quoted from the Federal Highway Administration website and the periods are needed to indicate that there are additional words in the original statement that are not included in this quoted statement. (Page 16 in the final document)

Comment: Page 12- "D – (MassDOT District) – MassDOT highway district number (Merrimack Valley MPO is part of District 4)". Please fix.

Response: The "D" is correct, as it is the column heading, which is footnoted on each page to mean "MassDOT district number". This notation was necessary in order to reduce the column width of this column in an effort to increase the font size of the project listings to make the document accessible when printed. (This notation has since been removed because MassDOT required the original templates replace the listings that contained the new "D" notation.)

Comment: Part B- FFY 2016-2019 Highway Project listings-FFY 2016 Projects# 606669(Amesbury) - Please add the full project description.

Response: No change made. The full project description in the MassDOT online projects list is the old description of the project before the design changed. The MassDOT project listing description is incorrect and therefore not repeated here. MassDOT needs to update their description, or create a new project number for the new design.

Comment: Part B- FFY 2016-2019 Highway Project listings FFY 2016 Projects# 606574 (Andover-Lawrence) - Please add the missing district number in the appropriate column. **Response:** Change made.

Comment: Part B- FFY 2016-2019 Highway Project listings-FFY 2017 Projects# 607561 (Andover-Methuen) - Please add the missing district number in the appropriate column. **Response:** Change made.

Comment: The templates for the highway project listings are not consistent with the template used by other MPO's in the State. Please use the standard MassDOT TIP template to show the project listings.

Response: The highway project listings in the document reflect an accessible format, while the current MassDOT template does not. We do prepare the MassDOT template to reflect the correct projects and send that to MassDOT so it can be easily integrated into the STIP, but for the document to meet accessibility requirements the font size needs to be increased to 12 point for printing. This increase in font size necessitates fewer and narrower columns. This was achieved by 1) removing the "MPO" designation column, because "Merrimack Valley" (which would populate every entry in that column) is stated at the top of every page of the project listings, and by 2) changing the title of the "MassDOT District" column to "D^{1"} with the footnote on every page indicating this column is the MassDOT District number. The MassDOT District column entry possibilities are merely single digits and therefore the column only has to be one digit wide, and so the column heading title was changed to "D" so that the column could be 1 digit wide. Neither of these changes significantly impacts the information in the project listing in the document as compared to the MassDOT template; all of the other columns are the same as the template, and contain all of the information as the template. However, we will attempt to do this for a final version of the document.

Comment: There are small squares in some empty cells of the template. The template should be checked and corrected.

Response: The squares do not appear in the Word document, or the hard copies printed from the Word document. This appears to have occurred when the document was saved to .pdf format for the website. We will look into it, but cannot guarantee we will find the problem.

Comment: Please work with MassDOT District 4 to add projects to utilize the additional Surface Transportation Program (STP)/Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP)/Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality (CMAQ)/Transportation Alternatives Program (TAP) funds.

Response: We have been and will continue to work with District 4 staff to accomplish this.

Comment: Please consider using available Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality (CMAQ) or Transportation Alternatives Program (TAP) funding in the future TIP development to address gaps in sidewalk networks.

Response: Staff has added a task to the FFY 2016 UPWP to look into how this could be accomplished.

Comment: Include the project proponent in the "Additional Information" column for TAP projects.

Response: Change made.

Comment: Federal Requirements- The "Certification of the 3C Planning Process", "Certification of Private Enterprise Participation in the Transportation Planning Process", and "Certification of Compliance with the Regional Public Participation Plan" is missing in the federal requirements chapter.

Response: The "Certification of the 3C Planning Process" was in the Federal requirements section and is now, because of a previous comment, moved to the front of the document. We are developing language for the other two certifications.

Comment: Pages 130-133- the tables should be updated to conform to the graphical format of the other data tables in the document for consistency. **Response:** Change made.

Conservation Law Foundation Comments

Comment: CLF strongly supports the inclusion of three segments of the Border to Boston trail (Salisbury, Southern Georgetown Section, and Northern Georgetown to Byfield Section) in fiscal years 2018 and 2019 of the TIP. It also encourages the MPO to continue its support of completing the Border to Boston trail by adding additional segments to the TIP, now or in the near future.

In addition, CLF requests that the MPO reinstate the programming of flexed highway funds to the TIP for FY17 and FY19 to allow the Merrimack Valley Regional Transit Authority (MVRTA) to purchase a total of six hybrid replacement buses, three respectively in FY17 and FY19. Such funding was included (for three buses) in the TIP

Conservation Law Foundation Comments (Cont.):

previously for FY2015, but was removed temporarily because the agency was not ready to procure the vehicles.

Response: The MVMPO will continue to work with member communities to implement the Border to Boston Trail.

The MVMPO will also assess a recently completed analysis of the air quality and operating cost benefits that can be gained from the purchase of such vehicles in making further decisions on the programming of MPO CMAQ Target funds.

MVRTA Comments

Comment: A recently completed analysis shows that there will be benefits both from the bus operating side (fuel savings) and environmental side (emission improvement) to warrant support by the MPO to allocate CMAQ funding which will provide the additional funding needed for the purchase of diesel/hybrid buses, 3 in FY 2017 and 3 in FY 2019-the benefits accrue due to the number of buses to be purchased within this 2 year period particularly with the replacement of 3 Model 2007 diesel powered buses with 3 diesel/hybrid buses in FY 2019.

Response: The MVMPO will assess a recently completed analysis of the air quality and operating cost benefits that can be gained from the purchase of such vehicles in making further decisions on the programming of MPO CMAQ Target funds.

Additional Comments (Letters of Support)

The following pages contain letters of support for various projects in the 2016 to 2019 MVMPO TIP.



July 17, 2015

Anthony Komornick, Transportation Program Manager Merrimack Valley Planning Commission 160 Main St. Haverhill, MA 01830

Re: Draft FFY 2016-2019 Transportation Improvement Program

Dear Mr. Komornick,

I am writing to you on behalf of Coastal Trails Coalition, Inc. (CTC) to express the organization's strong support for the funding that is included in the Merrimack Valley Metropolitan Planning Organization's (MVMPO) Draft FFY 2016-2019 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) for Border to Boston Trail and Coastal Trails Network multi-use trail construction projects.

Construction funding for the following multi-use trail projects is included in the draft TIP:

- \$786,000 of STP and TAP funding for completion of Amesbury's Powow Riverwalk in 2016
- \$6.6 million of CMAQ and TAP funding for the Salisbury Border to Boston trail project in 2018
- \$2.6 million of Statewide CMAQ funding for the Amesbury-Salisbury Trail Connector in 2018
- \$2.0 million of Statewide CMAQ funding for the Georgetown South Border to Boston Trail project in 2018
- \$4.36 million of Statewide CMAQ funding for the Georgetown-Newbury Border to Boston Trail project in 2019

These projects are all either key components of the Border to Boston Trail or vital elements of the Coastal Trails Network or both. The Border to Boston Trail projects have been in design for years. MassDOT is leading the design projects with advice and cost-sharing support from the local municipalities. The 25% preliminary design public hearing for the Salisbury Border to Boston project was held a few months ago and demonstrated great public interest and support for the project. 25% preliminary design plans for the Georgetown and Newbury Border to Boston projects have been prepared and submitted for internal MassDOT review.

Amesbury's Riverwalk, the Salisbury Border to Boston trail project and the Amesbury-Salisbury Trail Connector are all vital elements of the Coastal Trails Network and will provide direct connections to New Hampshire as well as to the Whittier Trail that is now under construction.

Taken together the projects will greatly enhance the multi-use trail connections between the communities in the Lower Merrimack Valley as well as providing multi-use trail links to New Hampshire in the north and to communities along the Border to Boston Trail to the south. We would like to say that CTC sincerely appreciates MassDOT's commitment of Statewide CMAQ funds to the construction of a number of the multi-use trails in our region and request that the MVMPO approve including the proposed construction funding for all of the foregoing trail projects in its FFY 2016-2019 TIP.

CTC is a 501(c)(3) non-profit charitable organization formed by citizens and communities in the Lower Merrimack Valley. Its mission is to advocate for the Coastal Trails Network, a public system of interconnected bicycle and pedestrian trails that will link the unique coastal features, town centers, neighborhoods and transportation hubs in the communities of Amesbury, Newbury, Newburyport and Salisbury and will enhance recreation, conservation, health, education and tourism opportunities throughout the area.

Sincerely,

David Hall, President



ESSEX NATIONAL HERITACE COMMISSION

10 Federal Street - Suite 12: Salern, MA 01970 978.740.0444 tel ~ 978.744.6473 www.essexheritage.org

July 24, 2015

Anthony Komornick Transportation Program Manager Merrimack Valley Planning Commission 160 Main Street Haverhill, MA 01830

Re: Draft FFY 2016-2019 Transportation Improvement Program

Dear Mr. Komornick:

On behalf of the congressionally designated Essex National Heritage Commission, I write to offer our strong support for the CMAQ and TAP funded projects included in the Draft FFY 2016-2019 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) of the Merrimack Valley Metropolitan Planning Organization (MVMPO).

The FFY 2016-2019 TIP presents an important opportunity to significantly increase regional trail usage while meeting air quality and transportation objectives through the provision of funds for the construction of safe, attractive and functional community assets on which residents and visitors alike can commute, recreate, and commune.

As a partnership organization long involved in trail development, Essex Heritage views the Border to Boston Trail and Coastal Trails Network as an essential elements of the region's emerging system of on- and off-road trails. In recent years trails linking a broad array of recreational, civic, commercial, and transportation destinations have been roundly embraced by numerous local, state and federal officials, civic organizations and, most importantly, residents in communities from Newburyport to Peabody and Lawrence to Salisbury.

In closing, we commend the MVMPO for its leadership and support of the multi-use trails and encourage its approval of funding for the trails included in the draft FFY 2016-2019 TIP.

Sincerely,

Rief Steelman

Bill Steelman Chief Operating Officer



For a thriving New England

CLF Massachusetts

62 Summer Street Boston MA 02110 P: 617.350.0990 F: 617.350.4030 www.clf.org

July 23, 2015

Anthony Komornick Merrimack Valley Planning Commission 160 Main Street Haverhill, MA 01830

Dear Mr. Komornick:

The Conservation Law Foundation (CLF) is pleased to provide the following comments on the Merrimack Valley Metropolitan Planning Organization's (MPO) draft Merrimack Valley 2016 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP) and draft Transportation Improvement Program for fiscal years 2016-2019 (TIP). CLF appreciates the opportunity to comment and has focused on three areas: Border to Boston trail projects and MVRTA hybrid buses in the TIP, and ozone conformity analysis in the RTP and the TIP.

Introduction

CLF is New England's leading regional environmental advocacy organization. Since 1966, CLF has worked to protect New England's people, natural resources and communities. CLF is a nonprofit, member-supported regional environmental organization working to conserve natural resources, protect public health, and promote thriving communities for all in the New England region. CLF has long advocated for a better transportation system in New England.

We provide these comments to strongly support the inclusion of three segments of the Border to Boston trail (Salisbury, Southern Georgetown Section, and Northern Georgetown to Byfield Section) in fiscal years 2018 and 2019 of the TIP. We also encourage the MPO to continue its support of completing the Border to Boston trail by adding additional segments to the TIP, now or in the near future.

In addition, we request that the MPO reinstate the programming of flexed highway funds to the TIP for FY17 and FY19 to allow the Merrimack Valley Regional Transit Authority (MVRTA) to purchase a total of six hybrid replacement buses, three in respectively in FY17 and FY19. Such funding was included (for three buses) in the TIP previously for FY2015, but was removed temporarily because the agency was not ready to procure the vehicles.

Finally, we request that the MPO return to its previous practice of conducting a conformity analysis for ozone as required by the federal Clean Air Act (CAA).

Border to Boston Trail

The Border to Boston trail—an emerging twenty-eight mile shared use trail, which will link eight communities from the New Hampshire border to Boston's North Shore—is an

CLF MAINE + CLF MASSACHUSETTS + CLF NEW HAMPSHIRE + CLF RHODE ISLAND + CLF VERMONT

Final FY 2016-2019 MVMPO TIP July 30, 2015



conservation law foundation

important regional project that will help to promote active transportation and thereby reduce GHG emissions and improve local and regional air quality. The Border to Boston trail is included in the Bay State Greenway Priority 100, a list of shared-use path segments that are MassDOT's top trail priorities.

We therefore appreciate that the draft TIP now includes three segments of the Border to Boston trail: Salisbury, Southern Georgetown Section (with statewide CMAQ funding), and Northern Georgetown to Byfield Section, with the last segment added back in after it was replaced last year. All three of these segments of the Border to Boston trail will have a significant positive impact on the region's environment and economy. We encourage the MPO to add additional segments of the Boston to Border Trail, such as the Boxford segment to the TIP, to complete this important project.

MVRTA Hybrid Replacement Buses

CLF was very pleased when the MPO in 2012 programmed in the TIP funding for hybrid replacement buses for the MVRTA for FY15. This funding was removed temporarily last year, with the intention to program it again in the future, because the agency was not ready to procure the buses in FY15. The MVRTA now will be purchasing new buses in FY17 and FY19 and CLF urges the MPO to add \$621,000 each to the FY17 an FY19 TIP years in CMAQ funding. Sufficient funding remains in both years.

The FY17 funding would make it possible for the MVRTA to replace three aging buses in with hybrid buses rather than diesel buses. The fuel savings these hybrid buses will provide are very important to the transit authority given the already high price of fuel and anticipated fuel price spikes in the near future. The hybrid buses will also help emit fewer greenhouse gases and improve local and regional air quality. CLF has conducted a thorough analysis on this subject matter and has shared it with the MVRTA and the Merrimack Valley Planning Commission. Considering the great benefits of the hybrid buses, we urge the MPO also to add three additional hybrid bus upgrades to FY19 prior to finalizing the TIP. A little over \$795,000 and \$1.38 million in CMAQ funds remain available for programming in FY17 and FY19 respectively.

Conformity Analysis

The draft RTP states that this year the MVMPO again does not intend to conduct any conformity analysis for ozone as is required by the CAA. CLF appreciates that after we raised this issue MassDOT indicated that it will perform an ozone conformity analysis after all and will release it during the STIP public comment period. This is a great development and we look forward to this change being reflected in the final version of the RTP.

For the record, and since CLF raised this issue with MassDOT and at other MPOs, following is a description of why such an analysis is important. A recent United States Court of

clf

conservation law foundation

Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit decision has affirmed the requirement for the MPO to conduct an ozone conformity analysis. See *NRDC v. EPA*, No. 12-1321 (D.C. Cir.). The transportation conformity requirement, which can be found at 42 U.S.C. s. 7506(c), integrates the CAA with the transportation planning process by conditioning federal approval and funding of transportation activities, such as the RTP and the TIP, on their demonstrated compliance with applicable state implementation plans (SIPs). The statute requires MPOs to estimate emissions from covered projects to assure that new emissions will not thwart the attainment of federal national ambient air quality standards (NAAQS).

The drafters of the 1990 Clean Air Act Amendments recognized and stressed the importance of transportation conformity planning:

"The lesson of the past is clear: If we continue to fail to achieve effective integration between air quality goals and the transportation systems, American cities fail to attain clear air. If carefully administered by EPA and DOT these amendments offer the potential for achieving the healthy air quality that has eluded American cities for 20 years."

CLF understands that the MVMPO did not to continue to abandon this crucial diagnostic tool on its own accord but rather at the advice of the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). The EPA's counsel, however, was based on a faulty legal analysis. Considering the importance of conformity planning to the region's air quality, CLF respectfully requested that the MVMPO return to its tried-and-true practice of conducting the conformity analysis for ozone for the TIP and RTP.

Normally, when a region achieves attainment-level monitoring data for a NAAQS, as the Boston-Lawrence-Worcester region (Eastern Massachusetts Region) did on May 29, 2012, see 77 FR 31496, the CAA requires it to undergo a redesignation and maintenance process, which lasts 20 or more years. During the redesignation and maintenance process, MPOs are required to continue to perform transportation conformity analyses. See 40 C.F.R. § 93.102(b). The purpose of a maintenance plan is to ensure that the region remains in attainment.

The CAA requires the EPA to set and periodically revise air quality standards for pollutants like ozone to protect public health and welfare. The EPA promulgated ozone NAAQS in 1979 and 1997 and strengthened the ozone standard again in 2008, leaving the previous standard in effect. It took the EPA until May 2012 to promulgate designations (i.e., nonattainment or attainment) for the 2008 ozone standard for areas throughout the country. At the time of the initial designations for the 2008 ozone NAAQS, which occurred in 2012, Eastern Massachusetts Region in which the MVMPO resides had monitoring data that met the prescribed monitoring level over a three-year average and was determined in attainment for the first time. Simultaneously with the designations rule, EPA revoked the 1997 NAAQS for transportation conformity purposes only, effective July 20, 2013. As a result, the Eastern Massachusetts

clf

conservation law foundation

Region became one of more than 70 "orphan areas" in the country, where no conformity analysis is required anymore, based on the pure happenstance that the region met the prescribed monitoring level at the same time initial designations went into effect. Without that revocation, all the MPOs in the Eastern Massachusetts Region would have had to continue conformity analyses to ensure that proposed transportation projects square with plans for maintaining safe ozone levels for at least 20 years. For that reason, as mentioned above, the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit in December of 2014 decided that this revocation of the 1997 NAAQS for transportation conformity purposes alone violates the CAA and vacated the portion of the EPA's rule which eliminated this requirement.

Pursuant to this ruling, the MVMPO would be required to conduct conformity analyses for the TIP and RTP. Since then, however, the EPA has issued a new rule revoking the entire 1997 NAAQS, which presumably was the agency's basis for advising the MassDOT and the MVMPO that no conformity analysis is required. Not surprisingly, this revocation is being challenged in court again. See *Sierra Club v. EPA*, No. 15-1123, (D.C. Cir., pending). Because the EPA cannot lawfully sweep aside the CAA's statutory conformity analysis requirement without violating the anti-backsliding provisions of the CAA, which are designed to ensure that air quality does not get worse after a NAAQS is revoked, it can reasonably be expected that the elimination of the conformity analysis requirement for maintenance areas will again not be permitted.

Since the MVMPO intends to already conduct a GHG analysis for the TIP and RTP, adding the conformity analysis for ozone, which is similar, will not be an arduous additional step. This will allow the MVMPO to assure its members and the public that the proposed plans remain consistent with the goal of protecting the region from the serious public health threats associated with ozone. CLF therefore appreciates that despite the EPA's position, which is not sustained in the law, the MVMPO will conduct a conformity analysis for the TIP and RTP this year rather than to wait until a court orders it yet again.

Thank you for your consideration of these comments. If you have any questions, I can be reached by phone at (617) 850-1739 or by email at rmares@clf.org.

Sincerely,

teal Main

Rafael Mares Staff Attorney

cc Steve Woelfel, MassDOT

Final FY 2016-2019 MVMPO TIP July 30, 2015

This page left blank intentionally